

Research paper

Intra-canopy leaf trait variation facilitates high leaf area index and compensatory growth in a clonal woody encroaching shrub

E. Greg Tooley^[]^{1,3}, Jesse B. Nippert¹, Seton Bachle^{1,2} and Rachel M. Keen¹

¹Division of Biology, Kansas State University, 116 Ackert Hall, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA; ²Present address: Department of Forest and Rangeland Stewardship, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA; ³Corresponding author (egtooley@ksu.edu).

Received December 3, 2021; accepted July 2, 2022; handling Editor Molly Cavaleri

Leaf trait variation enables plants to utilize large gradients of light availability that exist across canopies of high leaf area index (LAI), allowing for greater net carbon gain while reducing light availability for understory competitors. While these canopy dynamics are well understood in forest ecosystems, studies of canopy structure of woody shrubs in grasslands are lacking. To evaluate the investment strategy used by these shrubs, we investigated the vertical distribution of leaf traits and physiology across canopies of Cornus drummondii, the predominant woody encroaching shrub in the Kansas tallgrass prairie. We also examined the impact of disturbance by browsing and grazing on these factors. Our results reveal that leaf mass per area (LMA) and leaf nitrogen per area (N_a) varied approximately threefold across canopies of C. drummondii, resulting in major differences in the physiological functioning of leaves. High LMA leaves had high photosynthetic capacity, while low LMA leaves had a novel strategy for maintaining light compensation points below ambient light levels. The vertical allocation of leaf traits in C. drummondii canopies was also modified in response to browsing, which increased light availability at deeper canopy depths. As a result, LMA and N_a increased at lower canopy depths, leading to a greater photosynthetic capacity deeper in browsed canopies compared to control canopies. This response, along with increased light availability, facilitated greater photosynthesis and resource-use efficiency deeper in browsed canopies compared to control canopies. Our results illustrate how C. drummondii facilitates high LAI canopies and a compensatory growth response to browsing-both of which are key factors contributing to the success of C. drummondii and other species responsible for grassland woody encroachment.

Keywords: browsing, bush encroachment, canopy structure, *Cornus drummondii*, gas exchange, leaf area index, leaf mass per area, photosynthesis, plasticity, tallgrass prairie, vertical light gradient.

Introduction

Over the past century, woody plants have expanded throughout grasslands worldwide in a phenomenon known as 'woody plant encroachment' (Archer 1995, Archer et al. 2017, Stevens et al. 2017). In many cases, woody encroaching plants coexist with grasses, forming grass-tree mosaics, and have little impact on the structure and function of grasslands (Eldridge et al. 2011). In other cases, the dense canopies of some woody encroaching species can alter the light environment, resulting in a displacement of shade-intolerant grassland species and a gradual grassland-to-woodland transition (Brantley and Young 2007,

Knapp et al. 2008, Ratajczak et al. 2012, Ratajczak et al. 2014*b*). In mesic grasslands, many of the most abundant woody encroaching species have canopies with leaf area index (LAI) values exceeding that of many temperate deciduous forest communities (Brantley and Young 2007, Brantley and Young 2009). For example, *Cornus drummondii*, the predominant woody encroaching shrub in the tallgrass prairie of eastern Kansas (Ratajczak et al. 2011), and *Morella cerifera* in the coastal grasslands of Virginia have mean LAI values of 8 and 10, respectively (Figure 1A; Brantley and Young 2007, Knapp et al. 2008). These values exceed the mean LAI of many temperate



Figure 1. (A) A large island of *C. drummondii* with a dense canopy on watershed K4A at KPBS, Manhattan, Kansas. The growth form of *C. drummondii* consists of dense clonal patches of interconnected ramets termed 'islands'. (B) Diagram showing a cross section through the center of a *C. drummondii* island illustrating its growth form and our sampling locations. Black circles represent the measurement location for each canopy depth. Diagram credit: *C. drummondii* island animation by Emily Wedel.

deciduous forests (~6.5; Asner et al. 2003, Norby et al. 2003), despite having canopies that are less than 1/10th the height (1–5 m vs. > 20 m) for most temperate deciduous forests (Anderson et al. 2006, McGregor et al. 2020).

While a greater LAI increases total light capture and reduces light availability for shorter competitors, it also reduces light availability for successive leaf layers in the plant's own lower canopy (Monsi and Saeki 1953, Monsi and Saeki 2005). Moreover, self-shading is greater when LAI is concentrated across a small vertical distance due to reduced penumbral effects on light penetration (Smith et al. 1989, Kramer et al. 2014, Van Pelt et al. 2016). As a result, short canopies experience greater self-shading and lower light availability compared to taller canopies (Smith et al. 1989, Van Pelt et al. 2016). Self-shading becomes detrimental to a plant when the cost of producing and maintaining more leaves (a greater LAI) outweighs the benefits of additional light capture (Saeki 1960, Reich et al. 2009). For this reason, an optimal LAI exists for maximizing canopy photosynthesis relative to the physiology of the plant (Saeki 1960, Waring 1983, Hikosaka 2005).

Gradients of light availability across canopies have cascading impacts on leaf-level physiology and whole plant carbon dynamics (Lambers et al. 2008, Niinemets 2010). Woody shrubs and trees have evolved high intra-canopy variation in leaf morphology and physiology under varying light conditions to maximize light harvesting and net carbon fixation while avoiding overexcitation and damage to photosynthetic apparatuses (Long et al. 1994, Niinemets et al. 1998, Niinemets 2007, Legner et al. 2014). Leaves in the upper canopy typically exhibit higher leaf mass per area (LMA) (Ellsworth and Reich 1993, Poorter et al. 2009) and more nitrogen per unit leaf area (Na) compared to leaves in the lower canopy (Ellsworth and Reich 1993). Much of this nitrogen is allocated to RuBisCO (RuBP carboxylase-oxygenase), chlorophyll and other photosynthetic proteins (Evans 1989, Hikosaka and Terashima 1996). Therefore, high LMA leaves typically contain greater amounts of RuBisCO per unit area, which facilitates greater maximum rates of carboxylation (Vcmax), as well as increased chlorophyll per unit area, which facilitates greater maximum rates of electron transport (J_{max}) (Niinemets et al. 1998, Poorter and Evans 1998, Ripullone et al. 2003, Niinemets 2007, Carswell et al. 2000, Mendes et al. 2001). Together, J_{max} and Vc_{max} are rate-limiting steps to increasing maximum photosynthetic rates (A_{max}) . (Powles 1984, Chen et al. 1993, Walker et al. 2014). These traits are beneficial in the upper canopy where photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) is high, but they are also associated with higher dark respiration rates (R_d) due to greater leaf energy demands (Givnish 1988, Ryan 1991, Amthor 2000, Bouma 2005).

Leaves in the lower canopy have lower LMA and N_a, resulting in decreased maximum photosynthetic rates and lower R_d rates (Ellsworth and Reich 1993, Ripullone et al. 2003, Niinemets 2007, Poorter et al. 2009). Leaves with lower R_d rates can reach the light compensation point (LCP) at lower PAR levels to achieve a net carbon gain from photosynthesis (Walters and Reich 1996, Lewis et al. 2000, Moriwaki et al. 2019). Light compensation point can also be minimized in shade leaves by allocating more nitrogen to thylakoids to increase apparent quantum yield (Φ) (Moriwaki et al. 2019), but this occurs at the expense of nitrogen allocation to RuBisCO, which decreases the maximum carbon fixation rate of the leaf (Björkman 1981, Chen et al. 1993, Ögren and Evans 1993, Walters and Reich 1996). Ecologically, species with a high capacity for intracanopy variation in these traits can utilize leaves across a greater range of light conditions, maintain high LAI canopies and maximize whole-canopy photosynthesis (Saeki 1960, Chen et al. 1993, Reich et al. 2009, Hikosaka 2014, Niinemets et al. 2014).

The goal of our research was to determine the physiological mechanisms and traits that enable woody encroaching shrubs with dense canopies—specifically *Cornus drummondii* C.A. Mey. in tallgrass prairie—to utilize light efficiently while facilitating

high LAI values across relatively short canopies. We examined the canopy structure, variation in leaf morphology and physiology, and allocation of nutrients across a vertical canopy gradient of intact C. drummondii shrub islands (Figure 1) in areas grazed by bison, ungrazed, and in response to simulated browsing (to mimic elk herbivory, sensu O'Connor et al. 2020). While the vertical distribution of leaf traits and physiology is well understood within the canopies of tree species in forest ecosystems (Meir et al. 2002, Rozendaal et al. 2006, Sack et al. 2006, Markesteijn et al. 2007, Niinemets 2007, Mullin et al. 2009, Poorter et al. 2009, Wyka et al. 2012, Legner et al. 2014), similar investigations have not been conducted within the canopies of woody encroaching shrubs in grasslands. Throughout canopies of C. drummondii, we hypothesized that (i) plasticity in leaf morphology and physiology across a short (2-3 m) vertical gradient facilitates high LAI canopies and maximizes carbon uptake, similar to larger vertical gradients previously reported for tree species, and (ii) C. drummondii will rapidly adjust leaf morphology and physiology in response to disturbance (browsing and grazing) within a single growing season to maximize carbon gain.

