Development of a wheat single gene FISH map for analyzing homoeologous relationship and chromosomal rearrangements within the Triticeae

# Tatiana V. Danilova, Bernd Friebe & **Bikram S. Gill**

#### **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**

International Journal of Plant Breeding Research

ISSN 0040-5752 Volume 127 Number 3

Theor Appl Genet (2014) 127:715-730 DOI 10.1007/s00122-013-2253-z



#### Volume 127 Number 3 March 2014

Introduction of chromosome segment carrying the seed storage protein genes from chromosome IV of *Dazygyrum villesum* showed positive effect on bread-making quality of common wheat Z.Ruiqi - Z.Mingi - W.Xue - C.Peidu 523

enetic analyses of bolting in bulb onion (Allium cepa L.) Baldwin R. Revanna - M. Pither-Joyce - M. Shaw - K. Wright - S. Thomson - L. Moya-Lee - R. Macknight - J. McCallum - 535

Meiotic chromosome pairing behaviour of natural tetraploids and induced uutotetraploids of *Actinidia chinensis* .-H.Wu - PM. Datson - K.I. Manako - B.G. Murray 549

diversity and linkage disequilibrium analysis in elite sugar beet breeding d wild beet accessions detunij - G. Willems - H. Tschoep - A. Bürkholz - S. Barnes - M. Boer - M. Malosetti -Horemans - F. van Eeuwijk 559

ligh-resolution analysis of a QTL for resistance to Stagonorpora nodorum glume blotch in wheat reveals presence of two distinct resistance loci in the target interval A Statalina - M. Messner - C. Feullet - F. Mascher - E. Paur - F. Choulet - T. Wicker Refer - 573

SR marker-assisted improvement of fiber quali barbadense introgression lines Cao - P.Wang - X. Zhu - H. Chen - T. Zhang 587

action norm model for genomic selection using high-dimensional genomic environmental data regin - J. Cross - K. Lazar - P. Du Cheyron - J. Daucourt - J. Longrou - F. Firaux enreiro - P. Pérez - M. Calus - J. Burgueño - G. de los Campos 595

enetic analysis and molecular mapping of crown rust resistance in common wheat Niu - K.D. Puri - S. Chao - Y. Jin - Y. Sun - B.J. Steffenson - S.S. Maan - S.S. Xu -Zhong 609

NP genotyping C.Wu - Y. Li - Y. Shi - Y. Song - T.Wang - Y. Huang - Y. Li 621

D Springer

Using near-isogenic barley lines to validate deoxynivalenol (DON) QTL previously identified through association analysis S. Navara · K.P. Smith 633

A locus conferring effective late blight resistance in potato cultivar Sarpo Mira maps to chromosome XI L Tomczytska - E. Stefańczyk - M. Chmielarz - B. Karasiewicz - P. Kamiński-J.D.G. Jones - A.K. Lees - J. Siiwka 647

Construction of an integrative linkage map and QTL mapping of grain yield-related traits using three related wheat RIL populations F.Cui - C.Zhao - A. Ding - J.Li - L.Wang - X.Li - Y.Bao - J.Li - H.Wang 659

GSL2 over-expression confers resistance to Pectobacterium atrosepticum

in potato S. Mohan - S. Meiyalaghan - J.M. Latimer - M.L. Gatehouse - K.S. Mona B.R. Vanga - A.R. Pitman - E.E. Jones - A.J. Conner - J.M.E. Jacobs 677

QTL mapping for salt tolerance and domestication-related traits in Vigna marine Subsp. oblong, a halophytic species S. Chankaev - K. Ikemura - K. Naito - E. Ogio-Tanaka - N. Tornooka - P. Somta A. Kaga - D.A. Vaughan - P. Srinives 691

EST-SNP discovery and dense genetic mapping in lentil (Lens culinaris Medik.) enable candidate gene selection for boro S. Kaur - N.O.I. Cogan - A. Stephens - D. Noy - M. Butsch - J.W. Forster-M. Materne 703

elopment of a wheat single gene FISH map for analyzing homoeologous relationship and chro within the Triticeae T.V. Danilova - B. Friebe - B.S. Gill 715

Identification of agronomically important QTL in tetraploid potato culti using a marker-trait association analysis B.B. D'hoop: PL.C. Keizer - M.J. Paulo - R.G.F. Visser - F.A. van Eeuwijk -H.J. van Eck 731

impact of population structure on genomic prediction in stratified

populations Z. Guo - D.M. Tucker - C.J. Basten - H. Gandhi - E. Ersoz - B. Guo - Z. Xu - D. Wang G. Gui - Z.A.



Your article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution license which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works, as long as the author of the original work is cited. You may selfarchive this article on your own website, an institutional repository or funder's repository and make it publicly available immediately.



ORIGINAL PAPER

### Development of a wheat single gene FISH map for analyzing homoeologous relationship and chromosomal rearrangements within the Triticeae

Tatiana V. Danilova · Bernd Friebe · Bikram S. Gill

Received: 16 August 2013 / Accepted: 13 December 2013 / Published online: 10 January 2014 © The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

#### Abstract

*Key message* A cytogenetic map of wheat was constructed using FISH with cDNA probes. FISH markers detected homoeology and chromosomal rearrangements of wild relatives, an important source of genes for wheat improvement.

Abstract To transfer agronomically important genes from wild relatives to bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., 2n = 6x = 42, AABBDD) by induced homoeologous recombination, it is important to know the chromosomal relationships of the species involved. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) can be used to study chromosome structure. The genomes of allohexaploid bread wheat and other species from the Triticeae tribe are colinear to some extent, i.e., composed of homoeoloci at similar positions along the chromosomes, and with genic regions being highly conserved. To develop cytogenetic markers specific for genic regions of wheat homoeologs, we selected more than 60 full-length wheat cDNAs using BLAST against mapped expressed sequence tags and used them as FISH probes. Most probes produced signals on all three

Communicated by Pat. Heslop-Harrison.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00122-013-2253-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

T. V. Danilova · B. Friebe (⊠) · B. S. Gill Department of Plant Pathology, Wheat Genetics Resource Center, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA e-mail: friebe@ksu.edu

#### B. S. Gill

homoeologous chromosomes at the expected positions. We developed a wheat physical map with several cDNA markers located on each of the 14 homoeologous chromosome arms. The FISH markers confirmed chromosome rearrangements within wheat genomes and were successfully used to study chromosome structure and homoeology in wild Triticeae species. FISH analysis detected 1U-6U chromosome translocation in the genome of *Aegilops umbellulata*, showed colinearity between chromosome A of *Ae. caudata* and group-1 wheat chromosomes, and between chromosome arm 7S#3L of *Thinopyrum intermedium* and the long arm of the group-7 wheat chromosomes.

#### Introduction

A wide variety of important genes were transferred to bread wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L., (2n = 6x = 42, genome AABBDD) from Triticeae species, but only few of them are exploited in wheat cultivars (reviewed by Friebe et al. 1996; Kilian et al. 2011). To select an optimal gene transfer strategy resulting in the introgression of only a small part of an alien chromosome with the gene of interest, but without undesirable traits and compensating for the replaced wheat chromatin, it is necessary to know the evolutionary distance, homoeologous relationships and degree of colinearity between wheat and alien chromosomes.

Several approaches were used to study the homoeology between chromosomes of hexaploid wheat and other Triticeae species. Gametophytic compensation test is based on non-viability of wheat male gametes with substituting alien non-compensating chromosomes. The analysis uses cytological or phenotypic screening of the progeny from crosses between wheat and set of wheat-alien double monosomic lines. The sporophytic compensation ability of

Faculty of Science, Genomics and Biotechnology Section, Department of Biological Sciences, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

alien chromosomes can be tested by measuring the fertility of wheat-alien substitution lines. Because of variation in the ability to substitute chromosomes from A, B and D genomes, this analysis requires the development of wheatalien substitution lines for all three wheat genomes (Sears 1952; Dvorak 1980; Friebe et al. 1993).

Analysis of chromosome pairing in metaphase I of meiosis can also be used to determine the homoeology of wheat and alien chromosomes (Yang et al. 1996).

