MINUTES FACULTY SENATE COMMITTEE ON UNIVERSITY PLANNING October 19, 2006 - 3:30 p.m., Union room 205

Present: Collins, Gormely, Herald, Kearns. Shubert, Stokes, Wang, and Yahnke Absent: Behnke, Bloodgood, Condia, Maddy, McKinney, Oberst, and Rowlett Guest: Kelli Cox

- 1. Tom Herald, Chair, called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.
- 2. The October 5, 2006 minutes stood approved as submitted.
- 3. Guest: Kelli Cox, Chair of the Advisory Committee on Campus Development and Planning Tom introduced Kelli and welcomed her to our meeting. Kelli passed out a brochure of the Kansas State University Campus Master Plan. There is a more detailed document called the executive summary located on the planning website. There also have been some changes to the map since the last printing. Kelli mentioned that the Salina campus has its own planning committee. One of their strategies is to try and maintain a 10 minute walk from the core of campus to the other parts of campus. However, to walk to buildings such as Trotter Hall in the College of Veterinary medicine in that time frame would not be possible. They also try to maintain similar landscaping throughout campus. Kelli briefly went through and explained the map on the inside of the brochure. The committee has been in place for many years now and has worked to create and maintain a master plan. Kelli explained Tom Rawson really rallied for this type of a group and then facilities got it going. The committee is made up of 18 people across campus. Herald asked how often the campus planning committee reviews the master plan to see if it needs amended. Currently, changes are made as the need arises. They don't have a specific time frame to review the master plan.

Kelli explained how colleges submit requests. There are three stages in which the Campus Planning Committee would hope to be involved. The conceptual stage, schematic stage, and design stage.

Kelli gave one example of how they were able to aid in the process of a building project, which was Leadership Studies. Leadership Studies had a certain site in mind, but after the Campus Development and Planning Committee gave them input on an alternate site, they found this to be much more suitable for their needs.

Kelli mentioned the four broad areas where this committee is involved: 1) Open Space 2) Buildings 3) Circulation and 4) Infrastructure. There are various other committees they work with in order to make this group work smoothly. They are, however, an advisory committee. This means not all of their recommendations will necessarily be accepted, but they do their best to provide support for the planning of the university.

Gormely questioned whether they have looked out 15-20 years to give an educated opinion about where the student population will be at that time and what types of needs may arise from this. Kelli responded that they have not and this would be an excellent idea.

Because the core of campus *is* tight on space, Kearns asked whether the Campus Development Committee would ever recommend building a somewhat taller building and consolidating departments. Kelli responded that after careful review from their committee and other committees, such as the parking committee, it could be a possibility. 4. Announcements

Herald reported that an actual design model has been brought in for the parking garage structure that is scheduled to be built just south of the Student Union.

Herald also reported that the faculty representative on the Parking Council can no longer serve so he asked that committee members take this back to their college faculty and see if anyone would like to serve. If so, please contact Herald.

5. Agenda items for future meetings

Herald mentioned ideas for the January meeting. He would like a review of how FSCOUP would handle a case of financial exigency. Shubert would be happy to help in this area. He would have to do some investigation and Tom Rawson would be needed if any history would be desired.

In February we could possibly use both meetings to get reports from each College's Committee on Planning.

Stokes asked about information on planning to accommodate growth at Ft. Riley. What does this mean for the university? Shubert recommended contacting Art DeGroat for information related to Ft. Riley. This could possibly be done in March.

Action Items:

Committee members need to contact someone in their College's Committee on Planning to see who would be available to attend a meeting in February possibly on the 8th or 22nd for a 5-10 minute report on any college planning going on or lack of.

Herald will contact Art DeGroat about meeting with us on Ft. Riley's growth and its impact on K-State.

Collins will check with her Salina Campus Planning Committee about a member visiting a FSCOUP meeting either via video conference or in person next semester.

6. For the good of the university

Collins commented about the water situation in Salina due to what the U.S. Army Core of Engineers call a Toxic Plume. The Salina campus water supply will be affected in under seven years. They are starting to plan for this impact.

Also, there is the new student recreational center that is exciting for the Salina Campus.

7. The meeting was adjourned at 4:24 p.m.

Next meeting: November 16, 2006, 2:30 p.m., 146 Justin Hall, Guest: Bruce Snead