Materials and methods

Site description

Research was conducted during the 2020 growing season (May-September) at the Konza Prairie Biological Station (KPBS), a 3487-ha native tallgrass prairie in the northern Flint Hills Ecoregion of Northeast Kansas, USA. The Flint Hills consists of the largest expanse of contiguous tallgrass prairie in North America. The region contains a heterogeneous landscape with varying topographic relief: shallow-soiled rocky uplands, steep slopes and deep-soiled lowlands. Konza Prairie Biological Station is divided into experimental watersheds, each with a prescribed burn treatment (1-, 2-, 3-, 4- or 20year fire return intervals) and grazing treatment (bison, cattle or no large grazers). Historically, the plant community of KPBS was dominated by C₄ grasses, including Andropogon gerardii, Sorghastrum nutans, Schizachyrium scoparium and Panicum virgatum and was devoid of woody vegetation with the exception of riparian areas (Abrams 1986, Knapp et al. 1998). Today, however, woody plants are abundant across all watersheds with a burn frequency of 3 years or more (Heisler et al. 2003, Ratajczak et al. 2014a). The most abundant woody encroaching plants include C. drummondii, Juniperus virginiana, Rhus glabra, Prunus americana and Gleditsia triacanthos (Briggs et al. 2002, Nippert et al. 2021).

Study design

At KPBS, we measured eco-physiological responses of the clonal woody shrub *Cornus drummondii* CA Mey (roughleaf dogwood). The growth form of *C. drummondii* consists of

dense clonal patches of interconnected ramets termed 'islands' (Figure 1). We utilized a stratified random sampling for 15 shrub islands of similar height and island circumference. We did not sample locations where clonal islands had grown together, blurring the boundaries between one individual and another. Only distinct islands with maximum heights >1.5 m were considered during sampling. Shrub islands were selected from the lowlands of two watersheds, N4D and K4A, \sim 2.4 km apart. Both watersheds are burned every 4 years and were last burned in the spring (March/April) of 2017. Watershed N4D is grazed by native bison, while watershed K4A does not contain any large mammalian grazers.

The experiment consisted of three treatments: grazed, simulated browsing and control. For the grazed treatment, five islands were randomly selected on watershed N4D with heights ranging from 2.03 to 2.85 m. In this treatment, bison have been grazing continuously year-round since 1992 and did so throughout the course of the study. Bison forage on grasses which make up a majority of their diet (\sim 80 to 90%), along with forbs to a lesser extent (\sim 10 to 15%; Plumb and Dodd 1993, Raynor et al. 2016, Hecker et al. 2021). Bison do consume some browse items (\sim 5%), but these species are usually <1 m in height (Plumb and Dodd 1993). Bison do not typically consume a significant amount of leaves or stems of large woody trees and shrubs like C. drummondii (Plumb and Dodd 1993, Coppedge et al. 1998, Knapp et al. 1999, Raynor et al. 2016). However, grazing by bison has indirect impacts on woody plant distributions. Grass consumption by bison removes fine fuels, leading to less intense fires and greater abundance of C. drummondii and other woody plants on grazed watersheds compared to ungrazed watersheds (Briggs et al. 2002, Ratajczak et al. 2014b).

For the control and simulated browsing treatments, 10 islands were randomly selected on watershed K4A. Five islands were assigned to the control treatment, with no form of herbivory imposed, ranging from 2.02 to 2.45 m in height; and five islands were assigned to the browsed treatment ranging from 1.83 to 2.47 m in height. For islands in the simulated browsing treatment, leaf thinning by hand was implemented to mimic elk browsing as much as possible following the protocol of O'Connor et al. (2020). Leaves were ripped by hand, resulting in occasional terminal bud damage and stem fraying. Fifty percent of an island's leaves were removed, along with significant amounts of new, non-woody stems. This process was done as evenly as possible throughout the canopy on every ramet in the island above 10 cm from ground level. After removal, the leaves were deposited outside the study area. We acknowledge that this treatment is not a perfect mimic of elk herbivory, but only our best surrogate, as we were not able to mimic the bite pattern and head movements associated with actual elk herbivory. This treatment was completed once during the early growing season (27 May-1 June), just before the start of sampling, and once

during the mid-growing season (10 July), 9 days after our second sampling period.

Leaf area index

Leaf area index (LAI) was measured indirectly using an ACCU-PAR LP-80 ceptometer between the times of 12:00 and 15:00 in full sunlight on July 7 and July 8. The ACCUPAR LP-80 ceptometer estimates LAI based on light transmission through the canopy (Bréda 2003). LAI measurements were made near the center of each shrub island across a vertical canopy gradient consisting of four depths: 0 cm (top of the canopy), 50 cm, 100 cm and 150 cm depth from the top of the canopy (Figure 1B). For each LAI measurement, eight instantaneous measurements of PAR were taken and averaged directly outside the canopy facing four directions, and eight instantaneous measurements of PAR were taken above a square meter area near the center of the shrub island at a given canopy depth facing four directions (two measurements per direction; 90° rotation between directions). LAI was calculated by the ceptometer from the averaged measurements.

Leaf-level physiology

Leaf gas exchange was measured using a LI-6400XT open gas exchange system (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Instantaneous measures of net photosynthesis at ambient light intensity (A_{net}) , stomatal conductance (g_s) and intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE; A_{net}/g_s) were measured at five positions within the canopy of each island of C. drummondii (see Table 1 for a list of traits and their definitions). These positions consisted of a vertical canopy gradient in the center of the shrub island at four depths (Figure 1B): 0 cm (top of the canopy), 50 cm, 100 cm and 150 cm depth from the top of the canopy. The fifth canopy position was on the outer perimeter ('out') of the island in full sunlight (Figure 1B). Ramets in the 'out' position typically had leaves at similar heights to the surrounding grassy matrix, which contains 40-80 cm tall vegetative tillers. Instantaneous measurements were collected four times at regular intervals from early June to early September 2020. Measurements were taken from 9:00 to 15:00 on the newest, healthy, fully expanded leaves. At each canopy position, gas exchange was measured in situ for two leaves and averaged prior to further analysis. The reference chamber CO_2 concentration was set to 400 μ mol CO_2 mol^{-1} , relative humidity was maintained between 40% and 60% and the leaf chamber's PAR level was set to the ambient light level for each canopy position. An ACCUPAR LP-80 ceptometer was used to measure ambient PAR on a prior date with full sunlight. All PAR measurements were made within the same week as gas exchange measurements.

In addition to assessing instantaneous gas exchange, light response curves and A- c_i response curves were measured for all locations and canopy positions. Gas exchange measurements for both types of curves were made from July 3 to July 17. The light

response curves were developed from in situ measurements at eight PAR intensities in the following order: 2000, 1200, 800, 400, 150, 50, 25 and 0 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. For each of the light response curve measurements, the reference CO₂ level was set to 400 μ mol CO₂ mol⁻¹. While vertical and temporal gradients in CO₂ can exist within canopies, 400 μ mol CO₂ mol⁻¹ was used to standardize all measurements. For each measurement, the leaf was given a minimum of 90 seconds and a maximum of 450 seconds to equilibrate between changes in light intensity. Light response curves were used to calculate apparent quantum yield (Φ), LCP, R_d and A_{2000} . Φ was calculated as the slope of a line through the points at PAR values of 0, 25 and 50 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. Light compensation point was calculated by fitting a line of best fit through the first few PAR intensities and then solving for LCP at a photosynthetic rate of 0 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. $R_{\rm d}$ was calculated as the rate of photosynthesis at a PAR of 0 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. Light saturated rates of photosynthesis (A_{sat}) could not be calculated because many of the leaves at depths 0 cm, 50 cm and the 'out' position did not asymptote. Therefore, A_{2000} was used as a proxy. A_{2000} was calculated as the rate of photosynthesis at 2000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ of PAR and was equal to A_{sat} for most leaves at the 50, 100 and 150 cm depths.

