

MINUTES
FACULTY SENATE COMMITTEE ON UNIVERSITY PLANNING
Thursday, October 2, 2014
Student Union room 204 at 3:30 pm

Present: Barbara Anderson (chair), Brad Burenheide, Todd Easton, Diana Farmer, Gloria Holcombe, Reagan Kays, Heather Reed, and Drew Smith

Absent: Jason Brody, Lynn Carlin (Liaison for Provost Office), Julia Keen, Mark Weiss, and Spencer Wood

Proxies: Holcombe for Joel DeRouchey and Easton for John Devore

1. Barbara Anderson, chair, called the meeting to order at 3:30 pm
2. The September 4, 2014 minutes were approved as submitted.
3. Old Business (10 minutes)
 - A. Funding proposal for City/University Fund Committee – status report from Chair
Chair Anderson reported to committee members that she received a copy of a letter President Schulz wrote to Faculty Senate President David Rintoul regarding the City/University Fund Project committee and how submissions will work for this year and future years. The letter also gave established parameters from the City. This provides FSCOUP with more guidance. The letter outlined that a proposal for recommended projects which is submitted should include three things. 1) The proposal should include a clear outline of the benefits to both the university and the city 2) the proposed project should be related to economic development and infrastructure issues and 3) it shouldn't be funded for more than three consecutive years, unless there is an exception. Anderson has a meeting tomorrow with Cindy Bontrager, VP of Administration and Finance, to discuss this further along with other topics. This year the deadline for proposals to be submitted to VP Bontrager is November 21. Anderson will report outcomes of meeting with Bontrager to the committee.
 - B. North Campus Corridor Master Plan Project update.
Anderson reported that once the contract is signed and announced in K-State Today she will have more information to share.
4. New Business (40 minutes)
 - A. Capital Improvements Request Process update – Diana Farmer
A hard copy of the draft proposal was passed out to committee members which Anderson received just yesterday. She will send a digital copy to committee members after the meeting. Farmer reported their committee was charged with making the process more transparent to the campus community regarding how requests are made and processed.

Associate VP Swanson had already begun working on this prior to the committee's work beginning and therefore he had work to contribute. Farmer reported the committee met in person once and everything else was put together via email over the summer. This subcommittee's work was separate from the subcommittee that is within the University Budget Committee. Dave Rintoul, Diana Farmer, and Ryan Swanson were the three members. The flowchart of the process for work orders was provided to their committee by Ryan Swanson.

Swanson also provided draft request forms which consist of a project estimate request form and a space request form, which will be available on the website once they are given final approval.

Questions/concerns:

There were concerns raised about pieces that may be missing from this draft. It was noted the Campus Master plan isn't mentioned except in a form provided by Farmer and there is no inclusion of the input from the Campus Planning and Development Advisory committee as part of the evaluation of proposals in the text describing the proposed process. There was discussion about donors and their desire to fund buildings and how this contributes to challenges in placement of buildings, approval, and other issues. This process is being proposed for requests for such things as new classrooms or remodels in addition to new construction. Anderson will draft comments and asked for others for their input by October 7th so she may send these on to President Rintoul.

B. Discuss SGA's proposed Lifeline 911 (Medical Amnesty) Policy—Reagan Kays and Cody Kennedy

See attached proposal, similar policy at the University of Kansas, and this website by Students for Sensible Drug Policy for general information about policies such as the one they are proposing <http://ssdp.org/campaigns/call-911-good-samaritan-policies/>

Student Body President Reagan Kays provided the definition of Medical Amnesty and gave an example of where this might apply. Students are looking to institute this for K-State. KU is one of 247 other schools who have employed this type of a policy and believe it to be beneficial. Kays reported they have support from various units and groups here on campus already and would now like to have input from FS in order to begin creation of a short and simple policy to be included in perhaps the university handbook, policies and procedures manual, and student life policies, etc. Once the policy has been created they will draft a resolution and would like support from Faculty Senate.

Questions/concerns:

There is no state law for this in Kansas, though 21 other states do have law regarding this. Therefore, the amnesty would only apply at the university level, such as a student not being kicked out of their dorm, etc. A concern was raised about possible abuse of the policy. Kays outlined discussion of how this may be prevented and indicated what steps are taken the first time someone uses the amnesty policy, the second, and the third. They envision that the student and the one calling for help would be provided immunity. The next level after having this approved by the university would be having state law enacted. They will push for that later in the year with support from the campus by working to have a bill drafted and lobby it. It was asked whether they intend to extend this to drug use. Right now, they would like to begin with the alcohol portion and may pursue extending it to drug use later down the road. Anderson and other committee members commended Kays on the students work on this.

5. Other Issues

- a) Anderson noted that Ryan Swanson was not available for the meeting today or the November meeting. However, he is available on October 16 if FSCOUP would like to meet with him. This meeting would be related to facilities topics. Swanson is willing to discuss whatever FSCOUP prioritizes. A doodle poll will be sent out to determine how many committee members could attend that day. If it is a good majority, we will schedule it. Otherwise, a different date will be worked on.

Issues to be discussed:

- Room scheduling/ Ownership of rooms, etc. who can use spaces
- Update on all projects, new and current (chiller, visitor, business building, Calvin hall succession planning, foundation building, north campus plan)
- The feedback loop and communications seem to be working better so it doesn't seem to be as much of an issue as it used to be. However, the example of fumes in Waters hall last year and notification not getting to those who needed it was brought up. They will ask Swanson for an update on what procedures are now in place for this kind of a situation.
- Legislature proposed items. Discussed rank order of BOR revenue budget etc. Top three: 1) architecture \$5 mill reoccurring (buildings) 2) Geoscience (faculty positions, student scholarships, and building) \$5 mil recurring and 3) global food systems (capital improvements) \$5 mil recurring.
- Process for capital improvement requests.
- Safety during construction projects for individuals, including construction on welcome center, business building, etc. There needs to be advance planning. This affects not only pedestrian traffic, but vehicle traffic and signage.
 - For example, construction on the Student Union will begin this summer. That will cause a lot of chaos for meeting scheduling, food service, etc. Patience will be needed during this time.

b) Discussed items for future meetings – November 6

Cheryl Johnson and Cindy Bontrager will be invited to discuss compensation issues now that budget includes compensation for USS, faculty, and professional staff.

6. The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Next meeting: Thursday, November 6, 2014; 3:30 pm; Union room 204