### **FSCOT Minutes**

April 19, 2022

**Present:** Bach, Bowen, Crawford, DePaola, Finkeldei, Otto, Raine, Rubin, Vardiman, Wanklyn, Wilson, Thomason (3:40), Moorberg (4:12)

Absent: Maseberg-Tomlinson, Jones, Vontz, Pratt, Webb

Guests: Brundage, Bach, Wallace (4:03)

# Meeting called to order at 3:32 PM by Raine

# Announcement of possible new member

- 1. Dr. Nicholas Wallace, Associate Professor, Biology representing the Arts and Sciences caucus.
- 2. May be interested, wanted to see if he could check it out. Will show up at 4 or 4:15 to see what we are all about

# Committee Reports:

- 1. Extended IT Leadership Group
  - a. No Report
- 2. IT Policy Review Team
  - a. No Report
- 3. Office 365 Governance Group
  - a. No Report
- 4. Project Governance Group
  - a. No Report
- 5. Record and Retention Committee Ryan Otto
  - a. Discussed physician's assistant program and retention schedules for promotion retention, review, and interviews
  - b. Upcoming: library environment and control, integrity records, faculty senate
- 6. University Network Infrastructure Refresh Project
  - a. No Report

# Old Business (Business from Previous Meetings)

Added Item: DePaola Replacement

Need to consider new leadership for FSCOT. Michael Raine is a firm believer that there needs to be a faculty co-chair. One person has shown interest. If anyone else has interest, please let Michael know.

Bret DePaola would serve to the end of the semester. Replacement would start in the mid-summer.

## Skip to new business w/o objection

- 1. Innovation Lab Advisory Committee Phil Vardiman,
  - Discussion of Advisory board of the innovation lab in the library
  - Could FSCOT help facilitate recruitment to board?
  - Based not on technology but upon stakeholders on campus

### Discussion:

- Try to get Faculty to see the space
- Should we reach out to academic affairs?
  - o Maybe Faculty Senate as a whole
- Discussed various ways to use the space with cross curriculum influences
- Finkeldei Gave background on why FSCOT was asked first about this the Advisory Committee.
  - o Current advisory committee is internal, there is not external feedback committee

Phil Vardiman and Kevin Wanklyn would be interested in serving as members of this committee.

## Return to Old Business

1. Follettt presentation from April 5

### Discussion:

- Ryan Otto provided notes provided as an agenda attachment
- What is the outcome of FSCOT surrounding this topic?
  - o Implementation is an FSCOT issue
  - Governance is beyond FSCOT
- Brundage: Follett contract covers all campuses. Need to figure that out on all campuses before we sign up. Interested in the faculty adopt module. Does this process solve things for faculty?
- DePaola: Most points are outside of technology. Faculty Affairs and Academic Affairs need to discuss. FSCOT is not best group to tackle all questions.

- FSCOT areas: Software interface for Faculty, Software interface for Students, Data Collection by Follett
  - Brundage: Follett might be getting data already. She needs to look at the current contract (which runs through 2028) and see what it mentions in this context.
  - o Brundage: Textbook affordability act purpose: give ISBN so students can search for texts in other outlets.
  - Otto: Faculty search correlation data is also a concern

(4:03 PM) Break in discussion to introduce Nick Wallace: Assoc. Prof. in Biology

- Continue Follett discussion
  - Otto: Primary concern trying to avoid locking into a limited number of suppliers.
  - o DePaola: FSCOT should focus on Faculty and Student Interfaces
  - Brundage: Don't have enough information about Students Interface and should focus on Faculty side
    - Can a faculty representative or designee choose texts via Follett
- Raine: There will be other governance groups looking at this.
  - o This improves a business problem of working with faculty
  - Overarching question: Should textbook information be gathered by a campus or private entity?
- Brundage: Salina campus has had issues with Textbook Affordability Act Compliance but is temporarily in compliance. Administration is very aware of this right now and that could be to our advantage.
- Many other presentations by Follett are needed to get campus on board.
  - Finkeldai: K-State has a process that tries to reduce unintended consequences of new implementations. Follett may be frustrated with the system, but it works for us.
- Demo needs to be presented at Faculty Affairs, Academic Affairs and Project Governance Committee.
  - Nobody seemed interested in Demo initially
  - o Bring it back to Faculty Senate Leadership with new discussion items mentioned today and see if we can get more interested.
  - Ask Follett to give a more direct presentation to specific interties instead of complete overview

0

• Moorberg: Discussion on self-publishing and how it works with Follett.

Interpretation of the Textbook Affordability Act and how it applies to "free" materials

Next step: Michael Raine and Brett DePaola will make an impassioned plea to Faculty Affairs and Academic Affairs to take up the Follett issue.

- 2. Other New business:
  - a. None
- 3. Future Meetings
  - a. May 3, 2022
  - b. Cybersecurity/Audit/Insurance Michael & Chad Currier, Division of IT, Chief Operations Officer, Deputy CIO for Enterprise Technology, Chief Information Security Officer
  - c. TopHat Resolution update and next action Brett and Ryan Otto, K-State Libraries, FSCOT Member
- 4. Adjourn meeting Michael Raine (4:41)