A comparative genetic analysis of alien chromosomes with wheat is another approach, which employs molecular or morphological markers. Only a few morphological, isozymic or seed storage protein markers are available for defining the homoeology of an alien chromosome, which limit the ability of the approach to reveal chromosomal rearrangements. (Yang et al. 1996; Zhang et al. 1998; Friebe et al. 1999; Qi et al. 2007; McArthur et al. 2012). Restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) are the most informative wheat molecular markers (Qi et al. 2007). RFLPs were successfully used to develop high-resolution wheat genetic and physical maps (Qi et al. 2003, 2004), define homoeology of alien chromosomes and reveal their rearrangements relative to wheat (Devos et al. 1993a; Zhang et al. 1998; McArthur et al. 2012). Southern hybridization, however, is not a high-throughput technique and it employs radioisotopes (Qi et al. 2007). To perform genetic mapping of molecular markers on alien chromosomes it is necessary to develop and analyze segregating populations.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) is a useful tool for physical mapping of chromosomes and for studying evolutionary chromosome rearrangements. FISH labeling of tandem repeats and microsatellites allows the identification of individual wheat chromosomes and chromosomes of related species (Mukai et al. 1993; Pedersen et al. 1996; Pedersen and Langridge 1997; Cuadrado and Schwarzacher 1998; Cuadrado et al. 2008a, b; Komuro et al. 2013). Genic sequences are highly conserved among Triticeae species (Feuillet and Keller 2002; Akhunov et al. 2003) and free of repeats, which makes them homoeolog-specific wheat FISH markers and potentially universal Triticeae markers. Single genes with size larger than 3 kb can be routinely localized on mitotic plant chromosomes by FISH, as was shown on maize, barley and wheat (Lamb et al. 2007; Danilova and Birchler 2008; Ma et al. 2010; Karafiátová et al. 2013). In our previous study, we used wheat cDNA of cytosolic acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc-2) and nine other full-length (FL) cDNAs together with tandem repeats as multicolor FISH probes to map Acc-2 sequences, identify chromosomes and reveal chromosome rearrangements in wheat and its closest diploid and tetraploid relatives T. urartu Tumanian ex Gandilyan, T. monococcum L., Ae.

speltoides Tausch., Ae. tauschii Coss., T. turgidum subsp. dicoccoides (Körn. Ex Asch. & Graebn.) Thell., T. turgidum subsp. dicoccum (Schrank) Thell., T. turgidum subsp. durum (Desf.) Husn. and T. timopheevii (Zhuck.) Zhuck. (Danilova et al. 2012). Unlike RFLPs, compensation analysis and chromosome pairing tests, FISH analysis does not require the development of wheat-alien substitution lines or segregating mapping populations; it visualizes homoeologous regions directly on alien chromosomes in a simple and fast experiment.

The purpose of this work was to develop and map a set of FISH cDNA probes specific to wheat homoeologous groups to mark all chromosome arms at distal and proximal positions. The markers were employed in detecting chromosome rearrangements and analyzing homoeologous relationships within wheat genome and genomes of three wild Triticeae species.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant material

The material used in this study included *T. aestivum* cv. Chinese Spring TA3008, *Aegilops umbellulata* Zhuk. TA1851, *Ae. caudata* L. TA1908 and the wheat-*Thinopyrum intermedium* (Host) Barkworth and D.R. Dewey translocation line TA5634 homozygous for the translocation chromosome T7BS·7S#3L (Liu et al. 2011) from the collection of the Wheat Genetics Resource Center, Kansas State University. Accession TA1851 was used for developing wheat-*Ae. umbellulata* chromosome addition lines (Friebe et al. 1995; Zhang et al. 1998). Accession TA1908 was used for developing wheat-*Ae. caudata* addition lines (Friebe et al. 1992).

Slide preparation and FISH procedure

Somatic chromosome preparations using the drop technique, direct probe labeling by nick translation and the FISH procedure were as described previously (Kato et al. 2004, 2006) with minor modifications as described in (Danilova et al. 2012). To make FISH probes, cDNAs were amplified with standard primers T3 and T7; PCR products were purified with Invitrogen PCR purification kit (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA Cat. # K310001) and labeled with Texas red-5-dCTP (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA, cat # NEL426001EA). GAA- and pAs1- oligonucleotide probes (Danilova et al. 2012) were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies with a flourochrome attached to the 5' end. For nucleolus organizing region (NOR) probe, clone pTa71 was used (Gerlach and Bedbrook 1979). Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) was performed according to Zhang et al. (2001) with modifications described in Liu et al. (2011). Chromosome preparations were mounted and counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole solution (DAPI) or propidium iodide (PI) in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingham, CA, USA, cat # H-1200, H-1300). Images were captured with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope using a cooled charge-coupled device camera CoolSNAP HQ2 (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA) and AxioVision 4.8 software (Zeiss). Images were processed using the Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA, USA).

## FLcDNA map development and chromosome measurements

To develop detectable FISH probes, long (>2.5 kb) wheat FLcDNA sequences (Kawaura et al. 2009) were selected from the Triticeae FLcDNA database (Mochida et al. 2009, http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/ests/ti ssueBrowse.jsp). The chromosomal position of cDNAs was detected by BLAST (Altschul et al. 1997) against expressed sequence tags (ESTs) mapped in the deletion bins of Chinese Spring (Oi et al. 2004; GrainGenes Database http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/cgi-bin/westsql/map locus.cgi) and verified by BLAST against the barley (Deng et al. 2007), rice and Brachypodium genome sequences. The cDNAs that showed similarity to ESTs, mapped on more than one homoeologous group were rejected. The presence of repetitive sequences was checked using Repeatmasker software (Smit et al. 1996-2004). The cDNA clones were supplied by the National BioResource Project-Wheat, Japan. Acc2 cDNA pooled probe was produced from wheat RNA by Reverse Transcriptase-PCR (Danilova et al. 2012). Ten out of 61 FLcDNAs were used in our previous work (Table 1).

Selected cDNAs were used as FISH probes in a mixture with probes to tandem repeats and microsatellites, which allowed chromosome identification. The relative distance of a cDNA FISH site from the centromere was measured and calculated using the MicroMeasure 3.3 software (Reeves and Tear 2000). For measurements, the centromeres were marked on DAPI images of chromosomes as the position of the primary constriction. For most cDNA probes, the signal position was measured on five chromosomes from different metaphase spreads. Average relative distance from the centromere, standard deviation and confidence intervals with a significance level of 0.05 were calculated using Microsoft Office Excel functions. The idiogram was constructed using the wheat standard karyotype (Gill et al. 1991). The heterochromatic N-bands that corresponded to GAA- FISH bands were left on the idiogram and pAs1- FISH bands were added.

#### Results

### Mapping FLcDNAs on wheat cv Chinese Spring chromosomes using FISH

For each wheat chromosome arm, we selected at least three cDNA clones to develop a distal, interstitial and proximal FISH marker. In total, 61 cDNA sequences were selected to develop FISH probes (Table 1). Fifty-one cDNA probes produced distinguishable FISH signals, no unspecific background and hybridized to all three homoeologous chromosome pairs (Fig. 1). Three cDNA probes hybridized to several homoeologous chromosome sets or on nonhomoeologous chromosomes, 4S-3 (chromosome arms 4BS, 4DS, 4AL and 5AL, 5BL, 5DL and 7AL), 4S-4 (2AS, 2BS, 2DS and 4BS, 4DS, 4AL) and Acc-2 (3AL, 3BL, 3DL, 4AS, 5DL). One probe tplb0006e16 (1,110 bp) was too short and produced a very weak signal on the expected chromosome arm and labeled NOR sites. Six probes showed staining of repeat clusters or unspecific staining on all chromosomes (Table 1). Three cDNAs tplb0006a16, tplb0018i03 and tplb0017c03 contain simple-sequence repeats (CCT)<sub>11</sub>, (CCG)<sub>5</sub> and (GGA)<sub>10</sub>, respectively, and produced FISH patterns in accordance with the SSRs distribution reported by Cuadrado et al. (2008a). Repeats  $(GGA)_n/(CCT)_n$  are distributed as clusters on specific chromosome sites and repeats  $(CCG)_n$  are dispersed throughout all chromosomes. Three other probes, tplb0028115, AK331504 and tplb0007d03 label unknown repeats (Supplemental Fig. 1).

FISH mapping of group-1 chromosomes is shown in Fig. 2. Some probes showed a difference in the sequence copy number between homoeologs; for example probes 1S-3 and 1S-1 produced double signals on chromosome 1D and probe 1L-3 on chromosome 1A (Fig. 2). Probe 7S-4 hybridizes as a cluster of at least three copies on all group-7 chromosomes and showed a very bright FISH signal (Supplemental Fig. 1).