Immediately following each light response curve, $A-c_i$ response curves were collected by taking measurements at seven concentrations of CO₂ in the following order: 400, 250, 100, 50, 500, 800 and 1000 μ mol CO₂ mol⁻¹. For all $A-c_i$ curve measurements, the PAR intensity was set to 2000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and the leaf was given a minimum of 90 seconds and a maximum of 450 seconds to equilibrate between changes in [CO₂]. $A-c_i$ response curves were developed using the Farquhar–Berry–von Caemmerer model of photosynthesis (Farquhar et al. 1980, von Caemmerer and Farquhar 1981). This was done using the 'fitaci' function from the 'plantecophys' package (Duursma 2015) in R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2022). J_{max} and Vc_{max} were then derived from the curve using the 'coef' function.

Leaf traits

Following each gas exchange measurement, the measured leaf was immediately harvested. For leaf area, the petiole was removed, and area was measured on fresh leaves using a Li-3100 leaf area meter (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). Leaves were then dried at 60 °C for a minimum of 72 hours, and leaf dry mass was measured thereafter. Leaf mass per area (LMA) was calculated by dividing leaf dry mass by leaf area (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al. 2013).

The leaf elemental abundance and stable isotopic signatures for carbon were performed at the Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at Kansas State University. Dried leaves were ground using a Wig-L-Bug amalgamator, and samples were packed in tin capsules prior to analysis. Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios as well as percent carbon and

5

Table 1. List of measured canopy and leaf traits with a brief description and units accompanying each variable.

Canopy structure and light environment	Units	Description
LAI	Unitless	Canopy leaf area per unit ground area
PAR	μ mol m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	Amount of light available for photosynthesis
Leaf morphological traits		
LMA	g m ⁻²	Mass of leaf tissue per leaf area
Na	g m ⁻²	Mass of leaf nitrogen per leaf area
Percent leaf nitrogen (%N)	Unitless	Percentage of leaf composition that is nitrogen
C:N ratio	Unitless	The ratio of leaf carbon to leaf nitrogen
Leaf physiological traits		
Maximum rate of carboxylation (Vc _{max})	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Maximum rate of carboxylation
Maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max})	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Maximum rate of electron transport
LCP	$\mu { m mol} \ { m m}^{-2} \ { m s}^{-1}$	PAR level required for photosynthesis to equal respiration
Dark respiration $(R_{\rm d})$	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Leaf respiration rate at 0 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ of PAR
Apparent quantum yield (Φ)	mol CO ₂ (mol incident photon) ^{-1}	CO ₂ consumption per incident photon
Photosynthetic rate at 2000 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ (A ₂₀₀₀)	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Photosynthetic rate at 2000 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ of PAR
Leaf integrative traits		
Photosynthetic rate at ambient PAR (A_{net})	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Photosynthetic rate at ambient PAR
Intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE)	$(\mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \text{ g}_{\text{s}}^{-1}$	Photosynthesis divided by stomatal conductance
δ ¹³ C	Unitless	Ratio of the rare to common stable isotopes (¹³ C. ¹² C) in the sample compared to a standard expressed in permil (‰)
PNUE	μ mol g ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	Photosynthesis per gram of nitrogen

nitrogen of homogenized leaf samples were measured following combustion using an Elementar vario Pyro cube coupled to an Elementar Vision mass spectrometer for isotope analysis. Isotopic abundance ratios were converted to δ notation using the following equation:

$$\delta = \left[\frac{R_{sample}}{R_{standard}} - 1\right] * 1000$$

where *R* is the ratio of heavy to light isotopes for the sample and standard, respectively. Working laboratory standards were annually calibrated against the internationally accepted standard, Vienna Pee-Dee Belemnite for δ^{13} C. Within-run and acrossrun variability of the laboratory working standard was <0.05‰ for δ^{13} C.

C:N ratio was calculated by dividing leaf percent carbon (%C) by leaf percent nitrogen (%N) for each sample. Relative photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency (PNUE) was calculated by dividing A_{net} by N_a . N_a was calculated using the following equation:

$$N_{a} = \frac{(\%N) \times (\text{leaf mass})}{(\text{leaf area})}$$

Data analysis

To make comparisons among treatments, depths and sampling periods, repeated measures linear mixed-effects models were developed using the software package 'nlme' (Pinheiro et al. 2020) in R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2022). For each model, the response variable (PAR, LAI, LMA, NArea, C:N, %N, A_{net} , δ^{13} C, iWUE and PNUE) were fit with canopy position, herbivory treatment (control, browsed and grazed) and sampling date as fixed effects and replicate as a random effect. Linear mixed-effects models were also developed for the response variables extracted from $A-c_i$ and light response curves (J_{max} , Vc_{max}, LCP, A_{2000} , Φ and R_{d}). Parameters were fit with canopy position and herbivory treatment as fixed effects and replicate as a random effect. For all models, significant main effects and interactions are summarized in Table 2. For each significant interaction ($\alpha < 0.05$), a Tukey's HSD test was performed to make pairwise comparisons. To further determine which response variables should be included in models, a best-fit model was created for each response variable using the model selection tool 'dredge()' from the MuMIn package (Bartoń 2022). 'dredge()' determines the best fit-model from all possible models based on the lowest AICc score. All global models, best fit models and the AICc scores are summarized in Table S1 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online.

In addition to the mixed-effects models, all variables were arranged in a Pearson correlation matrix using the 'ggpairs' function from the package 'GGally' in R. One matrix was generated for leaves sampled throughout the growing season (see Figure S3 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online) and another was made for the leaves sampled for the *A*-*c_i* and light response curves (see Figure S4 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online).

Table 2. Summary for the mixed-effects models analysis of variance. Table contains all variables that were measured at multiple periods throughout the growing season. All significant effects (P < 0.05) are bold font and insignificant effects are normal font (P > 0.05).

Predictor variable	Response	variable							
	PAR	LMA	Na	%N	C:N	A _{net}	iWUE	$\delta^{_{13}}C$	PNUE
Date	0.5095	<0.0001	0.0092	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0038	< 0.0001
Treatment	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0029	0.0068	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0005	<0.0001
Depth	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0013	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Date * treatment	0.0748	<0.0001	0.1264	0.1035	0.1897	0.001	<0.0001	0.8133	0.1179
Date * depth	0.4579	<0.0001	0.0135	0.5434	0.4608	0.0003	0.4916	0.0144	0.0054
Treatment * depth	<0.0001	0.0001	0.0055	0.4185	0.2331	<0.0001	0.0011	0.1844	<0.0001
Date * treatment * depth	0.5509	0.6784	0.1988	0.6456	0.5382	0.6342	0.7976	0.9293	0.5920

%N, percent leaf nitrogen; Anet, instantaneous photosynthetic rate at ambient light intensity.

Results

Light availability and LAI

For all treatments, PAR decreased and LAI increased significantly with canopy depth (Table 2; Figure 2). These trends were least pronounced for the browsed treatment compared to the control and grazed treatments (Figure 2). In the control and grazed treatment canopies, mean LAI was 8.0 (control) and 6.6 (grazed), with a maximum LAI of 10.3 in the control treatment. Mean PAR was reduced by 97.5% and 92.1% between the top (0 cm) and bottom (150 cm) of control and grazed treatment canopies. In the browsed treatment canopies, the mean LAI was only 4.1 and PAR was reduced by 78.4% over the same vertical distance (Figure 2).

Leaf mass per area and N per area

Both LMA and Na varied significantly by treatment, depth and across the growing season (Table 2). All two-way interactions were significant for LMA, as well as interactions for treatment * depth and date * depth for Na. Overall, LMA and Na decreased with depth for all treatments and at all time points during the growing season (Figure 3). LMA in upper canopy leaves (O and 50 cm) and 'out' position leaves increased throughout the growing season in all treatments (except the 50 cm depth in the control treatment; Figure 3A). LMA in lower canopy leaves in the control and grazed treatments remained relatively constant but increased throughout the growing season in the browsed treatment, resulting in greater LMA in lower canopy leaves in August and September compared to the control and grazed treatments (Figure 3A). Similarly, N_a in lower canopy leaves in the browsed treatment were higher in August and September compared to control and grazed treatments, but the differences were only marginal (Figure 3B; Table 2).

Leaf stoichiometry

Overall, C:N increased significantly through the growing season (Figure 4B; Table 2). This increase was primarily driven by a decline in leaf %N (Figure 4D; Table 2). C:N also varied significantly by canopy depth, whereby values were greater in

the 'out' position compared to the 50, 100 and 150 cm depths (Figure 4A; Table 2). This response was primarily influenced by changes in %N by depth, which were greatest at the 50 and 100 cm depths and lowest in the 150 cm depth and 'out' position (Figure 4A and C; Table 2). %N also varied by treatment with significantly greater values in the browsed treatment compared to the control and grazed treatments (see Figure S1B available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online; Table 2).

