Mechanisms of impulsive choice: IV. Individual differences in timing and reward processes

CATHERINE HILL & KIMBERLY KIRKPATRICK



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGICAL SCIENCES REWARD, TIMING, & DECISION LABORATORY



Processes underlying impulsive choice

- 2 main processes: timing processes and reward processes
 - Marshall, Smith, & Kirkpatrick, 2014
- Deficits in timing and reward lead to more impulsive behavior
 - Galtress et al., 2012; Marshall, Smith, & Kirkpatrick, 2014; McGuire & Kable, 2013; Wittman & Paulus, 2008

Impulsive behavior is associated with several maladaptive behaviors

- Bickel & Marsch, 2001; Alessi & Petry, 2003; Boomhower, Rasmussen, & Doherty, 2013; Weller et al., 2008
- Current study: determine what factors predict individual differences



LogOdds LL Choices =
$$\ln\left(\frac{N_{LL} + .5}{N_{SS} + .5}\right)$$

Delay manipulation

Results: Choice behavior

Magnitude manipulation



Data analysis

- •Calculated mean and slope for each individual for each task
- •Entered 4 factors into *k*-means cluster analysis in SPSS
- •This type of analysis classifies rats into groups based on those factors
- •For this experiment, delay slope and magnitude slope had a significant influence on cluster formation



Results



Results: Choice behavior

Delay manipulation

Magnitude manipulation



Conclusions

- •Cluster 1 is more adaptable, while cluster 2 is relatively inflexible
- •Adaptability may be a trait
- •Less adaptability→ more at risk
- •At risk for:
 - Drug use (Bickel & Marsch, 2001)
 - Gambling issues (Alessi & Petry, 2003)
 - Obesity (Boomhower, Rasmussen, & Doherty, 2013; Weller et al., 2008)

•Sensitivity to delay and reward are important predictors of individual differences in impulsive choice behavior

Questions?

Acknowledgements

Dr. Kimberly Kirkpatrick

RTD lab members

•Funding: RO1-MH085739