The FISH positions of 49 cDNAs out of 54 mapped by BLAST against ESTs correlate well with the corresponding EST bin positions (Table 1). The sequence tplb0028115 matches an EST mapped on the short arms of group-1 chromosomes but is similar to a sequence on chromosome 4HS of barley and was mapped by FISH on chromosome arms 4BS, 4DS and 4AL. The probe 1L-3 (tplb0014k07) was mapped by BLAST against EST sequences on the long arms of group-3 chromosomes, but hybridized to group-1 chromosomes. The cDNA sequence has matches on barley chromosomes 1H (92 % similarity) and 3H (76 %). Probe 6L-4 (AK333670) was mapped by EST similarity on the short arms of group-6 chromosomes, but matches (92 %) to a sequence on barley chromosome arm 6HL and hybridized to the long arms of group-6 chromosomes of wheat. The probe 5S-5 (tplb0027f03) was mapped by EST similarity

Table 1 Full le	angth cDNA FISH	[ probes							
Chromosome	FISH probe	FLcDNA,	cDNA/probe	Average distance	from the centromere		Matching	EST position	FLcDNA position on
group arm	name	KOMUGI database	length, bp	A	В	D	EST	on bin map	barley chromosome
1S		tplb0028115	3,037	Signals on 4BS, chromosomes	4DS, 4AL and backg	round on all	BF201704	1AS1-0.47-0.86 1BS10-0.50-0.84	4HS (93 %)
	1S-3	AK333586	3,522	$0.86\pm0.03$	$0.83 \pm 0.04 \text{ sat}^{b}$	$0.78 \pm 0.04$	BF483372	1AS3-0.86-1.00 1AS3-0.86-1.00 1BS.sat18-0.50-1.0	1H (93 %, 79 %, 75 %) 0
	1S-2	AK332649	2,860	$0.74 \pm 0.05$	$0.71 \pm 0.04$ sat	$0.79 \pm 0.04$	BE424845	1DS5-0.70-1.00 1AS3-0.86-1.00 1BS.sat18-0.50-1.0	1H (93 %) 0
	1S-1	tplb0048d21°	3,487	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	BE425354	1DS5-0.70-1.00 1AS1-0.47-0.86 1DS3-0.48	1H (93 %)
11		tp1b0006e16	1,110	Very weak signa sites	ls on 1L and addition	al signal on NOR	BE407013	1AL1-0.17-0.61 1BL6-0.32-0.47 1DL2-0.41-1.00	1H (95 %)
	1L-1 1L-2 1L-3	tplb0013a02° tplb0029f23° tplb0014k07	5,094 3,113 3,872	$0.20 \pm 0.03$ $0.42 \pm 0.03$ $0.84 \pm 0.04$	$0.17 \pm 0.02$ $0.35 \pm 0.04$ $0.79 \pm 0.04$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.38 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.88 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	BF482555 BE591501 BE591575	C-1BL6-0.32 1BL6-0.32-0.47 1DL2-0.41-1.00 3AL3-0.42-0.78 <sup>b</sup> 3RI 2-0.22-0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1H (93 %) 1H (94 %) 1H (92 %)
2S	2S-4 2S-3 2S-3	tplb0012112 AK330599	4,143 4,400	$0.77 \pm 0.02$ $0.71 \pm 0.04$	$0.73 \pm 0.02$ $0.61 \pm 0.03$	$0.71 \pm 0.03$ $0.63 \pm 0.03$	BG275030 BM134309	3DL2-0.27-0.81 <sup>b</sup> 3DL2-0.27-0.81 <sup>b</sup> 2AS5-0.75-0.84 2BS4-0.75-0.84 2DS5-0.47-1.00 2AS5-0.78-1.00 2RS1-0.53-0.75	2HS (95 %) 2HS (95 %)
	2S-2	AK330434	3,575	$0.60\pm0.03$	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	$0.48\pm0.03$	BE498640	2DS5-0.47-1.00 C-2AS5-0.78 C-2BS4-0.75	2HS (96 %)
	4S-4	tplb0043m19	3,384	$0.58 \pm 0.02$	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	$0.51 \pm 0.07$	BG607966	2DS5-0.47-1.00 4AS1-0.20-0.63 <sup>b</sup> C-4B S4-0.37 <sup>b</sup>	4HS (96 %) 2HS (90 %)
	2S-1	tplb0006k18	3,750	$0.50\pm0.03$	$0.38\pm0.05$	$0.37\pm0.05$	BG262632	2DS1-0.33-0.47	2HS (96 %) 7HL (89 %)

Table 1 contin	ned								
Chromosome	FISH probe	FLcDNA,	cDNA/probe	Average distance	e from the centrome	a.	Matching	EST position	FLcDNA position on
group arm	name	KOMUGI database	length, bp	A	В	D	EST	on bin map	barley chromosome
2L	2L-1	tp1b0007109	3,165	$0.22 \pm 0.04$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$	$0.22 \pm 0.05$	BG604591	C-2AL1-0.85 C-2DI 3-0.49	2HL (~90 %)
	2L-2	AK333292	5,191	$0.49\pm0.02$	$0.32 \pm 0.03$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	BE443094	C-2AL1-0.85	2HL (90 %)
	2L-3	tplb0004a16	3,841	$0.54\pm0.02$	$0.41\pm0.02$	$0.45\pm0.01$	BE489940	2BL2-0.36-0.50	2HL (97 %)
								2DL3-0.49-0.76	
	2L-4	AK331687	4,036	$0.86\pm0.04$	$0.91\pm 0.03$	$0.89\pm0.02$	BG313362	2AL1-0.85-1.00	2HL (91 %)
								2BL6-0.89-1.00	
								2DL9-0.76-1.00	
3S	3S-4	tp1b0001g16	3,127	$0.73\pm0.03$	$0.66\pm0.04$	$0.63 \pm 0.02$	BE446628	3BS1-0.33-0.57	い (~00 %)
								3DS6-0.55-1.00	
	3S-3	tplb0004j16°	4,402	$0.58\pm0.06$	$0.50\pm0.05$	$0.43 \pm 0.03$	BF202364	3AS4-0.45-1.00	3HS (92 %)
								3DS3-0.24-0.55	
	3S-2	tp1b0011e24	1,491	$0.42\pm0.03$	$0.51\pm0.04$	$0.41\pm0.04$	BF200563	3AS4-0.45-1.00	3HS (91 %)
								3BS1-0.33-0.57	
								3DS3-0.24-0.55	
	3S-1	tp1b0014n06	3,237	$0.41\pm0.02$	$0.40\pm0.05$	$0.34\pm0.04$	BE446568	C-3AS2-0.23	3HS (96 %)
								3BS1-0.33-0.57	
								3DS6-0.55-1.00	
								6AS1-0.35-0.65	
3L		tp1b0007d03	3,316	Produce signals	on 3L, and addition	al brighter signals	BF201439	3AL3-0.42-0.78	3HL (93 %)
				on 5BS and 4F	ßL			3BL10-0.50-0.63	
								C-3DL2-0.27	
	3L-1	AK336104	3,860	$0.13\pm0.04$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.10\pm0.02$	BE591527	C-3AL3-0.42	3HL (95 %)
								C-3BL10-0.50	
								C-3DL2-0.27	
	3L-2	tp1b0045e08	3,369	$0.43\pm0.04$	$0.33\pm0.03$	$0.34\pm0.02$	BE489130	3DL2-0.27-0.81	3HL (86 %)
								3BL2-0.22-0.50	
								3AL3-0.42-0.78	
	3L-3	AK335612	3,596	$0.70\pm0.02$	$0.66\pm0.03$	$0.72\pm0.04$	BG263365	3AL5-0.78-1.00	3HL (92 %)
								3BL7-0.63-1.00	
								3DL2-0.27-0.81	
	Acc-2 <sup>d, e</sup>		5,592	$0.82 \pm 0.04$	$0.82\pm0.03$	$0.83 \pm 0.04$			3HL (96 %) 5HL (90 %)

