




Evaluation of 2008 CAREER Workshop (March 27-28, 2008)



Index	What activities in the workshop are the most helpful?
001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock panel review ➤ George presentation ➤ The second presentation from previous CAREER Awardee
002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock panel review ➤ George's presentation is very helpful. I wish I heard him before ➤ Review of our summaries ➤ Thank so much
003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The mock review panels was very helpful to me. ➤ In addition, learning more about the submission and review process was also good
004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ All of them
005	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentations of NSF program directors ➤ Mock-Panel review ➤ Interaction with NSF people
006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Mock panel ➤ Presentation by George Hazelrigg
007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Comment on project summary the second day
008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 1-page summary review – Need more time for this (Just 3 summary were handled in my panel due to time constraint)
009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Share experience among attendees ➤ Learn how to rate proposals in a panel ➤ Ask questions during presentations
010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Program directors presentations ➤ Mock reviews
011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Georges presentation
012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Panel discussion groups – over full proposals & summary ➤ The effectiveness of the conversation was a result of hearing the morning presentation from George and initial guidance from program directors at the beginning of the discussion meetings.
013	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's presentation and mock review help me to learn how to write a good proposal ➤ Other NSF directors presentation gave me a lot of information on what opportunities are in NSF.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's presentation ➤ Experience of past recipients
014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock panel reviews on comments with NSF program manager reflections
015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The mock review panels and meetings with program officers are very helpful
016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentations
017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ NSF Program managers' Presentations
018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentations by PD, Q & A
019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Actually reviewing proposals and some through the mock reviewing ➤ Getting the insight on what is being looked for in the presentations was valuable
020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Talks by program directors ➤ Review panel on my summary
021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock panels

	➤ Dr. Hazelrigg's presentation
022	➤ The mock panel as well as the presentation on the project summary
023	➤ The proposal reviews were extremely helpful ➤ The presentations were very insightful
024	➤ Mock panel was helpful. ➤ Having lots of eyes on my project summary was appreciated ➤ Program directors face-to-face was very nice
025	➤ George's presentation ➤ Panel review ➤ Summary correction ➤ Virtual all components are excellent
026	➤ Mock review panels
027	➤ Mock panel review and review of project summary ➤ George's presentation and Brigid (excellent) and Elisa (very good)
028	➤ George's presentation ➤ Other NSF funding opportunities presentation, ➤ and working in the panels
029	➤ The second day discussion of the project summary ➤ The talk of George H. in the first day. The organizers should had given him more time!
030	➤ Peer review of project summary
031	➤ Mock panel review and George's talk
032	➤ Lecture ➤ Mock panel
033	➤ Panel reviews proposals ➤ Interaction with PDs
034	➤ Panel discussions ➤ George's seminar
035	➤ George's seminar: these should be posted and made available to all ➤ Mock panels
036	➤ Mock review ➤ George's talk
037	➤ George's talk ➤ Mock panel review
038	➤ All
039	➤ Panel discussions on specific project summary ➤ Education on specific differences between CAREER and normal research proposals
040	➤ The discussion of George on what makes a proposal successful. It was an eye opening discussion.
041	➤ All of them. ➤ The opening sessions by George set context for the panel discussions. ➤ The testimonials especially coming from people who had previously been unsuccessful gave hope. Thinking about the project summary in a new way gave me an immediate application challenge reinforcing what I had learnt for life
042	➤ Listen to George and panel review

