Kansas State University – Security Studies Program

Ph.D Final Dissertation Assessment Form

Student:		Year-Semester:	Major Professor: _	
	Last Name, First Name		Supervising Faculty:	
			:	
			:	

Directions to supervising faculty: Place a check mark on the line in front of the rubric description for each Student Learning Outcome Assessment that best reflects the quality of the Ph.D dissertation.

	1. Deficient	2. Acceptable	3. Above Average	4. Outstanding			
Historical perspectives on security issues. Finds and applies historical information to particular security issues.							
Historical perspective	Unacceptably low	Acceptable level of	Above average level	Outstanding level of			
reflected in	level of historical	historical perspective.	of historical perspective.	historical perspective.			
dissertation.	perspective.						
Political science approaches to security issues. Identifies and applies general political science findings to security issues.							
Political science	Unacceptably low	Acceptable level of	Above average level	Outstanding level of			
approaches reflected in	level of political science	political science	of political science	political science			
dissertation.	approaches.	approaches.	approaches.	approaches.			
Research methodology. Shows mastery and application of basic research methods							
Level of research	Unacceptably low	Acceptable ability to	Above average	Outstanding ability			
methodology in	ability to apply	apply appropriate	ability to apply	to apply appropriate			
dissertation.	appropriate research	research methods	appropriate research	research methods			
	methods		methods				
Data collection. Shows ability to identify and collect relevant data.							
Level of data collection	Reflects unacceptably	Reflects acceptable	Reflects above	Reflects outstanding			
reflected in	low ability to assemble	ability to assemble	average ability to	ability to assemble			
dissertation.	relevant data	relevant data.	assemble relevant data	relevant data			
Written communication. Presents research results clearly and effectively.							
Communications skills	Reflects unacceptably	Reflects acceptable	Reflects above	Reflects outstanding			
reflected in dissertation	low ability to	ability to communicate	average ability to	ability to communicate			
	communicate effectively	effectively	communicate effectively	effectively			