Table 1 continu	led								
Chromosome	FISH probe	FLcDNA,	cDNA/probe	Average distanc	e from the centromere	0	Matching	EST position	FLcDNA position on
group arm	name	KOMUGI database	length, bp	A	B	D	EST	on bin map	barley chromosome
4BS 4DS 4AL	Acc-2 <sup>d, e</sup>		5,592	$0.69\pm0.03$					
	4S-6	$tplb0017g02^{e}$	3,191	$0.53\pm0.02$	$0.74\pm0.03$	$0.77\pm0.06$	BF485337	C-4AL11-0.66	4HS (95 %)
								4DS2-0.82-1.00	
	4S-5	tp1b0001n09	1,602	$0.52\pm0.05$	$0.73\pm0.03$	$0.71\pm0.07$	BF478437	4AL12-0.43-0.59	4HS (~90 %)
								4DS2-0.82-1.00	
	4S-4	tp1b0043m19	3,384	$0.38\pm0.03$	$0.63\pm0.04$	$0.62\pm0.05$	BG607966	4AS1-0.20-0.63 <sup>b</sup>	4HS (96 %), 2HS (90 %)
								$C-4BS4-0.37^{b}$	
								4DS3-0.67-0.82	
	4S-3	tp1b0013i03	4,240	$0.33\pm0.03$	$0.46\pm0.05$	$0.35\pm0.03$	BE606474	4BS4-0.37-0.57 <sup>b</sup>	5HL (97 %), 4HS (91 %)
							BE442814	C-4AL12-0.43	
								4BS4-0.37-0.57	
								C-5BL6-0.29	
								C-5DL1-0.60	
	4S-2	tp1b0014k23	3,488	$0.32\pm0.04$	$0.40\pm0.03$	$0.35\pm0.09$	BE446722	C-4AL12-0.43	4HS (~97 %), 5HL (89 %)
								C-4DS1-0.53	
4AS 4BS 4DS	4S-1	AK330261	3,582	$0.35\pm0.04$	$0.37\pm0.02$	$0.02\pm0.07$	BE591915	C-4BL1-0.71 <sup>b</sup>	4HS (~95 %)
								C-4DL9-0.31 <sup>b</sup>	
4BL 4DL 4AS		tp1b0006a16	2,184	Contains (CCT)	<sup>11</sup> ; probe labels repea	ts <sup>c</sup>			4HL (95 %)
	4L-2	AK335837	3,866	$0.21\pm0.03$	$0.19\pm0.03$	$0.28\pm0.02$	BF484560	4AS1-0.20-0.63	4HL (~90 %)
	4L-3	tp1b0033b21°	3,024	$0.61 \pm 0.02$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.53\pm0.02$	BE637255	4AS3-0.76-1.00	4HL (94 %)
								4BL1-0.71-0.86 <sup>b</sup>	
								4DL9-0.31-0.56	
	4L-4	AK335609	4,790	$0.68\pm0.05$	$0.47\pm0.02$	$0.62\pm0.05$	BE426474	4BL5-0.86-1.00 <sup>b</sup>	4HL (96 %)
5S		tp1b0018i03	1,623	Contains (CCG)	); probe labels repeat	Sc	BG314248	5BS5-0.71-0.81	5HL (95 %)
								5DS5-0.67-0.78	
	5S-5	tp1b0027f03 <sup>e</sup>	2,416	$0.79\pm0.05$	$0.82\pm0.03$	$0.70\pm0.04$	BF482732	3AL3-0.42-0.78 <sup>b</sup>	3H (94 %)
								3BL2-0.22-0.50 <sup>b</sup>	
								3DL2-0.27-0.81 <sup>b</sup>	
	5S-4	tp1b0016e11	2,847	$0.81\pm0.01$	$0.76\pm0.06$	$0.69\pm0.04$	BE591734	5AS3-0.75-0.98	5HS (~94 %)
								5BS6-0.81-1.00	
								5DS5-0.67-0.78	
	5S-3	tp1b0006h03	3,807	$0.77\pm0.06$	$0.74\pm0.04$	$0.63\pm0.03$	BE495282	5AS3-0.75-0.98	5HS (~93 %)
								5BS5-0.71-0.81	

Chromosome	FISH probe	FLcDNA,	cDNA/probe	Average distance	e from the centromei	e	Matching	EST position	FLcDNA position on
group arm	name	KOMUGI database	length, bp	A	В	D	EST	on bin map	barley chromosome
	5S-2	tp1b0002p18	3,112	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$0.24 \pm 0.04$	$0.10 \pm 0.02$	BE604729	C-5AS1-0.40 5BS4-0 43-0 56 <sup>b</sup>	5HS (96 %)
								C-5DS1-0.63	
	5S-1	tp1b0016k09	3,057	$0.08\pm0.05$	$0.18\pm0.02$	$0.18\pm0.03$	BE606654	C-5AS1-0.40	5HS (93 %)
								C-5BS4-0.43	
								C-5DS1-0.63	
5L		tp1b0017c03	4,100	Contains (GGA)	)10; probe labels repe	ats <sup>c</sup>	BF428826	5AL10-0.57-0.78	5HL (95 %)
								5BL9-0.76-0.79	
								5DL5-0.76-1.00	
	5L-1	tp1b0014123	3,737	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.07\pm0.02$	BF292055	C-5AL12-0.35	7HS (92 %)
								C-5BL6-0.29	
								C-5DL1-0.60	
	4S-3	tp1b0013i03	4,240	$0.17\pm0.02$	$0.20\pm0.02$	$0.16\pm0.03$	BE606474	4BS4-0.37-0.57	5HL (97 %) 4HS (91 %)
							BE442814	C-5BL6-0.29	
								C-5DL1-0.605L	
	5L-2	AK331808	4,808	$0.47\pm0.02$	$0.46\pm0.02$	$0.45\pm0.03$	BE500727	C-5AL10-0.57	5HL (90 %), 2HS (89 %)
								C-5BL14-0.75	
								C-5DL1-0.60	
	5L-3	AK334748	5,408	$0.68\pm0.03$	$0.62\pm0.03$	$0.61\pm0.03$	BF483313	5AL10-0.57-0.78	5HL (94 %)
								5DL5-0.76-1.00 <sup>b</sup>	
	5L-4	tplb0043p15 <sup>e</sup>	1,514	$0.70\pm0.03$	$0.74\pm0.03$	$0.70\pm0.02$	BF483487	5DL5-0.76-1.00	5HL (92 %)
							BE404437 BF474029	5AL10-0.57-0.78	
	$Acc$ - $2^{ m d}$ , e		5,592						
6S		AK331504	3,732	Probe label repe	ats on 1B, 2B, 4B, 5.	В	BE498099	C-6DS2-0.45	6HS (95 %)
	6S-2	tplb0006a09	3,685	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	$0.41 \pm 0.02$	BF428701	6AS1-0.35-0.65 6DS2-0.45-0.79	6HS (90 %)
	6S-1	tp1b0050a13	3,244	$0.50\pm0.03$	$0.34\pm0.02$	$0.41 \pm 0.03$	BM138455	6AS1-0.35-0.65	6HS (85 %)
		1						6DS2-0.45-0.79	
<b>T</b> 9	6L-1	tp1b0016011	2,658	$0.89\pm0.01$	$0.90\pm 0.02$	$0.85\pm0.02$	BE403950	6AL8-0.90-1.00	6HL (~95 %)
								6BL	
								5-0.40-1.00	
								6DL10-0.80-1.00	