A_{net}, PNUE, iWUE and leaf δ^{13} C

Instantaneous photosynthetic rates at ambient PAR (A_{net}) varied significantly by treatment, depth, date and all two-way interactions (Table 2). Overall, photosynthetic rates were highest at the top of the canopy and on the outside of the island and decreased with canopy depth (Figure 5A). In the browsed treatment, photosynthetic rates in lower canopy leaves were significantly higher compared to the control and grazed treatments (Figure 5A; Table 2). Control and grazed treatments were similar at all depths until the last sampling period in September, where photosynthetic rates decreased in upper canopy leaves and outside of islands in the grazed treatment, resulting in smaller differences in photosynthetic rates between the top (0 cm) and bottom (150 cm) of the canopy compared to the control treatment (Figure 5A). Photosynthetic rates in the 'out' position were similar to rates at the top (0 cm) of the canopy.

PNUE varied by all main effects and significant interactions between treatment * depth and date * depth (Table 2). However, the best-fit model based on the lowest AICc did not include the date * depth interaction (see Table S1 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Overall, PNUE declined with canopy depth in the control and grazed treatments (Figure 5B), PNUE did not show the same declining trend with canopy depth in the browsed treatment, where values stayed relatively constant through the canopy (Figure 5B).

All main effects and interactions between treatment * date and treatment * depth varied significantly for iWUE (Table 2). Overall, iWUE values were highest during the last sampling



Figure 2. (A) LAI and (B) PAR measured in *C. drummondii* canopies at varying canopy depths (out, 0 cm, 50 cm, 100 cm and 150 cm) and herbivory treatments (browsed, control, grazed). Point and whiskers represent the mean \pm standard error of the one sampling period for LAI and the mean \pm standard error for all four sampling periods for PAR.

period, and this trend was particularly pronounced in the grazed and control treatments (Figure 5C). A significant decline in iWUE by canopy depth was found in the control treatment during the July and September sampling periods, but otherwise iWUE stayed relatively constant throughout the canopy in the browsed and grazed treatments (Figure 5C).

Leaf δ^{13} C was lowest in the browsed treatment compared to the control and grazed treatments (see Figure S1D available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online; Table 2). There was also a significant interaction between sampling date and canopy depth (Table 2). Leaf δ^{13} C was highest in the upper canopy and 'out' position and declined with depth. At the 150 cm depth, leaf δ^{13} C decreased throughout the growing season, leading to significantly greater values in the first sampling period compared to the final sampling period. (*P* = 0.027; see Figure S2 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online; Table 2).

A-c_i response curves

 J_{max} and Vc_{max} , derived from *A*-*c_i* response curves, varied significantly by canopy depth (Table 3). J_{max} and Vc_{max} were highest at the top of the canopy and declined with depth (Table 4), and both variables showed a nearly twofold difference between the top (0 cm) and bottom (150 cm) of canopies. J_{max} and Vc_{max} in the 'out' position were greater than the bottom of the canopy (100 and 150 cm depths) and had similar values

to the top (0 and 50 cm depths) of the canopy (Table 4). J_{max} also varied significantly by treatment and Vc_{max} varied marginally by treatment (P = 0.055; Table 3), with greater values in browsed treatment canopies compared to the control and grazed treatments for both variables (Tables 3 and 4).

Light response curves

For all treatment types, both A_{2000} and Φ varied significantly by depth (Table 3). A_{2000} and Φ values were greatest at the top of the canopy (0 cm) and 'out' position and decreased with depth in the canopy (Table 4). The best-fit model for A_{2000} based on AlCc also included treatment (Table S1 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online), and while treatment did not have a significant effect on A_{2000} (P = 0.128; Table 3), values for A_{2000} were marginally greater in the browsed treatment compared to the grazed treatment (Table 4).

 R_d and LCP both varied significantly by depth and showed a significant treatment * depth interaction (Table 3). R_d declined with canopy depth in the control and grazed treatments but stayed relatively constant throughout the canopy in the browsed treatment (Table 4). Light compensation point declined with canopy depth in the grazed treatment and insignificantly in the control treatment but stayed relatively constant in the browsed treatment (Table 4). In the 'out' position, both R_d and LCP were greater in the control treatment compared to the grazed treatment.



Figure 3. (A) LMA and (B) N_a of leaves in *C. drummondii* canopies varying by canopy position (out, 0 cm, 50 cm, 100 cm and 150 cm), herbivory treatment (browsed, control and grazed) and sampling period (6/4/, 7/1, 8/1, 9/5). Point and whiskers represent the mean \pm standard error.

Table 3. Summary for the mixed effects models analysis of variance. Table summarizes response variables that were only measured during one period of the growing season. All significant effects (P < 0.05) are bold font and insignificant effects are normal font (P > 0.05). An asterisk is placed next to effects with marginal differences.

Predictor variable	Response va	ariable					
	LAI	Vc _{max}	J _{max}	LCP	R _d	Φ	A ₂₀₀₀
Treatment	<0.0001	*0.0553	0.0138	0.0020	0.0079	0.4870	0.1284
Depth	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0059	<.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Treatment * depth	0.0001	0.6123	0.6728	0.0028	0.0041	0.8169	0.4632

Vc_{max}, maximum velocity of carboxylation; J_{max} , maximum velocity of electron transport; Φ , apparent quantum yield; A_{2000} , photosynthetic rate at 2000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Pearson correlation coefficients

For both LMA and N_a, significant positive correlations existed with the physiological parameters Φ , R_d , A_{2000} , J_{max} and Vc_{max} (r = 0.62-0.87; see Figure S3 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online). C:N ratio was not significantly correlated with any of the physiological parameters, and %N was only weakly correlated with Vc_{max} and J_{max} . Between physiological parameters, strong positive correlations existed between J_{max} and Vc_{max} (r = 0.81), Vc_{max} and A_{2000} (r = 0.91), and J_{max} and A_{2000} (r = 0.73; see Figure S3 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Φ was correlated with J_{max} (r = 0.74) and Vc_{max} (r = 0.60), Table 4. Summary of means and standard errors for parameters extracted from the A-ci and light response curves. See Table 1 for variable units.

Treatment	Depth	Vc _{max} (µn	101 m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	J _{max} (µmol	m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	LCP (µmol	l m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	R _d (µmol	m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	<pre></pre>	2/mol	A 2000 (µ	mol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$)
		Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Control	Out	39.97	2.11	111.19	3.13	49.58	7.61	3.63	0.36	0.0860	0.0043	13.09	0.45
	0	41.39	5.35	120.16	13.60	41.76	5.69	3.64	0.64	0.0944	0.0118	9.81	2.23
	50	35.06	5.49	111.93	20.48	27.74	4.32	2.38	0.51	0.0885	0600.0	7.11	0.86
	100	18.50	2.13	67.63	2.71	17.60	7.32	1.22	0.45	0.0775	0.0020	5.86	0.26
	150	12.89	3.83	39.52	10.13	22.73	9.24	1.16	0.39	0.0565	0.0075	3.29	1.45
	AII	28.30	3.02	87.68	8.66	32.14	3.71	2.46	0.31	0.0816	0.0044	7.89	06.0
Browsed	Out	38.94	5.41	105.29	8.34	29.38	3.56	2.29	0.34	0.0883	0.0100	9.93	1.89
	0	46.85	5.19	144.01	15.90	36.10	2.44	3.15	0.50	0.0967	0.0100	11.99	1.08
	50	36.67	4.56	114.80	13.35	30.46	4.40	2.60	0.40	0.0893	0.0071	9.60	0.32
	100	34.52	5.72	109.13	9.26	45.02	8.09	3.12	0.31	0.0861	0.0081	9.67	1.75
	150	20.46	4.19	78.13	10.40	37.90	9.23	2.16	0.56	0.0635	0.0038	5.06	0.55
	AII	34.94	2.77	108.61	6.34	35.95	2.83	2.68	0.19	0.0851	0.0040	9.29	0.70
Grazed	Out	35.21	3.32	94.72	13.82	21.54	4.80	1.56	0.34	0.0776	0.0040	9.38	1.61
	0	40.33	3.90	126.32	24.11	42.20	6.45	3.94	0.67	0.1033	0.0066	9.59	0.79
	50	30.47	6.00	98.82	14.56	24.50	2.93	2.11	0.37	0.0897	0.0073	7.71	1.67
	100	22.31	3.77	66.38	7.37	13.70	2.95	0.96	0.25	0.0663	0.0046	6.65	1.39
	150	18.89	4.26	52.29	11.80	11.95	2.86	0.74	0.27	0.0545	0.0100	4.29	2.32
	AII	29.44	2.40	87.71	8.21	23.23	2.86	1.91	0.29	0.0793	0.0044	7.66	0.75
AII	Out	37.90	2.25	103.20	5.72	32.58	4.47	2.42	0.31	0.0835	0.0036	10.69	0.92
	0	42.66	2.63	129.87	10.78	40.30	3.01	3.61	0.34	0.0983	0.0053	10.36	0.88
	50	33.79	3.02	107.77	8.80	27.57	2.20	2.37	0.24	0.0892	0.0042	8.14	0.65
	100	25.11	2.87	82.00	6.88	26.00	5.23	1.81	0.32	0.0766	0.0039	7.50	0.87
	150	17.41	2.36	56.65	7.19	24.19	5.15	1.35	0.29	0.0582	0.0041	4.21	0.87
Vc _{max} , maxir	mum velocity	of carboxylati	on; J _{max} , maxin	um velocity o	of electron tra	nsport; R _d , da	trk respiration;	Φ, apparent	quantum yielo	l; A ₂₀₀₀ , photo	synthetic rate	at 2000 μn	101 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ .



