## Thursday, November 21, 2024 2:30-3:30

Pre-Meeting Tasks	Pre-Meeting Tasks:			
Please review rubric feedback and edited format, included on this document.				
☐ Maggie	Facilitator: Craig			
Billman □ Katelin	Norm-Checker: Katelin			
Christianer-	Timekeeper: Maggie			
Donkers <del>□ Kerri Keller</del>	Notetaker: Drew			
☐ Drew Pearl				
☐ Susan				
Rensing □ Craig				
Schroeder				
<del>□</del> —Mary Tolar				
□ Paige Vulgamore				
	bte, stimulate, and support applied learning opportunities across Kansas and the world for all students at			
Kansas State Univ				
Kandad State Sini	. Crosty.			
Vision: All students will experience robust, impactful applied learning while at Kansas State University.				
Norms:				
Start and end on time				
Face to face as preferred participation mode but option of Teams				
Use parking lot when we get off topic				
All decisions are student-centered				
Treat each other with mutual respect				
Value all ideas and viewpoints				
Listen to understand, be mindful of all voices				
	Practice good stewardship of all resources			
. 3	·			

Agenda	Tim	Purpose	Notes
Item	е		
Celebratio	2:30	Share	- Integrated Physiology Lab partnership
ns		personal	- Conference presentation
		and	
		profession	
		al	
		celebratio	
ALE D. la da	2.25	ns.	
ALE Rubric	2:35	Review	Should we rename the "rubric" to outline and then have a detailed single point rubric that is
Feedback		feedback,	used for the course/completion shell?
Review		make	
		edits, and	View feedback report here: <u>ALE Framework Feedback Report 11-20.pdf</u>
		finalize the	
		ALE Rubric	View ALE Framework here: KSU ALE Framework 11.18.24.docx
		for use.	
			- Rubric has been adjusted and updated based on feedback
			- Clarified time requirements
			- Intent of the document is to be inclusive – goal to be loose to allow for
			disciplinary/departmental shifts, etc.
			- Alternative to hard/soft skills – technical, professional, and interpersonal skills
			- How to incorporate self-assessment for students, in addition to mentor assessment?
			<ul> <li>What are the scenarios when a mentor would not be available/able to assess the student?</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Keeping open who does the assessment</li> </ul>
			- "Hands-on" - remove for clarity and inclusion
			- Active vs. Intentional observation? Active engagement.
			- Framework instead of rubric

ALE Single	3:15	https://docs.google.com/document/d/1f9vnAHGcBPqCpTEQpMA5IUFP0IqOICekjG4fmI2qRFE/ed
Point		it?usp=sharing
Rubric		<ul> <li>Created single point rubric from the framework</li> <li>Evidence is supplied by the learner</li> <li>TBD: who assesses the experience using the rubric?         <ul> <li>Faculty members think it should be them</li> </ul> </li> <li>All boxes must be checked to count as applied learning – not a buffet</li> <li>Will live in Canvas – guidance from instructors, etc.</li> </ul>
То-		
Dos/Next		
Tasks		
Parking		Circle back on single-point rubric
Lot		