# PRESEASON IRRIGATION OF CORN WITH DIMINISHED WELL CAPACITIES

Alan Schlegel, Agronomist
Loyd Stone, Soil Physicist
Troy Dumler, Economist
Freddie Lamm, Irrigation Engineer
Kansas State University
SWREC-Tribune, KS

Voice: 620-376-4761 Fax: 620-376-2278

Email: schlegel@ksu.edu

### **ABSTRACT**

Many of the irrigation systems today in the Central Great Plains no longer have the capacity to apply peak irrigation needs during the summer and must rely on soil water reserves to buffer the crop from water stress. Considerable research was conducted on preseason irrigation in the US Great Plains region during the 1980s and 1990s. In general, the conclusions were that in-season irrigation was more beneficial than preseason irrigation and that often preseason irrigation was not warranted. The objective of this study was to determine whether preseason irrigation would be profitable with today's lower capacity wells. A field study was conducted at the KSU-SWREC near Tribune, KS, from 2006 to 2009. The study was a factorial design of preplant irrigation (0 and 3 in), well capacities (0.1, 0.15, and 0.20 in day<sup>-1</sup> capacity), and seeding rate (22,500, 27,500, and 32,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>). Preseason irrigation increased grain yields an average of 16 bu a<sup>-1</sup>. Grain yields were 29% greater when well capacity was increased from 0.10 to 0.20 in day<sup>-1</sup>. Crop productivity was not significantly affected by well capacity or preseason irrigation. Preseason irrigation was profitable at all well capacities. At well capacities of 0.10 and 0.15 in day<sup>-1</sup>, a seeding rate of 27,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup> was generally more profitable than lower or higher seeding rates. A higher seeding rate (32,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>) increased profitability when well capacity was increased to 0.2 in day<sup>-1</sup>.

### INTRODUCTION

Irrigated crop production is a mainstay of agriculture in western Kansas. However, with declining water levels in the Ogallala aquifer and increasing energy costs, optimal utilization of limited irrigation water is required. The most common crop grown under irrigation in western Kansas is corn (about 50% of the irrigated acres). Almost all of the groundwater pumped from the High Plains (Ogallala) Aquifer is used for irrigation (97% of the groundwater pumped in

western Kansas in 1995 [Kansas Department of Agriculture, 1997]). In 1995, of 3 billion m³ of water pumped for irrigation in western Kansas, 1.41 million acre-ft (57%) were applied to corn (Kansas Water Office, 1997). This amount of water withdrawal from the aquifer has reduced saturated thickness (up to 150 ft in some areas) and well capacities.

Considerable research was conducted on preseason irrigation in the US Great Plains region during the 1980s and 1990s (Stone et al., 1983, 1987, and 1994; Lamm and Rogers, 1985; Musick and Lamm, 1990; Rogers and Lamm, 1994). In general, the conclusions were that in-season irrigation was more beneficial than preseason irrigation and that often preseason irrigation was not warranted because overwinter precipitation could replenish a significant portion of the soil water profile. Much of this research was conducted during a generally wetter climatic period in the Great Plains and also under circumstances of ample inseason irrigation capacity. The Great Plains drought that occurred during the early part of the last decade (2000-2009) renewed producer interest and has brought new questions about preseason irrigation. In a more recent study Stone et al. (2008) used simulation modeling to examine the effectiveness of preseason irrigation. They found the differences in storage efficiency between spring and fall irrigation peaked at approximately 37 percentage points (storage efficiency of approximately 70% for spring and 33% for fall irrigation) when the maximum soil water during the preseason period was at approximately 77% of available soil water.

Many of the irrigation systems today in the Central Great Plains no longer have the capacity to apply peak irrigation needs during the summer and must rely on soil water reserves to buffer the crop from water stress. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate whether preseason irrigation would be profitable when well capacity is limited and insufficient to fully meet crop requirements.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field study was conducted at the KSU-SWREC near Tribune, KS from 2006 to 2009. Normal precipitation for the growing season (April through September) is 13.2 in and normal annual precipitation is 17.4 in. The study was a factorial design of preseason irrigation (0 and 3 in), well capacities (0.10, 0.15, and 0.20 in day<sup>-1</sup> capacity), and seeding rate (22,500, 27,500 and 32,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>). The irrigation treatments were whole plots and the plant populations were subplots. Each treatment combination was replicated four times and applied to the same plot each year. The irrigation treatments were applied with a lateral-move sprinkler with amounts limited to the assumed well capacities. The preseason irrigations were applied in early April and in-season irrigations were applied from about mid-June to early September. The in-season irrigations were generally applied weekly except when precipitation was sufficient to meet crop needs. Corn was planted in late April or early May each year. The center two rows of each plot were machine harvested with grain yields adjusted to 15.5% moisture