Figure 4. C:N ratio of leaves varying by depth (A) and date (B), and %N of leaves varying by depth (C) and date (D). Point and whiskers represent the mean \pm standard error.

but only weakly correlated with A_{2000} . For R_d, the strongest correlations occurred with J_{max} (r = 0.64) and Φ (r = 0.72; see Figure S3 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online).

From the seasonal measurements, PAR was strongly correlated with LMA (r = 0.773) and N_a (r = 0.790) of leaves as well as A_{net} (r = 0.776) and δ^{13} C (r = 0.728; see Figure S4 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online), but PAR was not correlated with %N or C:N ratio of leaves. Within sampling periods, the morphological parameters LMA and N_a were strongly correlated to leaf A_{net} (r = 0.752– 0.864) and δ^{13} C (r = 0.753–0821; see Figure S4 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Between physiological parameters, correlations existed between A_{net} and δ^{13} C, but both parameters were only weakly correlated to iWUE (see Figure S4 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online).

Discussion

The mechanisms enabling *C. drummondii* and other woody encroaching shrubs with dense canopies to facilitate large light reductions across a small canopy distance are not well understood. This project investigated leaf morphological and



Figure 5. (A) Ambient photosynthetic rates, (B) photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency and (C) intrinsic water-use efficiency of leaves in *C. drummondii* canopies varying by canopy position (out, 0 cm, 50 cm, 100 cm and 150 cm), herbivory treatment (browsed, control and grazed) and sampling period (6/4, 7/1, 8/1, 9/5). Point and whiskers represent the mean \pm standard error.

physiological responses within discrete layers of *C. drummondii* canopies and the influence of simulated browsing (removing leaves to mimic elk herbivory) and bison grazing (reducing competition from grasses) on these factors. Overall, our results indicated that (i) Leaf morphology of *C. drummondii* varied greatly across a small vertical distance in response to light availability, resulting in major differences in the physiological functioning of leaves. High LMA leaves had high photosynthetic

capacity, while low LMA leaves used a novel strategy for maintaining LCPs below ambient light levels. (ii) *Cornus drummondii* leaf morphology and physiology were modified in response to disturbance by simulated browsing, but not grazing, within a single growing season, resulting in a compensatory growth response that facilitated greater photosynthetic capacity and resource-use efficiency in the lower canopies of browsed *C. drummondii* islands.

Vertical variation in leaf morphology and resource allocation in C. drummondii

Species with a high capacity to vary leaf morphology in response to light availability can utilize leaves across a greater range of light conditions to achieve greater LAI (Saeki 1960, Chen et al. 1993, Reich et al. 2009, Hikosaka 2014, Niinemets et al. 2014). We found that C. drummondii canopies had LAI greater than most temperate deciduous forests and reduced mean PAR by 97.5% despite having heights of only 1.5–3.0 m. Therefore, we hypothesized that *C. drummondii* must be capable of high plasticity in leaf morphology and physiology. Supporting this hypothesis, we found that LMA and N_a varied approximately threefold across canopies of C. drummondii. Both parameters decreased with canopy depth and were strongly correlated with the ambient light conditions of the leaf. This is consistent with changes in LMA and Na found across most forest canopies in response to light availability (Poorter et al. 2009). However, differences in LMA across a canopy are typically greater in tall species compared to shorter species (Koch et al. 2004, Poorter et al. 2009, Cavaleri et al. 2010, Oldham et al. 2010) due to hydrostatic constraints on the canopy from increasing height (Niinemets 1997, Ishii et al. 2008). On average, LMA varies fourfold across 100 m Sequoia canopies, which can attain LAI values of \sim 15, but only twofold across canopies of most tree species (Carswell et al. 2000, Koch et al. 2004, Gratani et al. 2006, Rozendaal et al. 2006, Sack et al. 2006, Markesteijn et al. 2007, Poorter et al. 2009, Oldham et al. 2010, Wyka et al. 2012, Gratani 2014, Legner et al. 2014, Van Pelt et al. 2016). While substantially shorter in stature, LMA varied more across C. drummondii canopies than the canopies of most tree species. Leaf C:N was constant across canopies despite the large variation in Na indicating that changes in LMA resulted equally from changes in nitrogen and carbon. However, across the growing season, leaf C:N increased and %N decreased, indicating that carbon accumulation contributed more to increases in LMA in upper canopy leaves across the growing season. This is likely due to thickening and enhanced lignification of cell walls in response to increased water limitation, and possibly the result of increased storage of starch and other non-structural carbohydrates-a product of high photosynthetic rates (Niinemets 1997, Moore et al. 1998, Paul and Foyer 2001, Poorter et al. 2009, Edwards et al. 2010). Leaf C:N was greatest on the outer edges of islands and could possibly act to deter herbivores, which tend to target more palatable species containing lower leaf C:N (Schädler et al. 2003, Díaz et al. 2007, McDowell et al. 2011). Overall, the high capacity of C. drummondii to vary leaf morphology, both across canopies and throughout the growing season, enables it to allocate nitrogen and carbon advantageously in response to the large intra-canopy gradients of light found within high LAI canopies.

Influence of leaf morphology on leaf physiology and plant performance

Variation in leaf morphology led to substantial differences in physiological functioning throughout the canopy that maximized leaf photosynthesis. Most physiological parameters were strongly correlated to leaf LMA and N_a (see Figures S3 and S4 available as Supplementary data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Photosynthetic parameters J_{max} and Vc_{max} were highest at the top of the canopy, leading to a greater A_{2000} compared to lower canopy leaves. This is beneficial at the top of the canopy where light availability is high. To maximize carbon gain under low-light conditions, very low LCP values were achieved by leaves at the 100 and 150 cm canopy depths. This is critical to maintaining a high LAI since leaves that do not receive the minimum light required to reach photosynthesis of the plant (Larcher 2003).

In theory, LCP decreases as a function of decreasing R_d and increasing Φ , but previous work suggests that Φ is relatively constant across canopies and that vertical variation in LCP is primarily driven by changes in R_d (Valladares et al. 1997, Bond et al. 1999, Avalos et al. 2007, Posada et al. 2009). Nonetheless, a few species such as Pseudotsuga menziesii var. glauca (Beissn.) Franco., Abies grandis (Dougl.) Lindl. and Acer rubrum L. have been found to have greater $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ in shade leaves compared to sun leaves, enabling shade leaves to further decrease LCP (Langenheim et al. 1984, Oberbauer and Strain 1986, Kubiske and Pregitzer 1996, Nippert and Marshall 2003). Decreased Φ may also result from increased leaf reflectance in upper canopy leaves to prevent damage to photosynthetic apparatus under high light conditions (Langenheim et al. 1984). Contrary to expectation, Φ in canopies of *C. drummondii* decreased with increasing canopy depth. A similar finding has been reported by Dusenge et al. (2015) in tropical montane tree species with greater Φ in sun leaves compared to shade leaves, but this strategy has been rarely observed in other woody species. While decreased Φ negatively impacted the LCP of lower canopy leaves of C. drummondii, LCP was still maintained below ambient light levels, due to more than a threefold and fivefold decrease in R_d in the control and grazed treatments. This may indicate a novel strategy for lowering LCP in C. drummondii canopies. Increasing Φ can require greater nutrient allocation to chloroplasts to increase the density of thylakoids, protein complexes and concentrations of photosynthetic pigments (Hikosaka and Terashima 1995, Moriwaki et al. 2019). However, large reductions in R_d enable C. drummondii to maintain LCP below ambient light conditions while theoretically allocating less nitrogen and nutrients to leaves compared to plants with a greater Φ (Moriwaki et al. 2019). While this strategy has benefits, a potential tradeoff exists. Lower canopy leaves of C. drummondii cannot utilize light efficiently in the presence of sunflecks compared to species with greater Φ values. However, sunflecks contribute

less to carbon gain within canopies of species that concentrate LAI due to reduced penumbral effects (Smith et al. 1989, Chazdon and Pearcy 1991, Stenberg 1998, Van Pelt et al. 2016). Brantley and Young (2009) found that sunflecks in mesic woody encroaching shrub canopies were smaller, shorter in duration and less intense than those in deciduous forest canopies and contributed to only 5% of the total light below canopies compared to 32% below deciduous forest canopies. The scarcity of light from sunflecks in *C. drummondii* canopies may favor this strategy of reducing LCP, resulting in greater resource-use efficiency than otherwise possible.

