1. Doris Carroll, Chair, called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m. Carroll welcomed Wayne Stoskopf as our new student representative for this committee. She took a moment to thank Andrew Huschka for his input at the meetings over this past year.

2. The March 24, 2009 minutes were approved as submitted.

3. Course and Curriculum Changes –
   A. Undergraduate Education –
      1. A motion was made by Hendrix and seconded by King to approve the following course addition as approved by the College of Veterinary Medicine on February 20, 2009:

      COURSE CHANGES
      Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology
      Add:
      DMP 110 Introduction to Public Health (cross listed with Human Nutrition and Kinesiology) –
      Note: Course description was changed from original approval sheets in order to be in agreement with the Kinesiology and Human Nutrition course descriptions.

      Motion carried.

      2. A motion was made by Turvey-Welch and seconded by Garcia to approve the following course additions as approved by the College of Human Ecology on March 23, 2009:

      COURSE CHANGES
      School of Family Studies and Human Services
      Add:
      FSHS 101 Money 101

      Department of Human Nutrition
      Add:
      HN 110 Introduction to Public Health (cross listed with Kinesiology and Diag. Med/Pathobiology)

      Motion carried.

4. Graduation list additions – A motion was made by Hendrix and seconded by King to approve the following graduation list addition:

   James N Franklin, Bachelor of Science, College of Arts and Sciences – December 2008

   Motion carried.

5. Committee Reports
   A. Committee on Academic Policy and Procedures (CAPP) – Doris Carroll
      CAPP will meet tomorrow.
   B. Student Senate – Andrew Huschka/Wayne Stoskopf
      Huschka reported that the turn over for student senate was this past Thursday. Stoskopf gave us a brief overview of items that will be worked on in the upcoming year: Community safety with regard to areas surrounding campus
such as Aggieville; issues having to do with DCE; career and employment services and connections with the alumni association; office of international programs; and the recreation center expansion, which will be an ongoing project.

C. University Library Committee – Scott Staggenborg
No report.

6. New Business
   A. Gen Ed – iSIS concerns – Doris Carroll
   Carroll discussed questions that have arisen regarding tagged course implementation into iSIS. Once the courses are tagged, how long will it take to get them into iSIS? Carroll briefly reviewed with committee members an email she sent to Mike Crow. The e-mail clarified the range of technical and timing concerns with implementing the tagged courses into iSIS. Carroll reported that, currently there is only space for one requirement designation and that is used now by the existing UGE designation. Consideration of a new method may be a possible solution to this issue, but it is unknown right now. The iSIS task force will be meeting tomorrow morning and this issue will no doubt be on their agenda. Monty Nielson informed us that he, Vicki Clegg, Karen Myers-Bowman, and Lynn Carlin will be meeting Friday afternoon at 2:30 PM with the Provost to discuss these iSIS implementation concerns to see how best to meet a Fall 2010 start-up timetable. A lot comes into play with setting this program in motion.

   If the K-State 8 is approved at the upcoming May FS meeting, tagging will begin shortly afterwards by departments. The Registrar office has been discussing what best to do in order to fulfill the deadline of December 15, 2009 for class room scheduling. Resources will need to be committed to this implementation effort. Also, it was asked what is involved in tagging a course and what the approval process is for course tagging. What methods should be used? After even a brief conversation, it seems a deadline for Fall 2010 may not be a reasonable date to rollout the new program to incoming freshman students. Who is responsible for tagging? What is the approval process for getting a course appropriately tagged? Will it follow a traditional process of going through each college, then UGE, and followed, lastly, by Academic Affairs? A clear and concise time framework is needed in order to provide students with fair, accurate information from which they make good academic decisions. All of these concerns are important issues that will need to be addressed in the very near future.

7. For the good of the University

8. The meeting was adjourned at 4:05 p.m.

Next meeting: April 21, 2009; 2:30 p.m.; Union 204