Table 1 continu	ned								
Chromosome	FISH probe	FLcDNA,	cDNA/probe	Average distanc	e from the centrome	re	Matching	EST position	FLcDNA position on
group arm	name	KOMUGI database	length, bp	A	В	D	EST	on bin map	barley chromosome
	6L-2	AK332077	5,017	$0.78\pm0.02$	$0.76\pm0.02$	$0.75\pm0.03$	BG263812	6AL8-0.90-1.00	6HL (95 %)
								6BL5-0.40-1.00	
								6DL11-0.74-0.80	
	6L-3	AK333540	3,938	$0.71\pm0.02$	$0.67\pm0.02$	$0.67\pm0.03$	BM138382	6AL	6HL (95 %)
								6DL12-0.68-0.74	
	6L-4	AK333670	4,377	$0.67\pm0.02$	$0.62 \pm 0.01$	$0.66\pm0.03$	BF473535	C-6BS5-0.76 <sup>b</sup>	6HL (95 %)
								6DS2-0.45-0.79 <sup>b</sup>	
	6L-5	tp1b0009a09	3,283	$0.26\pm0.02$	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	$0.25\pm0.02$	BF484691	C-6AL4-0.55	6HL (97 %)
								C-6BL5-0.40	
								6DL6-0.29-0.47	
ZZ	7S-4	tp1b0015e09	3,640	$0.71\pm0.03$	$0.87\pm0.02$	$0.72\pm0.01$	BE445587	7AS8-0.45-0.89	7HS several copies
								7DS4-0.61-1.00	(95%, 90%, 85%, 00%)
								7BS1-0.27-1.00	80 %)
	7S-3	tp1b0006n08	3,254	$0.63\pm0.07$	$0.72\pm0.04$	$0.63\pm0.02$	BM138427	7BS1-0.27-1.00	7HS (96 %) 6HL (89 %)
								7DS4-0.61-1.00	7HL (82 %)
	7S-2	tp1b0021a05	2,889	$0.63\pm0.03$	$0.74\pm0.04$	$0.61\pm0.02$	BF293371	C-7BS1-0.27 <sup>b</sup>	7HS (95 %)
	7S-1	AK334430	4,404	$0.45\pm0.02$	$0.51\pm0.03$	$0.43\pm0.03$	BE495760		7HS (~ 95 %)
Л	7L-1	tp1b0013b07	3,360	$0.17\pm0.04$	$0.17\pm0.03$	$0.13\pm0.02$	BF484535	C-7AL1-0.39	7HL (92 %)
								C-7BL2-0.33	
								C-7DL5-0.30	
	7L-2	tp1b0061d08	3,147	$0.36\pm0.03$	$0.33\pm0.02$	$0.27\pm0.02$	BF483178	7AL1-0.39-0.71	7HL (94 %)
	7L-3	tp1b0013g03	4,173	$0.50\pm0.02$	$0.47\pm0.01$	$0.46\pm0.01$	BE442845	7BL2-0.33-0.63	7HL (94 %)
								7DL2-0.61-0.82 <sup>b</sup>	
	4S-3	tp1b0013i03	4,240	$0.62\pm0.03$			BE606474	4BS4-0.37-0.57	5HL (97 %) 4HS (91 %)
							BE442814	5L	
	7L-4	tp1b0007014	3,957	$0.92\pm0.02$	$0.93\pm0.02$	$0.90\pm0.04$	BE591418	7AL18-0.90-1.00	7HL (90 %)
								7BL10-0.78-1.00	
								7DL3-0.82-1.00	

<sup>a</sup> Satellite

<sup>b</sup> EST bin position does not correspond well to the FISH signal position

 $^{\rm c}$  Staining corresponds with repeat distribution reported by (Cuadrado et al. 2008a, b)

<sup>d</sup> Acc-2 is a pooled probe containing mixture of three RT-PCR products (1,950, 1,942 and 2,078 bp long) (Danilova et al. 2012)

<sup>e</sup> The probe was used in our previous work (Danilova et al. 2012)

🖄 Springer



Fig. 1 Mapping 5L-3 probe (AK334748) on wheat chromosomes of cv Chinese Spring. **a** Merged image. The cDNA probe is *red*, GAA and pAs1 oligonucleotide probes are *green*, chromosomes, counterstained with DAPI are *blue*. **b** *Red* channel image. The probe hybridizes to three pairs of homoeologous chromosomes. *Bar* corresponds to  $10 \,\mu\text{m}$ 

on the long arms of group-3 chromosomes but hybridized to group 5. This cDNA clone may contain a wrong insert because instead of the expected 2,416 bp, its length is about 3,100 bp. Probe 4S-1 (AK330261) showed FISH signals on the short arms of chromosomes 4A, 4B and 4D. This sequence is 98 % similar to the EST mapped on the proximal part of 4BL and 4DL arms (Table 1), but has 95 % similarity to a sequence mapped on barley chromosome 4HS. For *Acc-2* cDNA no matching bin mapped ESTs were found.

Most of the cDNA probes hybridized to all three homoeologous chromosomes in the same order and at a similar relative position, except for chromosome arms 2AS, 4A, 4BL, 6AS and 7BS, where the positions of several probes are significantly different from those of the other wheat homoeologs (Table 1; Fig. 3). On chromosome 4A, known to be rearranged (Naranjo et al. 1987; Devos et al. 1995; Mickelson-Young et al. 1995; Miftahudin et al. 2004), all three group-4 long arm specific cDNA probes hybridized to the short arm of 4A and five cDNAs mapped on short arm of group-4 chromosomes hybridized to the long arm of 4A. One exception is probe 4S-1 (AK330261), which showed FISH signals on the short arms of all group-4 chromosomes.

The positions of the cDNA FISH probes, GAA and pAs1 bands are shown on the idiogram (Fig. 3). There are three or more FISH markers on the distal, interstitial and proximal positions on the long arms of all chromosomes except those of group 4. The cDNA FISH markers are distributed unequally along the short arms of most chromosomes and those of group 4, leaving some parts uncovered. The short arm of group -6 chromosomes has markers only at interstitial positions. Thus, we did not find markers at desirable positions for some chromosome arms, because there were either no long cDNAs with similarity to any physically mapped EST found in the Triticeae FLcDNA database or the ESTs were mapped to a very large bin, but corresponding cDNAs hybridized at adjacent positions, as on short arms of chromosome groups 5, 6 and 7.

#### FISH on chromosomes of Triticeae species

To test whether wheat probes produce detectable signals on chromosomes of other Triticeae species and reveal chromosome rearrangements, we selected Ae. umbellulata as a model. Its genetic map was constructed using RFLP markers and homoeologous relationships with wheat were studied by Zhang et al. (1998). The genetic map provided evidence for multiple translocations in Ae. umbellulata chromosomes relative to those of hexaploid wheat. At first, we developed a FISH karyotype of Ae. umbellulata by labeling GAA microsatellites and NOR sites. All chromosomes were identified (Fig. 4a) by comparing their FISH pattern, size and arm ratio with the C-banding karyotype (Friebe et al. 1995). Then, each of six wheat probes, specific for group-1 chromosomes, was applied to Ae. umbellulata preparations together with the GAA oligonucleotide probe. All wheat cDNA probes produced distinct FISH signals on Ae. umbellulata chromosomes; five were detected on chromosome 1U at similar positions and order as on wheat group-1 chromosomes (Fig. 4b). Probe 1L-3, located on the distal end of the long arms of wheat group-1 chromosomes, was detected on the distal end of chromosome arm 6UL. According to Zhang et al. (1998), chromosome 1U is colinear with most of wheat chromosome 1D except the distal segment, which was translocated to the distal end of 6U. Thus, our results correspond with those of the RFLP analysis.

Fig. 2 Mapping group-1 cDNA FISH probes on chromosomes of wheat cv Chinese Spring. The cDNA probes are *red*, GAA and pAs1 oligonucleotide probes are *green*, chromosomes counterstained with DAPI are *blue*. Each chromosome is presented as a merged image and a separate *red* channel image. *Bar* corresponds to 5  $\mu$ m





Fig. 3 Idiogram of wheat chromosomes. Heterochromatic bands, which can be visualized with GAA oligonucleotide probes, are shown in *black*, pAs1 bands are shown in *green*, cDNA probe positions are

We applied the same approach and the same set of probes to *Ae. caudata*, where the chromosome homoeology to wheat is not known. All chromosomes of *Ae. caudata* were identified (Fig. 4c) by comparing their GAA-FISH pattern, positions of NOR sites and morphology with C-banding karyotype developed by Friebe et al. (1992). The six wheat cDNA probes produced clear signals on chromosome A of *Ae. caudata* in the same order and similar positions as those on wheat chromosomes of group 1 (Fig. 4d), conferring its homoeology and suggesting that this chromosome is not rearranged.

*Thinopyrum intermedium* was another Triticeae species with an unknown chromosome structure selected for the FISH analysis. We have developed a line with the translocation chromosome T7BS·7S#3L, which confers resistance to wheat streak mosaic and *Triticum* mosaic virus (Liu et al. 2011). We employed induced homoeologous recombination (reviewed in Qi et al. 2007) to shorten the 7S#3L segment, however, after screening 400 progenies, no recombinants were recovered. This result prompted us to verify the

shown as *red dots*. The names of the probes, which hybridize to more than one homoeologous group are highlighted in *red* 

colinearity of chromosome arm 7S#3L. The translocation chromosome was identified by its GAA pattern in which the 7BS arm has specific bands and the 7S#3L arm has no GAA bands. The four wheat 7L-specific cDNA probes produced clear signals on the *Th. intermedium* chromosome arm in the same order and positions as those on the long arms of wheat group-7 chromosomes (Fig. 4d), indicating no rearrangements.