043	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ All of them; participating the mock panel review session and the second day summary review
044	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Discussions/presentation with George as to what constitutes research as seen by NSF ➤ Mock panel review, both the writing of reviews before the workshop and the sharing of them during the panel ➤ Discussion with panel on how to improve my project summary ➤ Hearing about all funding opportunities at NSF ➤ Discussion/presentation with previous winners of CAREER award
045	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Reviewing proposal ahead of time and having mock reviews ➤ George's advice on successful CAREER proposal format, etc. ➤ Presentation by successful awardees ➤ Review of revised, own project summaries
046	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentation
047	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ All
048	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's talk on how to write/emphasize summary, research goals, objectives ➤ Group discussion of project summaries is also very beneficial
049	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock review process ➤ George's presentation ➤ Feedback on summary of the CAREER
050	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Reviewing on revising our panels <u>own</u> project summaries. My own summary has improved greatly from this feedback ➤ George's advice on presentation was the highlight of the workshop
051	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's presentation ➤ Mock panel review
052	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock review ➤ Project summary review
053	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mock panel
054	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Review panel experience ➤ Summary of how to write a good proposal (George's presentation)
055	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The 1st presentation by George Hazelrigg specifically saying the format of CAREER proposals as well as the presentation by Brid talking about her experience
056	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The presentation led to a good re-evaluation of how to measure and judge a proposal. I think this really affected the outcome of the panels ➤ Panels were good. Feedback on our summaries was also good.
057	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Review and discussion of proposals ➤ George's presentation
058	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's morning presentation ➤ Informal conversations with current and past PMs floating around the workshop
059	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's presentation ➤ Panel review of proposals and project summaries
060	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ George's talk ➤ Panel review of project statement ➤ Hearing from previous winners
061	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentations

	➤ Panel discussion
062	➤ Panel reviews ➤ Mock review for projects summary
063	➤ Interactions with program directors and other junior faculty in my position. Hearing their comments about my proposal
064	➤ Mock panels ➤ Review of our summaries ➤ All the presentations
065	➤ Panel reviews and George's talk
066	➤ The do's and don'ts ➤ Mock panel ➤ People reading summaries ➤ This workshop greatly exceeded my expectations! Thank you NSF and all Program Managers for taking time out of your v. busy schedules!
067	➤ George's presentation without so many questions ➤ Panel discussion
068	➤ George's presentation and inside info
069	➤ Panels ➤ Meeting NSF (ex)directors ➤ George's presentation
070	➤ George's talk ➤ The mock review
071	➤ George Hazelrigg's talk ➤ Mock panel sessions
072	➤ Mock review, had chance to see proposal that at first didn't seem as strong actually come to a top rating after panel discussion ➤ Review of proposed summaries
073	➤ Guidance from PD esp. George (very important!) ➤ Mock reviews ➤ Spending time thinking exclusively about this specific grant, ~ 4 months in advance ➤ Revision of <u>my</u> summary after absorbing new info while fresh in my mind
074	➤ Mock panel review and presentations
075	➤ Introductory presentation by George ➤ Mock panels
076	➤ George talk ➤ Panel review
077	➤ Mock panel reviews ➤ Reviewing our own summaries
078	➤ Presentations
079	➤ Panel review for all things
080	➤ George's presentation was very effective ➤ I really enjoyed all activities
081	➤ Director presentation Do and Don't ➤ Mock panel discussion

	➤ Peer review of your own presentation
082	➤ George's talk ➤ Panel discussions, evaluation and summary
083	➤ Panel summaries ➤ Project summary rewriting
084	➤ Format discussions ➤ Program Managers
085	➤ Hands-on experience (panel discussions)
086	➤ Mock up panel
087	➤ Mock panels ➤ George's presentation ➤ Review of our own project summaries
088	➤ All of it. I learnt something with every presentation
089	➤ Panel sessions
090	➤ More panels
091	➤ Mock panel
092	➤ Presentations by directors and awardee ➤ PD and former PD advices
093	➤ George's presentation and comments on how to write good proposal ➤ Mock panel review
094	➤ George's presentation
095	➤ Panel reviews ➤ Reviews of project summary
096	➤ Presentation by recent awardees ➤ Mock panel discussion
097	➤ Mock panel and the advice about buzz words
098	➤ Mock review panel ➤ George's presentation
099	➤ Panel review on 3/27
100	➤ CAREER proposal summary review by others ➤ Mock review
101	➤ George's presentation ➤ Mock panel ➤ NSF opportunities
102	➤ Mock panels
103	➤ A clear research objective is most important
104	➤ Writing a successful proposal topic ➤ Mock panel
105	➤ George's presentation ➤ Mock panel