

Faculty Member:		Course #:
Hr./Days:	College:	Term:

Responses from 17 of the 18 enrolled (94%)

Overall Effectiveness								
	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	M	H	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Obtained Responses								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	1	5	7	4	0	0.9	3.8
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	1	0	1	7	8	0	1.0	4.2
14. Amount learned in the course	1	0	2	6	8	0	1.0	4.2
	Statistics						Comparative Status ²	
	Raw			Adjusted ³			Raw	Adjusted ³
Averages and Comparative Status								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	3.8			3.4			M	LM
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	4.2			3.7			HM	M
14. Amount learned in the course	4.2			3.6			HM	LM

Ratings of Student Attributes and Instructional Styles								
	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	M	H	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Relevant Student Attributes								
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	0	0	1	6	10	0	0.6	4.5
13. Effort to learn in the course	0	0	3	7	7	0	0.7	4.2
Instructional Styles								
A. Establishing a Learning Climate								
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	1	3	11	2	0	0.7	3.8
3. Well prepared for class	0	2	2	7	6	0	1.0	4.0
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	1	2	5	9	0	0.9	4.3
10. Willingness to help outside of class	0	1	2	6	8	0	0.9	4.2
B. Facilitating Student Learning								
4. Explained the subject clearly	1	0	5	7	4	0	1.0	3.8
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	0	1	3	8	5	0	0.8	4.0
7. Made helpful comments on student work	1	0	2	10	4	0	0.9	3.9
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	1	0	0	9	7	0	0.9	4.2
9. Realized when students did not understand	1	0	4	8	4	0	1.0	3.8

Instructor's Description of Class	
A. Type of class	Seminar
B. Class size	About right
C. Physical facilities	Unsuitable
D. Previously taught this course?	None
E. Approach significantly different this term?	
F. Description of teaching load?	Very heavy
G. Attitude toward teaching this course	I was really enthusiastic
H. Control of course decisions	Yes- I was responsible for all decisions
I. Differences in student preparation	Not a problem
J. Student enthusiasm	High
K. Student effort to learn	Satisfactory
L. Additional comments?	Comments written on the FIF

¹ STANDARD DEVIATION

² RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

³ ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE



Faculty Member:		Course #:
Hr./Days:	College:	Term:

Additional Questions								
	Number Responding						Statistics	
	1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
15.	1	0	1	5	9	1	1.0	4.3
16.	1	0	7	5	4	0	1.0	3.6
17.	0	1	2	10	4	0	0.8	4.0
18.	1	0	1	11	4	0	0.9	4.0
19.	1	1	2	7	6	0	1.1	3.9
20.	1	0	2	8	6	0	1.0	4.1
21.	0	0	1	6	10	0	0.6	4.5
22.	0	1	0	2	14	0	0.7	4.7
23.	1	0	5	5	6	0	1.1	3.9
24.	0	1	0	6	10	0	0.8	4.5
25.	0	1	0	6	10	0	0.8	4.5
26.	1	0	2	10	4	0	0.9	3.9
27.	1	0	2	11	3	0	0.9	3.9
28.	1	0	1	4	11	0	1.0	4.4
29.	0	1	1	9	6	0	0.8	4.2
30.	1	0	2	8	6	0	1.0	4.1