

MINUTES
FACULTY SENATE COMMITTEE ON UNIVERSITY PLANNING
Thursday, May 3, 2018; 3:30 pm
Business Building, room 3046

Present: Barbara Anderson, Valerie Barnett, Andrew Bennett, Lynn Carlin (provost liaison), Don Crawford, Jessica Falcone, Byron Jones, Jordan Kiehl, Laurel Littrell, Jessica Meekins, Jackie Spears

Absent: Durant Bridges, Stu Duncan, Gary Leitnaker, and Jeff Stevenson

Guests: Cindy Bontrager, Amit Chakrabarti, Ethan Erickson, and Jeff Morris

Incoming members present: Robert Weaber

1. Laurel Littrell, Chair, called the meeting to order at 3:30 pm. Introductions were made.
2. The April 5, 2018 minutes were approved as submitted.
3. Incoming FSCOUP Members - introduction

APD: Katie Kingery-Page
ED: Bob Hachiya
EN: TBA
EXT: Bob Weaber
GU: Heather Reed
HE: Brad Behnke

4. Budget Modernization, Guests: Cindy Bontrager, Ethan Erickson, Amit Chakrabarti, Jeff Morris

Littrell introduced members present from the Budget Modernization core committee. She thanked them for taking time to be here today. FSCOUP has put forward many questions. The first of which was related to the role of fees/tuition as we move forward. Core committee members provided some background information first. We are entering into the budget design phase. The overall goal is to create something for the common good and a design that can be long lasting for K-State. Nothing is written now, as they are in the discovery phase, but at this point, fees will be separate. It was noted, of course, that the new provost will weigh in on the model about tuition and fees. Student Senate is hoping the discussion can occur before the Tuition and Fees Strategies Committee (TFSC) begins meeting in the fall. Is differential tuition being considered in the future model? One response was that you could say it exists even now. Discussion ensued. It was reiterated throughout the conversation today that nothing has been designed yet as this is still the discovery phase. There was lengthy discussion about tuition, fees, subvention and the like. This is a very complex model to create, even with input and guidance from other universities who have moved to a hybrid RCM model. An analogy of hardware and software was used. The hardware, overall model, will be provided to colleges and then they will write the software for their units, so to speak. Also brought up as a side topic was data, and the collection of it, which will need reviewed over the next year. EAB was

mentioned with regard to data. A comment was made that the work on the budget will give us an up-to-date road map of where we want to go. There will likely be cases where some departments will need to subvention on a longer-term basis. Members were reminded that we live in a market place. There is benefit to restructuring and simplifying the way tuition is presented. It was highlighted that there are many decisions that will not just be set by a model, but rather will need set by policy makers. The land-grant mission was discussed, and extension specifically, within the frame of the budget. Members were reminded that the budget model runs down to the colleges and it ends at the level. Each college will spend the shadow year working through the appropriate alignment and making sure the data is accurate. The letter in today's edition of the K-State Today was briefly discussed. Members suggested that in future announcements that teaching and advising be highlighted as well.

How shared governance will be included into oversight of the model was discussed. That type of structure will be part of the discussion as things move forward. A core committee member related that one university had a University Fiscal Affairs committee. Governance structures will need to be in place so more detailed discussion will occur regarding those. The only way this will succeed and be effective is if the whole campus is working together with a vision in mind that will work for the common good.

The communication was the last piece discussed, but not the least in importance. It was asked what broader campus communications are planned to make sure all are aware of the changes coming. In the fall, during this shadow year, visits and open forums will occur. Starting in August there will be the cabinet/deans retreat, a deans retreat, and then many other campus visits. There was also discussion about having 2025 visit include this as part of the discussion. The broad topic of how people handle change was discussed, leading into the point that it will be important to continue communication. Faculty Senate can also help in sharing the message. There is a survey out there now and there will be response to that survey in a meaningful way. Visitors were thanked for their time and attending.

5. Chair / Co-Chairs for 2018-2019

Littrell is willing to serve as co-chair and Barnett has put her name forward as co-chair. The committee voted to approve Barnett and Littrell and the 2018-2019 co-chairs.

6. Announcements and 2018-2019 committee work

A. Continued review of University Handbook Section B95 concerning CCOPs

This will be a project for FSCOUP in the 2018-2019 year.

B. Campus building plans / renovation funds

A Campus Classroom Committee has been formed and will meet on June 6. Littrell is serving on this committee that will look at long term planning for general use classrooms on campus. Other members of the committee include student government representatives, facilities, administration, and IT, etc.

C. Multi-year City/University Fund proposal

Littrell reported that the joint Leadership group met and has worked on a new agreement that will identify the process for the next few years.

7. The meeting adjourned at 5:00 pm.

Next meeting: Thursday, September 6, 2018; 3:30 pm; 3046 Business Building