# Identifying and Reporting Research, Scholarly and Creative Activities, and Discovery (RSCAD) College Strengths

January 30, 2014



## Why We're Here

- To determine how to move forward to identify and report College areas of RSCAD strength
  - Clarifying the purpose of this effort
  - Recap background and efforts to date
  - Review and discussed reporting template and guidance
  - Determine next steps



## Purpose – What and Why?

 What: Provide a "ready list" of RSCAD areas of strength that can be shared both <u>internally</u> and <u>externally</u>.

#### Why:

- Highlight the value and potential of our RSCAD work to external stakeholders, e.g., funding agencies, legislators, Regents, potential corporate/industry partners, etc.
  - Expression of University Strengths already done on an annual basis for federal funders

## Purpose –Why continued?

 Promote interdisciplinary, multidisciplinary, multiinstitutional, teaming/collaboration, etc.

 Outreach to attract and recruit graduate students, faculty, and staff in our RSCAD areas

 Assist the new Vice President for Research by providing a portfolio of RSCAD strength areas



#### Background and efforts to date

- List of strengths requested in various reports:
  - Research Infrastructure Task Force 2010
  - K-State 2025 Visionary Plan 2011
  - Research Themes Committee Report 2012
  - KBOR/Council of Chief Research Officers (COCRO) strategic planning 2013



#### Research Themes Committee Report

- Identified research areas of strength based on two criteria:
  - Likely to attract support from funding agencies in the future
  - A strong base of success already established at K-State
- Identified 12 areas of research strength for the university
- Recommended that the process be extended to include RSCAD areas where criteria other than external funding could be used to identify strengths
- President requested that the Colleges identify their RSCAD strengths



#### Progress to date

- Two mini-retreats held with Deans and Associate Deans for Research (ADR) to discuss opportunities and challenges to moving forward (Spring 2013)
  - 27 possible criteria to consider when determining strengths were identified
- Subcommittee of ADRs proposed a research strength matrix as a methodology to the Deans and suggested that the Provost office develop an approach based on feedback (December 2013)



#### What we did...

- Reviewed materials from the past three years
- Cross-walked the criteria list from the miniretreats with the proposed December list
- Reframed and simplified the approach by:
  - Reducing the number of criteria to 20
  - Developing guidance and simple reporting template focusing only on – what are your strengths and why?
  - Maintaining flexibility for Colleges to determine their strengths, e.g. "One size does not fit all!"



#### Where we are...

 Guidance, Template, and Criteria distributed to the Deans (Feb 2014)

 Reports due to the Provost Office: Friday, May 23, 2014