#### Discussion

#### FISH map of wheat chromosomes

The coding sequences of wheat genomes are highly conserved; mean synteny estimates between the A-, B- and D-genome loci, based on Southern blot analysis of ESTs collection, ranged from 0.91 to 0.96 (Akhunov et al. 2003). Although it is not known from which genome of *T. aestivum* originate the FLcDNAs used in our experiment, 51 out



**Fig. 4** Application of wheat cDNA FISH probes to three Triticeae species: *Ae. umbellulata* ( $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ ), *Ae. caudata* ( $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$ ) and *Th. intermedium* ( $\mathbf{e}$ ).  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$  Chromosomes of *Ae. umbellulata* and *Ae. caudata* were identified by FISH pattern of tandem repeats and chromosome morphology;  $\mathbf{a}$  GAA is *green*, NOR is *red*,  $\mathbf{c}$  GAA is *white*, NOR is *green*, pAs1 is *red*. Karyotypes were constructed with chromosomes

from a single metaphase. **b**, **d** Group-1 chromosome-specific probes are *red*, the GAA repeat is *green*. **e** The translocation chromosome T7BS 7S#3L with 7BL substituted by 7S#3L of *Th. intermedium* was identified by GISH (*Th. intermedium* gDNA is *green*, wheat DNA, counterstained with PI, is *red*) and by GAA pattern (*green*). Group-7 chromosome-specific probes are *red. Bar* corresponds to 5  $\mu$ m of 61 cDNAs hybridized to all three homoeologous chromosomes and can be used as homoeologous group specific wheat markers. Seven probes contain repetitive sequences and label dispersed or tandem repeats and three other probes hybridize to more than one homoeologous or nonhomeologous chromosome (Table 1); consequently, some chromosome arms are missing FISH markers at desirable positions.

For 91 % cDNAs hybridized to all three homoeoloci, the positions of FISH site correspond to the matching EST positions on bin deletion map. The disagreement between EST and FISH maps may be caused by presence of paralogous genes. The small size probes used in Southern analysis to map ESTs (Qi et al. 2004) may detect sequences shared by several genes. An example is sequence tplb0014k07 (probe 1L-3) which matches to sequences on barley chromosomes 1H (92 % similarity) and 3H (76 %) and to wheat EST mapped on group-3 chromosomes, but produced FISH signal on group-1 chromosomes (Table 1). Some wheat deletion chromosomes may be rearranged or telosomics may be actually acrocentrics which may cause errors in mapping of pericentromeric regions (probe 4S-1). For all cDNAs mapped, their position on wheat chromosomes corresponds to positions of homoeoloci on barley sequence physical map (The International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium 2012). Thus, our FISH map can be integrated with the wheat deletion bin map and together with the barley sequence physical map can help to verify mismapped wheat loci.

The homoeologous genomes of T. aestivum were found to be largely collinear, i.e. composed of homoeoloci at equivalent positions along the chromosomes, except chromosomes 2B, 4A, 5A, 6B and 7B involved in translocations (Devos et al. 1993b, 1995; Mickelson-Young et al. 1995; Dvorak 2009). The order and location of the cDNA FISH probes on the homoeologous genomes were colinenar as well (Table 1; Fig. 3), except chromosome arms 2AS, 4A, 4BL, 6BS, and 7BS. The proximal displacement of two probes mapped on the 6BS arm may be explained by overestimation of the arm length because of the large size of the secondary constriction at the NOR site (Dvorak et al. 1984). Chromosome 4A is known to be rearranged as a result of 4AL/5AL translocation followed by a 7BS translocation and one paracentric and two pericentric inversions (Naranjo et al. 1987; Devos et al. 1995; Mickelson-Young et al. 1995; Miftahudin et al. 2004), and all but one group-4 cDNA probe hybridized accordingly. The difference in the position of probe 4S-1 on the short arm of chromosomes 4B and 4D and the proximal displacement of three cDNA probes on 4BL (Table 1; Fig. 3) can be explained by a pericentric inversion, specific for chromosome 4B of Chinese Spring and some other cultivars (Endo and Gill 1984; Friebe and Gill 1994). Probe 4S-1 hybridized to the short

arm of chromosome 4A which may result from an additional pericentric inversion. The displacement of all four 7BS FISH probes to the distal end of 7BS reflects the translocation of a large part of chromosome arm 7BS to 4AL. Devos et al. (1993b) found that the distal end of chromosome arm 2BS was deleted as a result of 2BS/6BS translocation. We found that on chromosome arm 2AS the positions of all five cDNA probes differ significantly from 2BS and 2DS, they all displaced distally. We found the same displacement on Chinese Spring deletion bin map: among 100 EST probes physically mapped to 2AS5-0.78-1.00 bin, 20 are mapped to more proximal bins of 2BS1-0.53-0.75, and 4 mapped to 2DS1-0.33-0.47 bin (http://wheat.pw.usda. gov/cgi-bin/westsql/map\_locus.cgi). For mapping chromosome arm 2DS a stock with very large distal deletion was used (1-0.47), which makes it less informative. Based on our measurements and EST mapping, we assume that 2AS has either a distal deletion or a translocation in cv Chinese Spring. Thus, our data confirm previously known wheat chromosomal rearrangements and can be used to detect the new rearrangements.

Application of wheat FISH markers in studying chromosome homoeology of Triticeae

The success of gene transfer by induced homoeologous recombination (Riley et al. 1968; Sears 1977) depends on genome affinity and chromosome colinearity. A significant difference in meiotic pairing of alien chromosomes or chromosome arms with wheat homoeologs correspond to the level of structural rearrangements (Ceoloni et al. 1988; Cuadrado et al. 1997; Devos et al. 1993a; Lukaszewski et al. 2004). The lack of knowledge on the evolutionary and cytogenetic relationship among wheat and its relatives hampers the alien gene transfer or causes non-compensating translocations, which is the reason why only few alien genes were intensively being used in cultivar improvement (Friebe et al. 1996; Ceoloni and Jauhar 2006). RFLP analysis was so far the most informative approach to study homoeology of wheat and alien chromosomes and to reveal rearrangements. Cytogenetic studies of Triticeae employ a large collection of tandem repeats, which allow to identify individual chromosomes. But because the abundance and distribution of the repeats is highly variable among species, they are not suitable for detecting chromosome homoeology, unless applied in chromosome pairing analysis. We developed wheat cytogenetic map using FISH with probes specific to coding regions of homoeologous chromosomes. Earlier we showed that wheat FLcDNA probes can be used to detect homoeologous chromosomes and reveal rearrangements in genomes of closest wheat diploid and tetraploid relatives T. urartu and T. monococcum (genome AA), Ae. speltoides (SS), Ae. tauschii (DD), T. turgidum

subsp. dicoccoides, T. turgidum subsp. dicoccum, T. turgidum subsp. durum (AABB) and T. timopheevii (AAGG) (Danilova et al. 2012). In the current study we extended this approach to more distant wheat relatives Ae. umbellulata, Ae. caudata and Th. intermedium.

Aegilops umbellulata and Ae. caudata are annual, diploid, self-pollinated grasses. Aegilops umbellulata (2n = 14, UU) belongs to Aegilops L. section which includes nine species of different ploidy level; all of them have the U-genome combined with one of C, M, N or S genomes. Aegilops caudata (2n = 14, CC) belongs to section Cylindropyron (Jaub. et Spach) Zhuk., which has only one other species, Ae. cylindrica Host (2n = 28, DC) (Kilian et al. 2011). Thinopyrum intermedium is a perennial, cross-pollinating, allohexaploid species (2n = 6x = 42,genome JJJ<sup>s</sup>J<sup>s</sup>SS); the J genome is related to *Th. bessarabi*cum (Savul. and Rayss) A. Löve, Js is related to Dasypyrum villosum L. Candargy and S is related to a Pseudoroegneria-like progenitors (Chen et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2011; Mahelka et al. 2011). Phylogenetic analyses of several genic regions showed that sequences of Aegilops and Triticum species, including the C and U genomes, are similar and form a tight cluster, separate from Pseudoroegneria species and D. villosum. Within the Aegilops-Triticum clade of diploid species, the U and C genomes form a tighter subcluster, which shows their high similarity (Kellogg et al. 1996; Mason-Gamer 2005; Petersen et al. 2006; Escobar et al. 2011; Mahelka et al. 2011). Based on the phylogenetic data, we can assume that the genic sequences of Ae. umbellulata, Ae. caudata and other Aegilops and Triticum species are similar enough to be detected by wheat FISH probes. Indeed, the hybridization of wheat chromosome 1 -specific cDNA probes to their chromosomes was successful. FISH confirmed the rearrangement of Ae. umbellulata chromosome 1U, detected earlier by RFLP analysis (Zhang et al. 1998). The homoeologous relationships of Ae. caudata chromosomes to wheat have not been studied previously, although this species is an important source of many agronomic traits (Friebe et al. 1992; Makkouk et al. 1994; Riar et al. 2012). Our data revealed that chromosome A of Ae. caudata is homoeologous to wheat group-1 chromosomes and is not involved in any major rearrangements.