(wet basis). Plant and ear populations were determined by counting plants and ears in the center two rows prior to harvest. Seed weights (oven-dried) were determined on 100-count samples from each plot. Kernels per ear were calculated from seed weight, ear population, and grain yield. Soil water measurements (8 ft depth in 1 ft increments) were taken throughout the growing season using neutron attenuation. All water inputs, precipitation and irrigation, were measured.

Crop water use was calculated by summing soil water depletion (soil water at planting less soil water at harvest) plus in-season irrigation and precipitation. Inseason irrigations were 9.6, 12.6, and 19.0 inches in 2006; 7.2, 10.1, 15.6 inches in 2007; 8.2, 11.0, 14.8 inches in 2008; and 8.8, 11.8, 17.9 inches in 2009 for the 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20 in day<sup>-1</sup> well capacity treatments, respectively. In-season precipitation was 6.9 inches in 2006, 8.1 inches in 2007, 9.4 inches in 2008; and 14.4 inches in 2009. Non-growing season soil water accumulation was the increase in soil water from harvest to the amount at planting the following year. Non-growing season precipitation was 15.0 inches in 2007, 4.2 inches in 2008, and 8.6 inches in 2009 with an average of 9.3 in. Precipitation storage efficiency (without preseason irrigation) was calculated as non-growing season soil water accumulation divided by non-growing season precipitation. Crop productivity was calculated by dividing grain yield (lb a<sup>-1</sup>) by crop water use (in). Local corn prices (\$3.39, 4.80, 3.96, and 3.46 bu<sup>-1</sup> in 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively), crop input costs, and custom rates were used to perform an economic analysis to determine net return to land, management, and irrigation equipment for each treatment.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preseason irrigation increased grain yields an average of 16 bu a<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). Although not significant, the effect was greater at lower well capacities. For example, with a seeding rate of 27,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>, preseason irrigation (3 in) increased grain yield by 21 bu a<sup>-1</sup> with a well capacity of 0.10 in day<sup>-1</sup> while only 7 bu a<sup>-1</sup> with a well capacity of 0.20 in day<sup>-1</sup>. As expected, grain yields increased with increased well capacity. Grain yields (averaged across preseason irrigation and seeding rate) were 29% greater when well capacity was increased from 0.1 to 0.2 in day<sup>-1</sup>. Preseason irrigation and increased well capacity increased the number of seeds ear<sup>-1</sup> but had little impact on seed weight.

The optimum seeding rate varied with irrigation level. With the two lowest well capacities and without preseason irrigation, a seeding rate of 22,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup> was generally adequate. However, if preseason irrigation was applied, then a higher seeding rate (27,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>) increased yields. With a well capacity of 0.2 in day<sup>-1</sup>, a seeding rate of 32,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup> provided greater yields with or without preseason irrigation.

Crop productivity was not significantly affected by well capacity or preseason irrigation (Table 1), although the trend was for greater crop productivity with increased water supply. Similar to grain yields, the effect of seeding rate varied with irrigation level. With lower irrigation levels, a seeding rate of 27,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup> tended to optimize crop productivity. It was only at the highest well capacity that a higher seeding rate improved crop productivity.

Crop water use increased with well capacity and preseason irrigation (Table 2). Soil water at harvest increased with increased well capacity, but this caused less soil water to accumulate during the winter. Non-growing season soil water accumulation averaged 2.7 in (without preseason irrigation). Average non-growing season precipitation was 9.3 in giving an average non-growing season precipitation storage efficiency of 29%. Preseason irrigation (about 3 in) increased available soil water at planting by 1.7 in. Seeding rate had minimal effect on soil water at planting or crop water use but increased seeding rate tended to decrease soil water at harvest and increase over-winter water accumulation.

