<u>Attachment 7</u> University Handbook, Section C41.4 PROPOSED CHANGES

-Struck through text are proposed deletions to current University Handbook http://www.k-state.edu/academicservices/fhbook/.

-Italic sized text is proposed edits/additions to the current University Handbook

C41.4 Administrative Evaluation Procedures

C41.4(*a*) Annual *pP*erformance evaluations of all heads/chairs/directors and other administrative supervisors in academic and non-academic departments/units *are completed annually for merit increases*. will be accompanied by the opportunity for Input *may be solicited* from individuals under their supervision- *or o*Outside input may also be solicited from other faculty, unclassified professionals, and classified staff, and clientele as specified in the department's/unit's evaluation system. The purpose of this input is *would be* to identify strengths and weaknesses and issues relevant to the administrator's annual performance.

C41.4(*b*). At least once every five years, the responsible dean, vice-president, provost or president (See Note 1), as appropriate, (referred to as executive administrators for issues of *clarity*) depending upon the department's/unit's reporting structure, will, in conjunction with the annual evaluation process, issue a written request for input from these individuals regarding the performance of their department/unit administrator(s). Individuals designated as participants would include: unclassified professionals and/or classified staff under their supervision. Outside input should include an appropriate representative spectrum of persons outside the department/unit, i.e. clientele, faculty, unclassified professionals, other classified staff, and students whose input could be beneficial in establishing performance of the department/unit administrator. The mechanisms and frequency for soliciting outside input on the department/unit administrator's performance will be specified in the department's/unit's evaluation system. but should occur at least once every five years. If a reappointment advisory committee is to be used please see the process detailed in B123. Outside input should include an appropriate representative spectrum of persons outside the department/unit, i.e. clientele, faculty, unclassified professionals, other classified staff, and students whose input could be beneficial in establishing performance of the department/unit administrator. The department/unit administrator and dean, vice-president, provost, or president as appropriate, depending upon the department's/unit's reporting structure The executive administrator dean, vice-president, provost or president should ensure that those eligible for providing input are informed about the context of the mission and objectives of the department/unit. The specific source of all input will be held in absolute confidence by the dean, vice-president, provost or president dean or vice-president executive administrator. who should The edit verbatim comments will be edited to preserve confidentiality, before transmitting them to the person being evaluated. For reappointment of academic department heads/chairs, see B123.