In spite of the large phylogenetic distance and dissimilarity between wheat and S-genome of *Th. intermedium*, wheat cDNA probes were detected on the 7S#3L chromosome arm. FISH mapping did not reveal any structural rearrangements, hence, our failure in recovering any recombinant chromosomes can be explained by low affinities of evolutionary distant genomes, which was confirmed by chromosome pairing analysis. The frequency of meiotic pairing between chromosome arms 7S#3L and 7BL in plants, homozygous for mutant gene *ph1b* (Sears 1977), was very low -0.3 %, (B. Friebe, T.V. Danilova, unpublished data), indicating, that for recovering recombinants with shortened *Th. intermedium* segments, a larger population needs to be screened.

The successful detection of wheat cDNA probes on all three wheat homoeologs and on chromosomes of eight Triticeae species and the high sequence similarity between wheat and barley homoeoloci (90 % or more) allows us to expect, that FISH with probes specific to genic regions can be used to detect chromosome homoeology and rearrangements in Triticeae. We plan to map additional FISH markers on wheat chromosomes to increase the resolution of this approach.

Acknowledgments This research was funded by the Kansas Wheat Commission and the Kansas Crop Improvement Association. We thank W. John Raupp for critical editorial review of the manuscript. This is contribution number 14-021-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University, Manhattan KS 66506 – 5502, USA. Publication of this article was funded in part by the Kansas State University Open Access Publication Fund.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

#### References

- Akhunov ED, Akhunova AR, Linkiewicz AM, Dubcovsky Hummel JD et al (2003) Synteny perturbations between wheat homoeologous chromosomes caused by locus duplications and deletions correlate with recombination rates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:10836–10841. doi:10.1073/pnas.1934431100
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang JH, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucl Acids Res 25:3389–3402. doi:10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Ceoloni C, Jauhar PP (2006) Chromosome engineering of the durum wheat genome: strategies and applications of potential breeding value. In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PP (eds) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering, and crop improvement: Cereals. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 27–59
- Ceoloni C, Del Signore G, Pasquini M, Testa A (1988) Transfer of mildew resistance from *Triticum longissimum* into wheat by *ph1* induced homoeologous recombination. In: Miller TE, Koebner RMD (eds) 7th International wheat genetics symposium. Institute of Plant Science Research, Cambridge (UK), pp 221–226
- Chen Q, Conner RL, Laroche A, Thomas JB (1998) Genome analysis of *Thinopyrum intermedium* and *Thinopyrum ponticum* using genomic in situ hybridization. Genome 41:580–586. doi:10.1139/ g98-055
- Cuadrado A, Schwarzacher T (1998) The chromosomal organization of simple sequence repeats in wheat and rye genomes. Chromosoma 107:587–594. doi:10.1007/s004120050345
- Cuadrado A, Vitellozzi F, Jouve N, Ceoloni C (1997) Fluorescence in situ hybridization with multiple repeated DNA probes applied to the analysis of wheat-rye chromosome pairing. Theor Appl Genet 94:347–355
- Cuadrado A, Cardoso M, Jouve N (2008a) Increasing the physical markers of wheat chromosomes using SSRs as FISH probes. Genome 51:809–815. doi:10.1139/G08-065

- Cuadrado A, Cardoso M, Jouve N (2008b) Physical organization of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in Triticeae: structural, functional and evolutionary implications. Cytogenet Genome Res 120:210–219. doi:10.1159/000121069
- Danilova TV, Birchler JA (2008) Integrated cytogenetic map of mitotic metaphase chromosome 9 of maize: resolution, sensitivity, and banding paint development. Chromosoma 117:345–356
- Danilova TV, Friebe B, Gill BS (2012) Single-copy gene fluorescence in situ hybridization and genome analysis: Acc-2 loci mark evolutionary chromosomal rearrangements in wheat. Chromosoma 121:597–611. doi:10.1007/s00412-012-0384-7
- Deng W, Nickle DC, Learn GH, Maust B, Mullins JI (2007) Viro-BLAST: a stand-alone BLAST web server for flexible queries of multiple databases and user's datasets. Bioinformatics 23:2334– 2336. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm331
- Devos KM, Atkinson MD, Chinoy CN, Francis HA, Harcourt RL, Koebner RMD, Liu CJ, Masojc P, Xie DX, Gale MD (1993a) Chromosomal rearrangements in the rye genome relative to that of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 85:673–680. doi:10.1007 /Bf00225004
- Devos KM, Millan T, Gale MD (1993b) Comparative RFLP maps of the homoeologous group-2 chromosomes of wheat, rye and barley. Theor Appl Genet 85:784–792. doi:10.1007/BF00225020
- Devos KM, Dubcovsky J, Dvorak J, Chinoy CN, Gale MD (1995) Structural evolution of wheat chromosomes 4A, 5A, and 7B and its impact on recombination. Theor Appl Genet 91:282–288. doi: 10.1007/BF00220890
- Dvorak J (1980) Homoeology between Agropyron elongatum chromosomes and Triticum aestivum chromosomes. Can J Genet Cytol 22:237–259. doi:10.1139/g80-029
- Dvorak J (2009) Triticeae genome structure and evolution. In: Feuillet C, Muehlbauer GJ (eds) Genetics and genomics of the Triticeae. Springer, London, pp 685–711
- Dvorak J, Mcguire PE, Mendlinger S (1984) Inferred chromosome morphology of the ancestral genome of Triticum. Plant Syst Evol 144:209–220. doi:10.1007/BF00984134
- Endo TR, Gill BS (1984) Somatic karyotype, heterochromatin distribution, and nature of chromosome differentiation in common wheat, Triticum aestivum L. em Thell. Chromosoma 89:361–369. doi:10.1007/BF00331253
- Escobar JS, Scornavacca C, Cenci A, Guilhaumon C, Santoni S et al (2011) Multigenic phylogeny and analysis of tree incongruences in Triticeae (Poaceae). BMC Evol Biol 11:181. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-181
- Feuillet C, Keller B (2002) Comparative genomics in the grass family: molecular characterization of grass genome structure and evolution. Annals Bot 89:3–10. doi:10.1093/aob.2002.mcf008
- Friebe B, Gill BS (1994) C-band polymorphism and structural rearrangements detected in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Euphytica 78:1–5. doi:10.1007/BF00021392
- Friebe B, Schubert V, Bluthner WD, Hammer K (1992) C-Banding pattern and polymorphism of *Aegilops caudata* and chromosomal constitutions of the amphiploid *Triticum aestivum*, *Ae. caudata* and 6 derived chromosome addition lines. Theor Appl Genet 83:589–596. doi:10.1007/BF00226902
- Friebe B, Tuleen N, Jiang JM, Gill BS (1993) Standard karyotype of *Triticum longissimum* and its cytogenetic relationship with *Triticum aestivum*. Genome 36:731–742. doi:10.1139/G93-098
- Friebe B, Jiang J, Tuleen N, Gill BS (1995) Standard karyotype of *Triticum umbellulatum* and the characterization of derived chromosome addition and translocation lines in common wheat. Theor Appl Genet 90:150–156. doi:10.1007/BF00221010
- Friebe B, Jiang J, Raupp WJ, McIntosh RA, Gill BS (1996) Characterization of wheat-alien translocations conferring resistance to diseases and pests: current status. Euphytica 91:59–87. doi:10.1 007/BF00035277

