Kansas State University Faculty Senate Faculty Affairs Committee Minutes October 1, 2013 3:30pm – Union 204

Present: Laura Armbrust, Regina Beard, Andrea Blair, Betsy Cauble (chair), Eric Dover, Todd Easton, Katie Kingery-Page (secretary), Mindy Markham, Brian Niehoff, Esther Swilley, Kaitlin Long (SGA)

1. Call to Order

2. Additions to Agenda

3. Approval of September 17, 2013 Minutes

approved

4. Old Business

- A. Post Tenure Review Policy (Attachment)
 - 1) Review changes
 - several more changes suggested to make tone clear in purpose of professional development for faculty being reviewed
 - Cauble and Niehoff documented changes and will edit draft document
 - 2) Is it ready to go to the Provost?
 - yes

B. Non Tenure Track Assistant Professors

- 1) Do we have concerns? What do we want to happen?
 - Niehoff provides data on Faculty and Unclassified regular vs. term employees
 - Cauble notes concern of numbers rising in term appointments due to the possible message of instability this may convey
 - Cauble notes the rationale for tenure to guarantee academic freedom and freedom of expression
 - Niehoff notes that numbers of faculty on term appointments at KSU is lower than national averages for institutions of similar type
 - Percentage of *staff* on term appointments seems high (current 42.4%, that's down from 44.4% in 2009
 - Question raised as to why: perhaps there has not been a way to work many term appointments into permanent budgets? Somehow sustained year to year (as numbers show); but long term commitment has not been made to commit to regular positions within permanent budget
 - How many term employees are on soft money?
 - These numbers may be of interest to the new FS standing committee for professional staff affairs
 - The handbook contains a dearth of policy about unclassified staff; this has not been intentional but a result of university growth; clear policies are needed
 - Need to keep asking: is our balance of permanent to term positions right?

C. Mediation Coordinator Reporting Structure

- Update: Betsy will attend dispute resolution luncheon Wednesday
- This group has worked hard over time to resolve disputes at an early level, before disputes grow larger; ombudspersons came in the 1970s; since then, average number of grievances per year has been very low
- Betsy will report back re: luncheon;
- Dispute resolution group is thinking of suggesting that individuals with an issue speak to affirmative action
- Mediation coordinator refers people needing mediation to off campus mediator
- Approaching Office of Affirmative Action may be very intimidating; the office has a legal, regulated purpose
- Some faculty prefer the Office of Diversity for dispute resolution
- Similarly, Disability Services sometimes receives calls from faculty feeling vulnerable, needing advice
- Should this be an HR role to provide advice on process to those seeking help?
- What structure do we want to maintain a dispute resolution process that people feel is fair and effective?
- Discussion of difference between unclassified and classified staff/faculty involvement with HR (HR not very involved in faculty hires, for example)
- Is the current grassroots process working adequately, people going where they feel comfortable?
- No, the issue is fear of reprisal, how do we change the culture to remove fear of raising questions
- The fear issue crosses unclassified/classified; not only untenured faculty
- Most common complaint is "unhappy in the workplace"
- What about training all grassroots sources so that each taking complaints is trained to pass to next step in process;
- Advertising/publicizing the process may be the real issue

D. Human Resources & Benefits Issues

1) Cindy Bontrager et al. to visit in November

E. Appendix M

- 1) Develop plan to address
- Cauble has invited university counsel; will schedule to join us re: questions of timeline and recording protocols; general process of hearing panel is not in question—is clear already

F. Faculty Merit Evaluation Issues

- General counsel office would like to discourage people grieving annual evaluations; would the acknowledgement of receipt of written disagreement with evaluation help allay concerns leading to grievance?
- Unclassified professionals (depending upon standing and history) may not "have a year" to change or improve evaluation
- Added conversation on annual evaluation leading to chronic low achievement
 - Chronic low achievement defined as 2 in a row or 3/5 evaluations being low

- Niehoff offers some institutional history and notes that "low achievement" bar should be set truly low in evaluation standards so that 2 in a row truly would indicate a real problem
- o FYI: annual evaluation can be based on academic year not only calendar year

G. Safety Issues Discussion

1) Concealed Carry—Robert Auten Offer Who wants to take Robert up on his offer??

- 2) Crisis Team Update
 - a) Student of Concern Materials
 - excellent-just came out;
 - do we need material like this for faculty and staff to know where to go with their workplace concerns?
 - b) Violence Against Women Act Involves reporting and training issues.
 - Every employee at KSU will have to go through training on reporting; training will be online; committee is looking at how to document reports; will advise employees on how to inform people that you must report—cannot keep reportable items in confidence
 - Most often if reporting is acknowledged, people still come back to talk
 - We must tell people of our obligation to report before they "unburden themselves"
 - Stalking will fall under mandated reporting
 - Training will be supplied to students as well
- 3) Safety Training Issues
 - a) Compliance Officer
 - is search on going?
 - Email Cauble if you want to take Auten's conceal-carry class; he has offered us

5. Announcements

- Question re: affordable care act: if employees work 30 hrs avg/week or more (includes students) employer the size of KSU will be required to provide health insurance
- Insurance would have to be provided is student works more than 30 during breaks, and would cover whole next year even if they no longer worked
- Employers are being asked to evaluate the "look back" period—how much are employees really working?
- Insurance for graduate students—we lack COBRA coverage for 18 mos. After leaving workforce...this is the issue

6. Adjourned