## Kansas State University Faculty Senate Professional Staff Affairs Agenda Sept. 19th, 2023, 3:30 – 5:00 pm Business Building 3046 or Zoom link:

## https://ksu.zoom.us/j/91721758009

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of Sept 19th, 2023, minutes.
  - a. Link to minutes: <u>PSA Committee DRAFT minutes 9.19.23.docx</u>
- 3. New/Ongoing Business
  - a. Upcoming meeting with Marshall Stewart on October 9. What concerns should we bring up
  - b. Future Guests: Shanna Legleiter and Ethan Erickson.
- 4. Ongoing issues/updates
  - a. C159 Revisions 5-year review process –forwarded to Academic Affairs for the next.
  - b. Appendix Q (not everyone reports to provost). Bring up with Shanna and Ethan.
    - i. Handbook:
      - 1. **C31.2** <u>A department/unit's evaluation system must be mutually</u> <u>approved by a majority vote of the faculty and/or unclassified</u> <u>professionals in the unit (each votes only on the system used for</u> <u>evaluating their own performance;</u> i.e., faculty vote on their unit's faculty evaluation system, <u>unclassified professionals vote on their</u> <u>unit's unclassified professional evaluation system</u>), by the unit's <u>administrative head, and by the respective dean and provost or</u> <u>appropriate vice-president.</u> The date of final approval must appear on the first page. <u>Provision must be made for review of the</u> <u>department/unit criteria, standards, and guidelines at least once</u> <u>every five years or more frequently if determined to be necessary</u> <u>by any of the aforementioned parties. Revisions also must be</u> <u>approved by the process described above. Dates of revision (or the</u> <u>vote to continue without revision) must appear on the first page.</u>
      - 2. **C31.3** Criteria, standards, and guidelines for evaluation must be consistent with expectations for the department or unit as determined by the university in conjunction with the college or other administrative divisions to which the department or unit reports. This ensures clarity of departmental/unit priorities while providing for significant variance in the responsibilities and assignments of individual faculty members and unclassified professionals.
      - 3. **C31.4** Responsibility lies with the deans and the provost to ensure that departmental/unit criteria, standards, and guidelines are followed in making recommendations and decisions for merit salary adjustments, reappointment, promotion, and tenure within the colleges, or other academic units. Responsibility lies with the vice presidents and their subordinate administrators in making

recommendations and decisions for merit salary adjustments, reappointment, and promotion within their respective units.

- 4. Appendix Q: <u>https://www.k-state.edu/provost/universityhb/fhxq.html</u>
- c. Compensation/Career Ladders Casey and Monica C. wait on strategic planning report scheduled for Friday, 09/08/2023.
  - i. When or where to start?
  - ii. What are the goals or what does completion look like?
  - iii. Who are the stakeholders? From whom do we need buy-in or information?
  - iv. This would be a K-State issue, and not likely a statewide option.
  - v. What determines a change in title/promotion? Years of experience? Education/Training/ Certifications? Evaluation?
  - vi. Is it possible to hire a consultant to complete these processes instead of continually being told they don't have staffing for that?
- d. CCOP (College Committee on Planning) Integration follow up.
- 5. Other Business
  - a. Staff Spotlight-Renee Gates/Casey Keller
  - b. SGA Report Jake Brown
  - c. USS Report Kimm Dennis
  - d. Term Staff Report Tandy Rundus
  - e. Salary and Fringe Benefits Committee Report Linda Craghead
  - f. Shared Governance Renee Gates
  - g. USS/UPS Council/BOR updates
- 6. Adjourn

General Info:

## PSA Roles and responsibilities document

https://ksuemailprod.sharepoint.com/:w:/r/sites/ProfessionalStaffAffairs/Shared%20Document s/General/PSA%20Committee%20Roles.docx?d=w7e23beb747ca4411b0d0ccf0f0350445&csf=1 &web=1&e=OCuExF