Preseason irrigation was found to be profitable at all irrigation capacities (Table 3). At the two lower well capacities, a seeding rate of 27,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup> was generally the most profitable. However, the highest irrigation capacity benefited from a seeding rate of 32,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Corn grain yields responded positively to preseason irrigation and increases in well capacity. This yield increase generally resulted from increases in kernels ear<sup>-1</sup>. Preseason irrigation was profitable at all well capacities. Seeding rate should be adjusted for the amount of irrigation water available from both well capacity and preseason irrigation. At well capacities of 0.10 and 0.15 in day<sup>-1</sup>, a seeding rate of 27,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup> was generally more profitable than lower or higher seeding rates. A higher seeding rate (32,500 seeds a<sup>-1</sup>) increased profitability when well capacity was increased to 0.20 in day<sup>-1</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the Ogallala Aquifer Program, a consortium between USDA Agricultural Research Service, Kansas State University, Texas AgriLife Research, Texas AgriLife Extension Service, Texas Tech University, and West Texas A&M University.

### REFERENCES

- Lamm, F.R. and D.H. Rogers. 1985. Soil water recharge function as a decision tool for preseason irrigation. *Trans. ASAE* 28: 1521-1525.
- Musick, J.T. and F.R. Lamm. 1990. Preplant irrigation in the central and southern High Plains A review. *Trans. ASAE* 33: 1834-1842.
- Rogers, D.H. and F.R. Lamm. 1994. Soil water survey after corn harvest in northwest Kansas. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 10(1): 37-40.
- Stone, L.R., C.G. Carlson, T.L. Hanson, R.E. Gwin, Jr., P. Gallagher, and M.L. Horton. 1983. Amount of profile water in early spring resulting from increased profile water in fall. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 47: 305-309.
- Stone, L.R., R.E. Gwin, Jr., P.J. Gallagher, and M.J. Hattendorf. 1987. Dormant-season irrigation: Grain yield, water use, and water loss. *Agron. J.* 79: 632-636.
- Stone, L.R., F.R. Lamm, A. J. Schlegel, and N. L. Klocke. 2008. Storage efficiency of off-season irrigation. *Agron J.* 100: 1185–1192.
- Stone, L.R., A.J. Schlegel, F.R. Lamm, and W.E. Spurgeon. 1994. Storage efficiency of preplant irrigation. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* 49: 72-76.

Table 1. Crop parameters of corn as affected by well capacity, preseason irrigation, and seeding rate, Tribune, KS, 2006 - 2009.

Well capacity	Pre- season irrigation	Seed rate	Grain yield	Crop prod.	Plant pop.	Ear pop.	1000 seed	Kernel
in day <sup>-1</sup>		10 <sup>3</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	bu a <sup>-1</sup>	lb ac-in <sup>-1</sup>	- 10 <sup>3</sup>	acre <sup>-1</sup> -	OZ	# head <sup>-1</sup>
0.10	no	22.5 27.5 32.5	153 158 155	386 397 389	22.4 26.7 31.2	21.5 24.7 28.8	13.20 12.75 12.46	476 442 379
	yes	22.5 27.5 32.5	171 179 183	403 416 419	21.9 26.7 31.5	21.5 25.3 29.6	13.43 13.15 12.80	531 478 427
0.15	no	22.5 27.5 32.5	172 173 171	389 395 383	22.2 27.0 31.1	21.2 25.9 29.2	13.24 12.93 12.84	543 465 406
	yes	22.5 27.5 32.5	185 197 201	405 431 433	22.4 27.0 31.4	21.9 26.2 30.2	13.36 13.08 12.80	563 512 466
0.20	no	22.5 27.5 32.5	200 211 223	404 414 440	22.3 27.0 31.8	22.0 26.8 31.3	13.29 13.02 12.74	615 544 503
	yes	22.5 27.5 32.5	204 218 229	396 414 436	22.1 27.0 31.9	21.9 26.8 31.2	13.59 13.27 12.74	617 551 517
ANOVA (P>F)								
Well Capacity (WC) Pre-Season WC*Pre-Season Seed Rate Seed Rate*WC Seed Rate*Pre-Season Seed Rate*Pre-Season			0.001 0.002 0.222 0.001 0.001 0.018 0.402	0.411 0.099 0.297 0.001 0.018 0.126 0.626	0.086 0.659 0.452 0.001 0.012 0.089 0.427	0.001 0.107 0.401 0.001 0.001 0.345 0.373	0.687 0.160 0.752 0.001 0.212 0.186 0.518	0.001 0.001 0.138 0.001 0.176 0.263 0.295
MEANS	Well cap.	0.10 0.15 0.20 LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	167 183 214 11	402 406 417 25	26.8 26.9 27.0 0.2	25.2 25.8 26.6 0.5	12.97 13.04 13.11 0.35	456 493 558 21
	Pre- season	no yes LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	180 196 9	400 417 21	26.9 26.9 0.2	25.7 26.1 0.4	12.94 13.14 0.28	486 518 17
	Seed rate	22,500 27,500 32,500 LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	181 189 194 3	397 411 417 8	22.2 26.9 31.5 0.2	21.7 25.9 30.1 0.3	13.35 13.03 12.73 0.09	558 499 450 10

