Females in the forefront: The effects of a temporal intervention on impulsive choice in Sprague Dawley rats

INTRODUCTION

- Impulsive choice: Preference for a smaller-sooner (SS) outcome when a largerlater (LL) outcome is advantageous in terms of reward rate
- More impulsive male Sprague Dawley rats exhibited poorer discrimination between temporal durations,^{1,2} and greater aversion to longer reward delays¹ • Time-based neurocognitive interventions improved self-control (i.e., reduced
- impulsive choice) and increased male rats' timing precision³
- There has been little research on female rats' impulsive choice and timing behavior, as well as neurocognitive intervention effects on these phenomena • Experimental goals: Determine the effect of a time-based neurocognitive
- intervention on impulsive choice and timing behavior in female rats

METHODS

Control Task

• 24 experimentally-naïve female Sprague Dawley rats

2)

Impulsive Choice <u>SS</u>: 1 p ($5 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 20$ s) <u>LL</u>: 2 p (30 s)

[Free-choice, forced choice, and peak trials] **Time-Based Intervention**

<u>Phase 1</u>: FI 10 s (1 p)

<u>Phase 2</u>: FI 30 s (2 p)

DATA ANALYSIS

	Impulsive Choice	
•	Measure:	• Me
	• SS vs. LL choices [SS = 0; LL = 1]	• R
•	Statistical Analysis:	n
	 Generalized linear mixed effects models 	• Cur
	 <u>Distribution</u>: binomial; <u>Link</u>: logit 	• 1
•	Analytical Approach:	r
	 Determined best random- effects structure 	• A
	 Then, determined best fixed-effects structure added to random-effects structure 	• <i>q</i> d • Dei
•	Model Selection:	• <u>P</u>
	Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)	• <u>P</u> • <u>P</u>
•	• Fixed Effects, Intercent Croup*	• <u>P</u>
	Pre/Post, Group*SS Delay*Session, Pre/Post*SS Delay*Session	• Sta • L
	 <u>Random Effects</u>: Intercept, Session, Pre/Post*SS Delay 	• <u>P</u> D
	 * Interactions included all lower- order interactions and main effects 	• <u>N</u> C

Andrew T. Marshall[‡], Sarah L. Stuebing, Ashton Triplett, & Kimberly Kirkpatrick Kansas State University (Manhattan, KS, USA)

<u>Phase 1</u>: FR 2 (1 p) <u>Phase 2</u>: FR 2 (2 p)

3) <u>Impulsive Choice</u> <u>SS</u>: 1 p ($5 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 20$ s)

<u>LL</u>: 2 p (30 s)

[Free-choice, forced choice, and peak trials]

Interval Timing

easure:

Response rate (responses per ninute) in peak trials rve Fitting Analysis:

$r + A\varphi(\mu, \sigma)$

r: Baseline (operant) level of responding **4**: Scaling parameter $\varphi(\mu,\sigma)$: Gaussian probability lensity function rived Measures: <u>Peak time (accuracy)</u>: μ Peak spread (precision): σ <u>Peak rate</u>: Value of equation at μ Peak coefficient of variation: σ/μ tistical Analysis: Linear regression <u>Predictors</u>: Group, Pre/Post, SS Delay for SS and LL levers <u>Measures</u>: Peak time, spread, rate, coefficient of variation (CV)





Post-intervention LL choice increased in Intervention, decreased in Control Group

Half of the intervention rats made more LL choices postintervention, whereas the control rats were more mixed Greater pre-intervention sensitivity to SS delay



Both groups demonstrated sensitivity to changes in SS delay in their peak times

Both groups exhibited more concentrated responding around the expected time of reward during post- than pre-intervention peak trials

• Increased timing precision (decreased spread) post-intervention in both groups

Larger post-intervention increase in LL peak rate in Intervention Group

DISCUSSION

 Females show a significant intervention effect, demonstrating generality of the time-based intervention across the sexes However, unlike male rats³, the females did not display increases in timing precision (spread) post-intervention • The results indicate that the timebased intervention in female rats may act more on motivational mechanisms, such as delay tolerance rather than specific core timing processes

REFERENCES

Marshall, A. T., Smith, A. P., & Kirkpatrick, K. (2014). Mechanisms of impulsive choice: I. Individual differences in interval timing and reward processing. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 102, 86-101. McClure, J., Podos, J., & Richardson, H. N. (2014). Isolating the delay component of impulsive choice

in adolescent rats. Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 8, 1-9. Smith, A. P., Marshall, A. T., & Kirkpatrick, K. (2015). Mechanisms of impulsive choice: II. Timebased interventions to improve self-control. Behavioural Processes, 112, 29-42.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) via award MH085739. We would like to thank Jen Peterson, Catherine Hill, Jeremy Lott, Christian Davis, Sydney Edmisten, Melina Campa, Jesseca Pirkle, Carrie Turpen, Andrea Rhodes, Vanessa Hajek, and Amanda Crawford.