Influence of simulated browsing and grazing on C. drummondii canopy dynamics

Previous research has shown that many species have a compensatory growth response that minimizes the impact of herbivory (McNaughton 1983, Maschinski and Whitham 1989). O'Connor et al. (2020) found that ramet density of C. drummondii islands had not decreased after two consecutive years of high intensity simulated browsing and maintained similar levels of nonstructural carbohydrates (glucose, sucrose and starch) as un-browsed islands. These parameters did not decrease until fire and browsing were present in combination. In 2018 and 2019, Wedel et al. (2021) found that after 4 and 5 years of high intensity simulated browsing, C. drummondii islands still maintained similar recruitment and ramet mortality rates as un-browsed islands, and relative growth rates were similar between browsed and un-browsed islands during an extremely dry growing season in 2018. These results indicate that C. drummondii has a compensatory growth response to herbivory. However, the mechanisms supporting this response have not been described.

The results of this study provide a mechanistic explanation for the compensatory growth response of C. drummondii. Mechanisms leading to compensatory growth can be divided into intrinsic mechanisms involving changes in physiology and morphology/development and extrinsic mechanisms involving modifications of the environment (McNaughton 1983). In C. drummondii, defoliation from browsing altered the canopy light environment, resulting in increased PAR values at deeper canopy depths compared to control islands. Over time, leaf morphology and resource allocation throughout canopies of C. drummondii were modified in response to browsing, which resulted in greater LMA and N_a in lower canopies compared to the control treatment. This increase in LMA and Na corresponded with the increase in light intensity at those depths. Leaves with increased LMA and N_a had a higher photosynthetic capacity due to increased J_{max} , Vc_{max} and A_{2000} and could reach greater photosynthetic rates at moderate light intensities (400-600) due to increased Φ . The resulting physiology in conjunction with higher PAR levels led to higher photosynthetic rates, increased iWUE and increased PNUE in lower canopy

leaves of the browsed treatment compared to the control treatment and explains the compensatory growth response seen in *C. drummondii*. Improved iWUE may also explain why Wedel et al. (2021) found that browsed islands could maintain similar relative growth rates to un-browsed islands during a drought in 2018. The ability of *C. drummondii* individuals to change their investment strategy within a single growing season is beneficial in grassland ecosystems where changes to the canopy light environment can occur as a result of frequent disturbance.

For the grazed treatment, we hypothesized that the distribution of LAI and leaf morphology of islands of C. drummondii in watersheds grazed by bison would differ from that in un-grazed (control) watersheds, and that these differences would lead to higher rates of whole canopy photosynthesis in the grazed treatment. Grazers such as cattle and bison do not directly consume C. drummondii or other woody shrubs, but previous work has shown that grazing by bison decreases grass abundance and reduces fire intensity, which facilitates positive feedbacks that drive the survival and spread of C. drummondii (Briggs et al. 2002, Lett and Knapp 2003, Ratajczak et al. 2014b). However, our results indicate that grazing did not impact C. drummondii canopy dynamics. The distribution of LAI and PAR were similar to control canopies at all depths. Leaf morphology and physiology across canopies and in the 'out' position of the grazed treatment also had very few differences from the control treatment. It is possible that the similarities between the control and grazed treatments are due to the absence of fire preceding sampling for this study, or it may also be that canopy dynamics are similar between the control and grazed treatments even when fire is present and increased abundance of C. drummondii in grazed watersheds results entirely from increased ramet survival in the presence of less intense fires. However, more research is needed to determine whether differences exist during years immediately following a fire.

Conclusions and implications

Overall, our results have important implications for understanding the growth investment strategy of *C. drummondii* and other woody shrubs, which enables them to achieve dense canopies, respond positively to periodic grassland disturbance and ultimately facilitate successful encroachment in grassland ecosystems. This study revealed that these characteristics are driven by the capacity of *C. drummondii* to dramatically alter leaf traits in response to light gradients—both spatially to achieve dense canopies, and temporally to achieve compensatory growth.

Future research is needed to determine whether high intracanopy variation in leaf traits exists in other woody encroaching species and whether this is a major characteristic differentiating woody encroaching species that cause large disruptions to grassland structure and function from those that coexist with grasses (Eldridge et al. 2011, Ratajczak et al. 2012). In C. drummondii, large leaf trait variation across canopies enables high-LAI values, which lower light availability, displacing understory grasses and resulting in fire suppression (Lett and Knapp 2003, Ratajczak et al. 2011). Once C. drummondii escapes fire, it spreads rapidly across grasslands (Ratajczak et al. 2011). While leaf trait variation across a canopy is a major factor determining the optimal LAI to maximize whole-canopy photosynthesis (Saeki 1960, Hikosaka 2005), LAI is also limited by other factors such as water and nutrient availability (Asner et al. 2003). Future research is needed to determine the potential drivers of LAI in other woody encroaching shrubs and in other grasslands to better understand the extent to which intra-canopy variability in leaf traits (morphological and physiological) drives LAI of woody encroaching plants under different abiotic conditions. This relationship, along with a better understanding of canopy architectural traits, are central to determining why certain woody species become dominant encroachers of grasslands, while most other woody species do not change in abundance over time.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data for this article are available at *Tree Physiology* Online.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Lauren Gill, Ryan Donnelly, Emily Wedel, and the LTER research staff for assisting with data collection, and Patrick O'Neal for logistical support.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the ideas and methodologies of the paper. E.T., S.B., J.N. and R.K. collected the data. E.T. analyzed the data and led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Funding

Funding was provided by the Department of Energy Terrestrial Ecosystem Science Award (DESC0019037), the Konza Prairie Long-Term Ecological Research program (National Science Foundation Division of Environmental Biology-2025849), and the Division of Biology at Kansas State University. The Konza Prairie Biological Station provided logistical support, facilities and the maintenance of the long-term fire and grazing treatments.

Data and materials availability

Data will be made publicly available at the Konza Prairie LTER data repository (http://lter.konza.ksu.edu/data).

References

- Abrams MD (1986) Historical development of gallery forests in northeast Kansas. Vegetatio 65:29–37.
- Amthor JS (2000) The McCree–de Wit–Penning de Vries–Thornley respiration paradigms: 30 years later. Ann Bot 86:1–20.
- Anderson J, Martin M, Dubayah ML, Dubayah R, Hofton M, Hyde P, Peterson B, Blair J, Knox R (2006) The use of waveform lidar to measure northern temperate mixed conifer and deciduous forest structure in New Hampshire. Remote Sens Environ 105:248–261.
- Archer SR (1995) Tree-grass dynamics in a Prosopis-thornscrub savanna parkland: reconstructing the past and predicting the future. Ecosci 2:83–99.
- Archer SR, Andersen EM, Predick KI, Schwinning S, Steidl RJ, Woods SR (2017) Woody plant encroachment: causes and consequences. In: Briske DD (eds) Rangeland systems: processes, management and challenges. Springer, Cham, pp. 25–84. https://doi.o rg/10.1007/978-3-319-46709-2_2.
- Asner G, Scurlock J, Hicke J (2003) Global synthesis of leaf area index observations: implications for ecological and remote sensing studies. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 12:191–205.
- Avalos G, Mulkey SS, Kitajima K, Wright SJ (2007) Colonization strategies of two liana species in a tropical dry forest canopy. Biotropica 39:393–399.
- Bartoń K (2022) MuMIn: multi-model inference. R package version 1.46.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn (13 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Björkman O (1981) Responses to different quantum flux densities. In: Lange OL, Nobel PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H (eds) Physiological plant ecology. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 57–107.
- Bond BJ, Farnsworth BT, Coulombe RA, Winner WE (1999) Foliage physiology and biochemistry in response to light gradients in conifers with varying shade tolerance. Oecologia 120:183–192.
- Bouma T (2005) Understanding plant respiration: separating respiratory components versus a process-based approach. In: Lambers H, Ribas-Carbo M (eds) Plant respiration. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 177–194.
- Brantley ST, Young DR (2007) Leaf-area index and light attenuation in rapidly expanding shrub thickets. Ecology 88:524–530.
- Brantley ST, Young DR (2009) Contribution of sunflecks is minimal in expanding shrub thickets compared to temperate forest. Ecology 90:1021–1029.
- Bréda NJ (2003) Ground-based measurements of leaf area index: a review of methods, instruments and current controversies. J Exp Bot 54:2403–2417.
- Briggs JM, Knapp AK, Brock BL (2002) Expansion of woody plants in tallgrass prairie: a fifteen-year study of fire and fire-grazing interactions. Am Midl Nat 147:287–294.
- Carswell FE, Meir P, Wandelli EV, Bonates LCM, Kruijt B, Barbosa EM, Nobre AD, Grace J, Jarvis PG (2000) Photosynthetic capacity in a central Amazonian rain forest. Tree Physiol 20:179–186.
- Cavaleri MA, Oberbauer SF, Clark DB, Clark DA, Ryan MG (2010) Height is more important than light in determining leaf morphology in a tropical forest. Ecology 91:1730–1739.
- Chazdon RL, Pearcy RW (1991) The importance of sunflecks for forest understory plants. Bioscience 41:760–766.
- Chen JL, Reynolds JF, Harley PC, Tenhunen JD (1993) Coordination theory of leaf nitrogen distribution in a canopy. Oecologia 93:63–69.

