Status of Konza Small Mammal Research 2018



Andrew G Hope Sabrina Gragg Agustin Jimenez-Ruiz (SIU) Vasyl Tkach (UND) Jesse Nippert



Konza Small Mammals Are:

- Consumers herbivores, granivores/frugivores, insectivores
- Seed dispersers larder hoarders
- Sources of fertilizer excrement, urine
- Sources of disturbance positive, negative
- Hosts to parasites and carriers of disease complex life cycles, zoonotics

Questions

- 1. How do small mammal community dynamics vary across grazing and fire treatments? (Kaufman)
- 2. As consumers:
 - a) How does diet vary by species, by experimental treatment, and through time?
 - b) What is the relative importance of small mammals vs. other consumer groups
 - c) What are they eating?
- 3. As parasite hosts:
 - a) What are the parasites and pathogens occurring on Konza?
 - b) Is prevalence of parasites/pathogens influenced by experimental treatment?
 - c) What are the co-evolutionary relationships between hosts and parasites (generalist vs. specialist parasites)?

Field Sampling - 5 years (2016-2020), sampling once per year (summer)

- 8 watersheds (1D, SpB, SuB, 4B, 4F, 20B, R1A, R20A)
- 2 transects/treatment, 40 traps each, 4 nights
- blue=catch and release, red=specimen removal



Lab Methods 1

- Specimen curation
- Collection of tissues
 - Organs
 - Fur
 - Parasites
 - Skeletons



Lab Methods 2

- Identification of parasites
- 3 new species of nematodes
 - 2 from least shrews
 - 1 from pocket mice
- Lyme-positive shrews
- Ongoing biodiversity surveys















Stable Isotopes, Consumer Niches, Woody Encroachment

- Analyze C and N isotopic ratios to investigate differences in dietary niche:
 - Intra-specific and inter-specific
 - Seasonal (intra-annual):
 - Fur reflects spring diet
 - Liver reflects summer diet
 - Inter-annual variation 2016, 2017
 - Variation across watersheds:
 - Annual
 - 4 Year
 - 20 Year

Bia Gragg – ArtSciUGO Scholarship 2018



Hypotheses

- 1. Resource use by small mammals will be significantly different between grassland and woodland habitats
- 2. Dietary breadth of species will be greater in the more floristically diverse woody habitats
- 3. Dietary niche will be more stable through time in woody habitats than in grasslands which experience higher variability through seasonal fire and greater exposure

Preliminary Results – 2 species (white-footed/deer mouse), limited samples



- Different fundamental niches
- Variability:
 - Intra-annual
 - Inter-annual
- Generalist Diet

Conclusions?

- As grasslands experience woody encroachment, consumers change, along with resource use.
- Woody habitats appear to provide a narrow and stable diet compared with grasslands.
- But...
 - What are they actually eating?
 - What about these species in other habitats?
 - What about other species (Community Dynamics)?

Sampling – 5 dominant species

- Prairie vole: N=32 Annual, 4 Year, Reversal (R1A)
- White-footed mouse: N=32 4 Year, Reversal, 20 Year (20B)
- Deer mouse: N=28 Annual, 4 Year, Reversal
- Harvest mouse: N=8 Annual, 4 Year
- Cotton rat: N=32 4 Year, Reversal, 20 Year











Whole Community

Liver (Summer Diet)



dC (per mil)

Example: Prairie vole

- Generally a grassland species
 - Annual
 - 4 Year
 - Reversals
- True Herbivore





Inter-annual Variation in Carbon

dC (per mil)



Season-specific Nitrogen Shifts

dC (per mil)

Next Steps

- Multi-variate Statistics
 - Niche ellipses
 - Niche overlap
 - Temporal shifts through niche space
 - Bayesian Mixing Models
 - Food group assignments



Thanks: Rory O'Connor



LTER

Questions or discussion?



Preliminary Results – Continued...



- Different mean and variance
- Woodland Diet:
 - Narrow
 - Stable
- Grassland Diet:
 - Broader
 - More variable

Whole Community



dC (per mil)



Intra-specific variation among treatments?