- Friebe BR, Tuleen NA, Gill BS (1999) Development and identification of a complete set of *Triticum aestivum*, *Aegilops geniculata* chromosome addition lines. Genome 42:374–380. doi:10.1139/ Gen-42-3-374
- Gerlach WL, Bedbrook JR (1979) Cloning and characterization of ribosomal RNA genes from wheat and barley. Nucl Acids Res 7:1869–1885. doi:10.1093/nar/7.7.1869
- Gill BS, Friebe B, Endo TR (1991) Standard karyotype and nomenclature system for description of chromosome bands and structural aberrations in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Genome 34:830– 839. doi:10.1139/g91-128
- Karafiátová M, Bartoš J, Kopecký D, Ma L, Sato K, Houben A, Stein N, Doležel J (2013) Mapping nonrecombining regions in barley using multicolor FISH. Chrom Res. doi:10.1007/ s10577-013-9380-x
- Kato A, Lamb JC, Birchler JA (2004) Chromosome painting using repetitive DNA sequences as probes for somatic chromosome identification in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:13554– 13559. doi:10.1073/pnas.0403659101
- Kato A, Albert PS, Vega JM, Birchler JA (2006) Sensitive fluorescence in situ hybridization signal detection in maize using directly labeled probes produced by high concentration DNA polymerase nick translation. Biotech Histochem 81:71–78. doi:10.1080/10520290600643677
- Kawaura K, Mochida K, Enju A, Totoki Y, Toyoda A et al (2009) Assessment of adaptive evolution between wheat and rice as deduced from full-length common wheat cDNA sequence data and expression patterns. BMC Genom 10:271. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-271
- Kellogg EA, Appels R, MasonGamer RJ (1996) When genes tell different stories: the diploid genera of Triticeae (Gramineae). Syst Botany 21:321–347. doi:10.2307/2419662
- Kilian B, Mammen K, Millet E, Sharma R, Graner A et al (2011) Aegilops. In: Kole C (ed) Wild crop relatives: genomic and breeding resources. Cereals, Springer, Berlin, pp 1–76
- Komuro S, Endo R, Shikata K, Kato A (2013) Genomic and chromosomal distribution patterns of various repeated DNA sequences in wheat revealed by a fluorescence in situ hybridization procedure. Genome 56:131–137. doi:10.1139/gen-2013-0003
- Lamb JC, Danilova T, Bauer MJ, Meyer JM, Holland JJ, Jensen MD, Birchler JA (2007) Single-gene detection and karyotyping using small-target fluorescence in situ hybridization on maize somatic chromosomes. Genetics 175:1047–1058
- Liu W, Seifers DL, Qi LL, Friebe B, Gill BS (2011) A Compensating wheat, *Thinopyrum intermedium* Robertsonian translocation conferring resistance to wheat streak mosaic virus and *Triticum* mosaic virus. Crop Sci 51:2382–2390. doi:10.2135/crop sci2011.03.0118
- Lukaszewski AJ, Rybka K, Korzun V, Malyshev SV, Lapinski B et al (2004) Genetic and physical mapping of homoeologous recombination points involving wheat chromosome 2B and rye chromosome 2R. Genome 47:36–45. doi:10.1139/G03-089
- Ma L, Vu GTH, Schubert V, Watanabe K, Stein N, Houben A, Schubert I (2010) Synteny between *Brachypodium distachyon* and *Hordeum vulgare* as revealed by FISH. Chromosome Research 18(7):841–850
- Mahelka V, Kopecky D, Pastova L (2011) On the genome constitution and evolution of intermediate wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum intermedium:* Poaceae, Triticeae). BMC Evol Biol 11:127. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-127
- Makkouk KM, Comeau A, Ghulam W (1994) Resistance to barley yellow dwarf luteovirus in *Aegilops* Species. Can J Plant Sci 74:631–634. doi:10.4141/cjps94-113
- Mason-Gamer RJ (2005) The beta-amylase genes of grasses and a phylogenetic analysis of the Triticeae (Poaceae). Amer J Botany 92:1045–1058. doi:10.3732/ajb.92.6.1045

- McArthur RI, Zhu X, Oliver RE, Klindworth DL, Xu SS et al (2012) Homoeology of *Thinopyrum junceum* and *Elymus rectisetus* chromosomes to wheat and disease resistance conferred by the *Thinopyrum* and *Elymus* chromosomes in wheat. Chrom Res 20:699–715. doi:10.1007/s10577-012-9307-y
- Mickelson-Young L, Endo TR, Gill BS (1995) A cytogenetic laddermap of the wheat homoeologous group-4 chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet 90:1007–1011. doi:10.1007/BF00222914
- Miftahudin RK, Ma XF, Mahmoud AA, Layton J, Milla MAR et al (2004) Analysis of expressed sequence tag loci on wheat chromosome group 4. Genetics 168:651–663. doi:10.1534/ genetics.104.034827
- Mochida K, Yoshida T, Sakurai T, Ogihara Y, Shinozaki K (2009) Tri-FLDB: a database of clustered full-length coding sequences from Triticeae with applications to comparative grass genomics. Plant Physiol 150:1135–1146. doi:10.1104/pp.109.138214
- Mukai Y, Nakahara Y, Yamamoto M (1993) Simultaneous discrimination of the three genomes in hexaploid wheat by multicolor fluorescence in situ hybridization using total genomic and highly repeated DNA probes. Genome 36:489–494. doi:10.1139/g93-067
- Naranjo T, Roca A, Goicoechea PG, Giraldez R (1987) Arm homoeology of wheat and rye chromosomes. Genome 29:873–882. doi:10.1139/g87-149
- Pedersen C, Langridge P (1997) Identification of the entire chromosome complement of bread wheat by two-colour FISH. Genome 40:589–593. doi:10.1139/g97-077
- Pedersen C, Rasmussen SK, LindeLaursen I (1996) Genome and chromosome identification in cultivated barley and related species of the *Triticeae (Poaceae)* by in situ hybridization with the GAA-satellite sequence. Genome 39:93–104. doi:10.1139/g96-013
- Petersen G, Seberg O, Yde M, Berthelsen K (2006) Phylogenetic relationships of *Triticum* and *Aegilops* and evidence for the origin of the A, B, and D genomes of common wheat (Triticum aestivum). Mol Phylogenet Evol 39:70–82. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2006.01.023
- Qi L, Echalier B, Friebe B, Gill BS (2003) Molecular characterization of a set of wheat deletion stocks for use in chromosome bin mapping of ESTs. Funct Integr Genomics 3:39–55. doi:10.1007/ s10142-002-0063-5

- Qi LL, Echalier B, Chao S, Lazo GR, Butler GE et al (2004) A chromosome bin map of 16,000 expressed sequence tag loci and distribution of genes among the three genomes of polyploid wheat. Genetics 168:701–712. doi:10.1534/genetics.104.034868
- Qi L, Friebe B, Zhang P, Gill BS (2007) Homoeologous recombination, chromosome engineering and crop improvement. Chrom Res 15:3–19. doi:10.1007/s10577-006-1108-8
- Reeves A, Tear J (2000) MicroMeasure for Windows, version 3.3
- Riar AK, Kaur S, Dhaliwal HS, Singh K, Chhuneja P (2012) Introgression of a leaf rust resistance gene from *Aegilops caudata* to bread wheat. J Genetics 91:155–161. doi:10.1007/s12041-012-0161-7
- Riley R, Chapman V, Johnson R (1968) Incorporation of alien disease resistance in wheat by genetic interference with regulation of meiotic chromosome synapsis. Genet Res 12:199–219. doi:10.1017/S0016672300011800
- Sears ER (1952) Homoeologous chromosomes in *Triticum aestivum*. Genetics 37:624
- Sears ER (1977) An induced mutant with homoeologous pairing in common wheat. Can J Gen Cytol 19:585–593. doi:10.1139/g77-063
- Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P (1996-2004) RepeatMasker Open-3.0. http://www.repeatmasker.org
- The International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium (2012) A physical, genetical and functional sequence assembly of the barley genome. Nature 491:711–716
- Yang YC, Tuleen NA, Hart GE (1996) Isolation and identification of *Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell. cv Chinese Spring, *T.peregrinum* Hackel disomic chromosome addition lines. Theor Appl Genet 92:591–598. doi:10.1007/s001220050168
- Zhang H, Jia J, Gale MD, Devos KM (1998) Relationships between the chromosomes of *Aegilops umbellulata* and wheat. Theor Appl Genet 96:69–75. doi:10.1007/s001220050710
- Zhang P, Friebe B, Lukaszewski AJ, Gill BS (2001) The centromere structure in Robertsonian wheat-rye translocation chromosomes indicates that centric breakage-fusion can occur at different positions within the primary constriction. Chromosoma 110:335–344. doi:10.1007/s004120100159