- Coppedge BR, Leslie DM, Shaw JH (1998) Botanical composition of bison diets on tallgrass prairie in Oklahoma. J Range Manage 51:379–382.
- Díaz S, Lavorel S, McIntyre S et al. (2007) Plant trait responses to grazing a global synthesis. Glob Chang Biol 13:313–341.
- Dusenge ME, Wallin G, Gårdesten J, Niyonzima F, Adolfsson L, Nsabimana D, Uddling J (2015) Photosynthetic capacity of tropical montane tree species in relation to leaf nutrients, successional strategy and growth temperature. Oecologia 177:1183–1194.
- Duursma RA (2015) Plantecophys an R package for analysing and modelling leaf gas exchange data. PLoS One 10:e0143346. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143346.
- Edwards D, Jolliffe P, Ehret D (2010) Canopy profiles of starch and leaf mass per area in greenhouse tomato and the relationship with leaf area and fruit growth. Sci Hortic 125:637–647.
- Eldridge DJ, Bowker MA, Maestre FT, Roger E, Reynolds JR, Whitford WG (2011) Impacts of shrub encroachment on ecosystem structure and functioning: towards a global synthesis. Ecol Lett 14:709–722.
- Ellsworth DS, Reich PB (1993) Canopy structure and vertical patterns of photosynthesis and related leaf traits in a deciduous forest. Oecologia 96:169–178.
- Evans JR (1989) Partitioning of nitrogen between and within foliage grown under different irradiances. Aust J Plant Physiol 16:533–548.
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO2 assimilation in leaves of C3 species. Planta 149:78–90.
- Givnish TJ (1988) Adaptation to sun and shade: a whole-plant perspective. Aust J Plant Physiol 15:63–92.
- Gratani L (2014) Plant phenotypic plasticity in response to environmental factors. Adv Botany 2014:1–17.
- Gratani L, Covone F, Larcher W (2006) Leaf plasticity in response to light of three evergreen species of the Mediterranean maquis. Trees 20:549-558.
- Hecker LJ, Coogan SCP, Nielsen SE, Edwards MA (2021) Latitudinal and seasonal plasticity in American bison (*Bison bison*) diets. Mamm Rev 51:193–206.
- Heisler JL, Briggs JM, Knapp AK (2003) Long-term patterns of shrub expansion in a C4-dominated grassland: fire frequency and the dynamics of shrub cover and abundance. Am J Bot 90:423–428.
- Hikosaka K (2005) Leaf canopy as a dynamic system: ecophysiology and optimality in leaf turnover. Ann Bot 95:521–533.
- Hikosaka K (2014) Optimal nitrogen distribution within a leaf canopy under direct and diffuse light. Plant Cell Environ 37:2077–2085.
- Hikosaka K, Terashima I (1995) A model of the acclimation of photosynthesis in the leaves of C3 plants to sun and shade with respect to nitrogen use. Plant Cell Environ 18:605–618.
- Hikosaka K, Terashima I (1996) Nitrogen partitioning among photosynthetic components and its consequence in sun and shade plants. Funct Ecol 10:335–343.
- Ishii HT, Jennings JM, Sillett SC, Koch GW (2008) Hydrostatic constraints on morphological exploitation of light in tall *Sequoia sempervirens* trees. Oecologia 156:751–763.
- Knapp A, Briggs J, Collins S, Archer S, Bret-Harte M, Ewers B, Peters D, Young D, Shaver G, Pendall E (2008) Shrub encroachment in North American grasslands: shifts in growth form dominance rapidly alters control of ecosystem carbon inputs. Glob Chang Biol 14:615–623.
- Knapp AK, Blair JM, Briggs JM, Collins SL, Hartnett DC, Johnson LC, Towne EG (1999) The keystone role of bison in North American tallgrass prairie. Bioscience 49:39–50.
- Knapp AK, Briggs JM, Hartnett DC, Collins SC (1998) Grassland dynamics: long term ecological research in tallgrass prairie. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Koch GW, Sillett SC, Jennings GM, Davis SD (2004) The limits to tree height. Nature 428:851–854.

- Kramer RD, Sillett SC, Carroll AL (2014) Structural development of redwood branches and its effects on wood growth. Tree Physiol 34:314–330.
- Kubiske ME, Pregitzer KS (1996) Effects of elevated CO2 and light availability on the photosynthetic light response of trees of contrasting shade tolerance. Tree Physiol 16:351–358.
- Lambers H, Chapin FS III, Pons TL (2008) Plant physiological ecology, 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78341-3.
- Langenheim JH, Osmond CB, Brooks A, Ferrar PJ (1984) Photosynthetic responses to light in seedlings of selected Amazonian and Australian rainforest tree species. Oecologia 63:215–224.
- Larcher W (2003) Physiological plant ecology. Springer, Berlin. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05214-3.
- Legner N, Fleck S, Leuschner C (2014) Within-canopy variation in photosynthetic capacity, SLA and foliar N in temperate broad-leaved trees with contrasting shade tolerance. Trees 28:263–280.
- Lett M, Knapp A (2003) Consequences of shrub expansion in mesic grassland: resource alterations and graminoid responses. J Veg Sci 14:487–496.
- Lewis JD, McKane RB, Tingey DT, Beedlow PA (2000) Vertical gradients in photosynthetic light response within an old growth Douglas-fir and western hemlock canopy. Tree Physiol 20:447–456.
- Long SL, Humphries S, Falkowski PG (1994) Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annu Rev Plant Biol 45:633–662.
- Markesteijn L, Poorter L, Bongers F (2007) Light-dependent leaf trait variation in 43 tropical dry forest tree species. Am J Bot 94: 515–525.
- Maschinski J, Whitham TG (1989) The continuum of plant responses to herbivory: the influence of plant association, nutrient availability, and timing. Am Nat 134:1–19.
- McDowell NG, Beerling DJ, Breshears DD, Fisher RA, Raffa KF, Stitt M (2011) The interdependence of mechanisms underlying climatedriven vegetation mortality. Trends Ecol Evol 26:523–532.
- McGregor IR, Helcoski R, Kunert N et al. (2020) Tree height and leaf drought tolerance traits shape growth responses across droughts in a temperate broadleaf forest. New Phytol 231:601–616.
- McNaughton SJ (1983) Compensatory plant growth as a response to herbivory. Oikos 40:329–336.
- Meir P, Kruijt B, Broadmeadow M, Barbosa E, Kull O, Carswell F, Nobre A, Jarvis PG (2002) Acclimation of photosynthetic capacity to irradiance in tree canopies in relation to leaf nitrogen concentration and leaf mass per unit area. Plant Cell Environ 25:343–357.
- Mendes MM, Gazarini LC, Rodrigues ML (2001) Acclimation of *Myrtus communis* to contrasting Mediterranean light environments–effects on structure and chemical composition of foliage and plant water relations. Environ Exp Bot 45:165–178.
- Monsi M, Saeki T (1953) Uber den Lichtfaktor in den Pflanzengesellschaften undseine Bedeutung fu Ïr die Stoffproduktion. Jpn J Bot 14:22–52.
- Monsi M, Saeki T (2005) On the factor light in plant communities and its importance for matter production. Ann Bot 95:549–567.
- Moore BD, Cheng SH, Rice J, Seemann JR (1998) Sucrose cycling, Rubisco expression, and prediction of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated atmospheric CO₂. Plant Cell Environ 21:905–915.
- Moriwaki T, Falcioni R, Tanaka FAO, Cardoso KAK, Souza LA, Benedito E, Nanni MR, Bonato CM, Antunes WC (2019) Nitrogen-improved photosynthesis quantum yield is driven by increased thylakoid density, enhancing green light absorption. Plant Sci 278:1–11.
- Mullin LP, Sillett SC, Koch GW, Tu KP, Antoine ME (2009) Physiological consequences of height-related morphological variation in *Sequoia sempervirens* foliage. Tree Physiol 29:999–1010.
- Niinemets Ü (1997) Energy requirement for foliage construction depends on tree size in young *Picea abies* trees. Trees 11:420–431.