Table 2. Available soil water in 8 ft profile, crop water use, and non-growing season water accumulation for corn as affected by well capacity, preseason irrigation, and seeding rate, Tribune, KS, 2006 - 2009.

securing ra	te, Tribune, KS	<u>, 2000 - 2</u> -		soil water		Non-growing
Well capacity	Pre-season irrigation	Seed rate	Planting	Harvest	Water use	season accumulation.
in day <sup>-1</sup>		$10^3 a^{-1}$	in 8 ft.	profile <sup>-1</sup>	in	in 8 ft. profile <sup>-1</sup>
0.10	no	22.5 27.5 32.5	8.36 8.24 8.02	5.21 4.83 4.63	21.28 21.55 21.52	2.79 2.73 2.78
	yes	22.5 27.5 32.5	10.66 10.52 10.83	5.43 4.88 4.96	23.36 23.78 24.00	5.02 5.30 5.33
0.15	no	22.5 27.5 32.5	8.78 9.17 9.06	5.47 6.08 5.68	24.35 24.13 24.42	2.71 2.56 2.98
	yes	22.5 27.5 32.5	10.51 10.46 10.71	6.19 6.15 5.98	25.36 25.35 25.76	4.05 4.77 5.05
0.20	no	22.5 27.5 32.5	10.51 9.95 10.56	9.07 7.86 8.53	27.94 28.59 28.53	2.14 3.02 2.82
ANOVA (F	yes	22.5 27.5 32.5	13.44 13.22 12.90	10.82 10.13 9.85	29.11 29.58 29.55	3.15 3.68 3.55
,	Probability>F)		0.010	0.001	0.001	0.001
Well capacity (WC) Pre-season WC*Pre-season Seed rate Seed rate*WC Seed rate*Pre-season Seed rate*WC*Pre-season			0.010 0.001 0.647 0.779 0.692 0.985 0.389	0.001 0.266 0.587 0.076 0.173 0.820 0.625	0.001 0.001 0.010 0.001 0.059 0.546 0.749	0.001 0.001 0.002 0.156 0.424 0.303
MEANS	Well capacity	0.10 0.15 0.20 LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	9.44 9.78 11.76 1.49	4.99 5.92 9.37 1.77	22.58 24.89 28.88 0.39	3.99 3.69 3.06 0.38
	Pre- season	no yes LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	9.18 11.47 1.22	6.37 7.15 1.44	24.70 26.21 0.32	2.73 4.43 0.31
	Seed rate	22.5 27.5 32.5 LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	10.38 10.26 10.35 0.34	7.03 6.65 6.61 0.40	25.23 25.50 25.63 0.18	3.31 3.68 3.75 0.24

Table 3. Net return to land, irrigation equipment, and management from preseason irrigation (0 or 3 in) at three irrigation well capacities and three seeding rates at Tribune, KS 2006-2009.

Well	Preseason	Seeding rate (10 <sup>3</sup> a <sup>-1</sup> )			
capacity	Irrigation	22.5	27.5	32.5	
in day <sup>-1</sup>		Net return, \$ a <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>			
0.10	No	231	238	214	
	Yes	285	300	297	
0.15	No	290	283	261	
	Yes	321	352	357	
0.20	No	415	449	485	
	Yes	417	458	492	