Niinemets Ü (2007) Photosynthesis and resource distribution through plant canopies. Plant Cell Environ 30:1052-1071.

- Niinemets Ü (2010) A review of light interception in plant stands from leaf to canopy in different plant functional types and in species with varying shade tolerance. Ecol Res 25:693–714.
- Niinemets Ü, Keenan TF, Hallik L (2014) A worldwide analysis of withincanopy variations in leaf structural, chemical and physiological traits across plant functional types. New Phytol 205:973–993.
- Niinemets Ü, Kull O, Tenhunen JD (1998) An analysis of light effects on foliar morphology, physiology and light interception in temperate deciduous woody species of contrasting shade tolerance. Tree Physiol 18:681–696.
- Nippert JB, Marshall JD (2003) Sources of variation in ecophysiological parameters in Douglas-fir and grand fir canopies. Tree Physiol 23:591–601.
- Nippert JB, Telleria L, Blackmore P, Taylor JH, O'Connor RC (2021) Is a prescribed fire sufficient to slow the spread of woody plants in an infrequently burned grassland? A case study in tallgrass prairie. Rangel Ecol Manage 78:79–89.
- Norby RJ, Sholtis JD, Gunderson CA, Jawdy SS (2003) Leaf dynamics of a deciduous forest canopy: no response to elevated CO2. Oecologia 136:574–584.
- Oberbauer SF, Strain BR (1986) Effects of canopy position and irradiance on the leaf physiology and morphology of *Pentaclethra macroloba* (Mimosaceae). Am J Bot 73:409–416.
- O'Connor RC, Taylor JH, Nippert JB (2020) Browsing and fire decreases dominance of a resprouting shrub in woody encroached grassland. Ecology 101:e02935. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy. 2935.
- Ögren E, Evans JR (1993) Photosynthetic light response curves. 1. The influence of CO2 partial pressure and leaf inversion. Planta 189:182–190.
- Oldham AR, Sillett SC, Tomescu AMF, Koch GW (2010) The hydrostatic gradient, not light availability, drives height-related variation in *Sequoia sempervirens* (Cupressaceae) leaf anatomy. Am J Bot 97:1087–1097.
- Paul MJ, Foyer CH (2001) Sink regulation of photosynthesis. J Exp Bot 52:1383–1400.
- Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Díaz S, Garnier E et al. (2013) New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Aust J Bot 61:167–234.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, The R Development Core Team (2020) nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R Package Version 3. 1–144.
- Plumb GE, Dodd JL (1993) Foraging ecology of bison and cattle on a mixed prairie: implications for natural area management. Ecol Appl 3:631–643.
- Poorter H, Evans JR (1998) Photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency of species that differ inherently in specific leaf area. Oecologia 116:26–37.
- Poorter H, Niinemets U, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Villar R (2009) Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a metaanalysis. New Phytol 182:565–588.
- Posada JM, Lechowicz MJ, Kitajima K (2009) Optimal photosynthetic use of light by tropical tree crowns achieved by adjustment of individual leaf angles and nitrogen content. Ann Bot 103: 795–805.
- Powles SB (1984) Photoinhibition of photosynthesis induced by visible light. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 35:15–44.
- R Development Core Team (2022) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/ (6 June 2022, date last accessed).

- Ratajczak Z, Nippert JB, Briggs JM, Blair JM (2014a) Fire dynamics distinguish grasslands, shrublands and woodlands as alternative attractors in the Central Great Plains of North America. J Ecol 102: 1374–1385.
- Ratajczak Z, Nippert JB, Collins SL (2012) Woody encroachment decreases diversity across North American grasslands and savannas. Ecology 93:697–703.
- Ratajczak Z, Nippert JB, Hartman JC, Ocheltree TW (2011) Positive feedbacks amplify rates of woody encroachment in mesic tallgrass prairie. Ecosphere 2:art121–art114.
- Ratajczak Z, Nippert JB, Ocheltree TW (2014b) Abrupt transition of mesic grassland to shrubland: evidence for thresholds, alternative attractors, and regime shifts. Ecology 95:2633–2645.
- Raynor EJ, Joern A, Nippert JB, Briggs JM (2016) Foraging decisions underlying restricted space use: effects of fire and forage maturation on large herbivore nutrient uptake. Ecol Evol 6:5843–5853.
- Reich PB, Falster DS, Ellsworth DS, Wright IJ, Westoby M, Oleksyn J, Lee TD (2009) Controls on declining carbon balance with leaf age among 10 woody species in Australian woodland: do leaves have zero daily net carbon balances when they die? New Phytol 183:153–166.
- Ripullone F, Grassi G, Lauteri M, Borghetti M (2003) Photosynthesisnitrogen relationships: interpretation of different patterns between *Pseudotsuga menziesii* and *Populus x euroamericana* in a mini-stand experiment. Tree Physiol 23:137–144.
- Rozendaal DMA, Hurtado VH, Poorter L (2006) Plasticity in leaf traits of 38 tropical tree species in response to light; relationships with light demand and adult stature. Funct Ecol 20:207–216.
- Ryan MG (1991) Effects of climate change on plant respiration. Ecol Appl 1:157–167.
- Sack L, Melcher PJ, Liu WH, Middleton E, Pardee T (2006) How strong is intracanopy leaf plasticity in temperate deciduous trees? Am J Bot 93:829–839.
- Saeki T (1960) Interrelationships between leaf amount, light distribution and total photosynthesis in a plant community. Bot Mag 73:55–63.
- Schädler M, Jung G, Auge H, Brandl R (2003) Palatability, decomposition and insect herbivory: patterns in a successional old-field plant community. Oikos 103:121–132.
- Smith WK, Knapp AK, Reiners WA (1989) Penumbral effects on sunlight penetration in plant communities. Ecology 70:1603–1609.
- Stenberg P (1998) Implications of shoot structure on the rate of photosynthesis at different levels in a coniferous canopy using a model incorporating grouping and penumbra. Funct Ecol 12:82–91.
- Stevens N, Lehmann CER, Murphy BP, Durigan G (2017) Savanna woody encroachment is widespread across three continents. Glob Chang Biol 23:235–244.
- Valladares F, Allen MT, Pearcy RW (1997) Photosynthetic responses to dynamic light under field conditions in six tropical rainforest shrubs occurring along a light gradient. Oecologia 111:505–514.
- Van Pelt R, Sillett SC, Kruse WA, Freund JA, Kramer RD (2016) Emergent crowns and light-use complementarity lead to global maximum biomass and leaf area in *Sequoia sempervirens* forests. For Ecol Manage 375:279–308.
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153:376–387.
- Walker AP, Beckerman AP, Gu LH, Kattge J, Cernusak LA, Domingues TF, Scales JC, Wohlfahrt G, Wullschleger SD, Woodward FI (2014) The relationship of leaf photosynthetic traits -VcmaxandJmax- to leaf nitrogen, leaf phosphorus, and specific leaf area: a meta-analysis and modeling study. Ecol Evol 4:3218–3235.
- Walters M, Reich P (1996) Are shade tolerance, survival, and growth linked? Low light and nitrogen effects on hardwood seedlings. Ecology 77:841–853.

- Waring RH (1983) Estimating forest growth and efficiency in relation to canopy leaf area. Adv Ecol Res 13:327–354.
- Wedel E, Nippert JB, Hartnett D (2021) Fire and browsing interact to alter intra-clonal stem dynamics of an encroaching shrub in tallgrass prairie. Oecologia 196:1039–1048.
- Wyka TP, Oleksyn J, Zytkowiak R, Karolewski P, Jagodzinski AM, Reich PB (2012) Responses of leaf structure and photosynthetic properties to intra-canopy light gradients: a common garden test with four broadleaf deciduous angiosperm and seven evergreen conifer tree species. Oecologia 170:11–24.